Outcome of transforaminal nerve root block injection for lumbar radiculopathy
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Abstract

Introduction: Lumbar radiculopathy is defined as a clinical syndrome of back and leg pain accompanied by sensory, reflex, or motor deficits in a nerve root distribution. Epidural injection of steroids has a significant therapeutic role in mitigating the inflammatory component of Lumbar radiculopathy. Transforaminal approach under image guidance enables targeted drug delivery.

Aim and Objective: The aim of this study was to assess the results of selective nerve root blocks with corticosteroids in the management of lumbar radiculopathy.

Materials and Methods: A total of 120 patients clinically and radiologically (MRI) diagnosed with lumbar disc herniation with backache and radiculopathy, who failed to respond to conservative therapy for a duration of 6 weeks were given c-arm guided root block for the respective nerve root and the results were evaluated using the visual analogue scale (VAS), Oswestry Disability Scale (ODI).

Results: The initial VAS was 8.01±0.9 which was reduced to 3.97±0.4 at the final follow-up of 6 months. The initial average SLR was 43.42±10.99 which was increased to an average of 67.78±6.23% at the final follow-up. The initial Oswestry Disability Scale (ODI) score was 82.10±3.8 which was reduced to 40.99±6.5 at the final follow-up.

Conclusion: Selective nerve root block is an easy and safe method with better short-term, mid-term, and long-term pain relief and improvement in functional disability in cases of lumber intervertebral disc herniation.
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Introduction

Chronic lumbar radiculopathy is defined as a clinical syndrome of back and leg pain accompanied by sensory, reflex, or motor deficits in a nerve root distribution lasting for more than 12 weeks [1-4]. Lumbar radiculopathy (sciatica) with a prevalence of 9.9% to 25% is less prevalent than low back pain alone and is characterized by back pain radiating down the knees to the foot and toes, with variable neurological findings [5]. The lifetime prevalence of lumbar radiculopathy has been reported to be 5.3% in men and 3.7% in women [6, 7]. Lumbar radiculopathy due to a prolapsed disc resolves spontaneously in 23-48% of patients, but up to 30% will still have pronounced symptoms after one year, 20% will be out of work, and 5-15% will undergo surgery [8-11].

Considering that multiple pathophysiological mechanisms underlie lumbar radiculopathy, diverse modalities of treatment have been developed over the years [12]. Steroids have been demonstrated to have a therapeutic role, owing to their anti-inflammatory and nociceptive signal-stabilizing properties [13-15]. Three principal techniques are available to deliver medication into the epidural space: caudal, transforaminal and inter-laminar routes. The transforaminal approach is advantageous because corticosteroid preparations can be closely injected into the probable source of the irritated nerve root, and this approach results in better ventral epidural spreading than the inter-laminar approach [16, 17].

This prospective study was designed to assess the efficacy of selective nerve root block with corticosteroids in the management of pain associated with prolapsed lumbar intervertebral disc that didn’t get relief from non-surgical pharmacological treatment.
Materials and Methods
This prospective observational study was carried out at Govt. Bone and Joints Hospital, an associated hospital of Govt. Medical College Srinagar from January 2021 to December 2021. A total of 127 patients with clinically and radiological (MRI) diagnoses of lumbar disc herniation with backache and radiculopathy, who failed to respond to conservative therapy for a duration of 6 weeks and denied the proposed surgical intervention, were included in the study. All patients had a positive straight leg-raising test and some patients had sensory neuro deficit. The exclusion criteria included patients with prior back surgery, impeding cauda equine syndrome or with cauda equine syndrome, back or leg pain due to other etiologies (e.g. spinal fracture, metastasis, neuropathy, vascular claudication or neurogenic claudication), pregnancy, breastfeeding status or medical disorders like bleeding diathesis, uncontrolled diabetes, connective tissue disorders, excessive smoking and severe COPD.

The enrolled patients were planned for treatment with selective nerve root block (through transfaraminal approach) injections. Detailed information about the type of the procedure and the possible side effects and complications was given to each patient and written informed consent was obtained from all patients before inclusion in the study.

Intervention
To perform the procedure, the individuals were positioned in ventral decubitus and the injection location was marked with the aid of a fluoroscope. After proper disinfecion, the skin and subcutaneous tissue were infiltrated with 2 ml of 2% lidocaine. A 22G (0.70mm) X 3.1/2” rachianesthesia needle was introduced up to the intervertebral foramen, identified with the assistance of the radioscope, and then a non-ionic contrast agent was injected under continuous radioscope visualization to monitor the distribution of the contrast agent in the epidural space and to avoid intravascular injection. Once the correct placement of the needle was confirmed, an infiltration of 2 ml of Methylprednisolone and 3 ml of 2% xylocaine was applied. After the procedure aseptic dressing was done and the patient was monitored for the next 2 hours and then sent home on the same day.

All the patients were instructed to continue conservative treatment with active physical therapy and exercises at home, in addition to using simple analgesics, as needed. They were reevaluated after two weeks, three months, and six months, using the visual analogue scale (VAS) pain score for assessment of current back and lower extremity pain was used and was compared with initial values. Any decrement in the VAS pain scores of more than two scales was considered to be significant. An Oswesty Disability Scale (ODI) was employed to quantitate the level of function (on a 0 to 50-point scale, in which a higher score represented greater disability) and significant improvement and function was described as at least a 40% reduction in ODI.

Results
The mean age of the study population was 38.6 (range 22-65) years. Among 127 included patients there were 79 (65.83%) males and 41 (34.17%) females. In this study, the average duration of pain was 11.40 (range 4-24) months. The most common level of prolapsed disc was L4-L5 in 56 (46.67%) patients followed by L5-S1 in 39 (32.50%) patients, L3-L4 in 18 (15%), L2-L3 in 5 (4.17%) patients and L1-L2 in 2 (1.67%) patients (Table1). 7 patients were lost to follow-up and they were not included in our statistical data.

All the parameters taken to assess the therapeutic effects showed statistically significant change from initial values to final values.6 (5%) patients with (L4-5&L5-S1) failed to show a positive response within 3weeks after the injection. The initial VAS was 8.01±0.9 which was reduced to 3.97±0.40 at the final follow-up of 6 months. The initial average SLR was 43.42±10.99 which was increased to an average of 67.78±6.23% at the final follow-up. The initial Oswesty Disability Scale (ODI) score was 82.10±3.80 which was reduced to 40.90±6.5 at the final follow-up (Table 2). There were no complications observed in our study.

Table 1: Demographic characters of enrolled patients (N=120, Mean age=38.6 years)

Table 2: Showing mean VAS score and ODI at different time periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>65.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 Years</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>50.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60 Years</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60 Years</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 Weeks</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 Weeks</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-18 Weeks</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24 Weeks</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;24 Weeks</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiated discs by level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-L2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-L4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4-L5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5-S1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>46.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Showing mean VAS score and ODI at different time periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Time periods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analogue scale (VAS) Mean ± SD</td>
<td>8.01±0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oswestry Disability Scale (ODI) Mean ± SD</td>
<td>82.10±3.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion
Since Mixter and Barr first described the correlation between sciatica and disc herniation [19] in 1934, both the conservative and surgical modes of treatment for this pathology have evolved significantly. This study reports results from the use of transforaminal block as a component of conservative treatment of sciatic pain secondary to lumbar disc herniation (LDH). The objective of ATB (anesthetic transforaminal block) in these patients was to reduce the rate of surgery by allowing the patients to tolerate the symptoms for a longer period of time [19]. Most patients with symptomatic LDH do not undergo surgical treatment because the course and prognosis are generally favourable, with similar long-term outcomes regardless of the treatment received [20]. The natural history of sciatica is of short duration, followed by a phase with residual symptoms. Most patients’ symptoms improve within a few weeks with the most severely extruded discs experiencing the greatest rate of size reduction [21]. Clinical improvement tends to occur earlier than morphological changes to the disc [22].

Epidural steroid injections for lumbar radiculopathy have been used since 1953. Along with mechanical compression of nerve roots, lumbar radiculopathy can be triggered by different pro-inflammatory chemical agents causing ectopic neuron firing [23, 24]. Steroids injected into the epidural space or around the affected nerve root are thought to inhibit these inflammatory mediators. The patients included in this study were selected from among those with persistent symptoms despite conservative treatment and who already presented the criteria for a surgical indication. After the introduction of ATB as a routine treatment for symptomatic LDH, the rate of herniated disc surgeries dropped considerably as compared to the prior experience of other authors.

There are three principal routes being used to deliver medication into the lumbar epidural space: (a) the caudal route, (b) the transforaminal route, and (c) the inter-laminar route. The transforaminal approach is most advantageous as medications are delivered close to the probable source of their rotated nerve root, require the least amount of medication, and this approach results in better ventral epidural spreading of drug than the interlaminar approach but requires a skilled interventionist besides the use of fluoroscopy or a CT scan. There are many studies evaluating the role of lumbar epidural steroid injections (LESI) either by trans-foraminal route or by caudal route in the management of low back pain resulting from various causes [25-27]. There are only a few studies where trans-foraminal procedures for PIVD have been reviewed [28]. In our study, we observed that the initial mean VAS was 8.01±0.90, which reduced to 3.97±0.40 at the final follow-up of 6 months. The reduction of 4.04±0.50 in pain scores was statistically significant. Mehta N et al [29] did a randomized trial consisting of 120 patients treated with either TFESI or were managed conservatively with a history of persistent LBP with radiculopathy secondary to disc herniation or spinal canal stenosis and they observed that the TFESI group showed statistically significant improvement in all parameters. At the end of 1 month, significant improvement was seen in 93% of patients in the ESI group and 23% of patients treated conservatively when all participants were included. In their study baseline VAS score was 8.20±1.10 which reduced to 1.13±0.35 at 1 month in the TEFSI group. In our study, we observed that the initial ODI was 82.10±3.80, which was reduced to 40.90±6.50 at the final follow-up. There was a significant decrease in ODI in terms of reduction of disability.

Despite the relatively short follow-up period, the final treatment endpoint was defined for all patients in the study, with the exception of those who abandoned the protocol. Another limitation of this study was that the hernias were not classified by volume and location, due to the diversity of MRI patterns presented.

Conclusion
Selective nerve root block is an easy and safe method with better short-term and mid-term pain relief and improvement in functional disability in cases of lumbar intervertebral disc herniation.
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