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Abstract

Background: Injuries and deaths due to road traffic accidents (RTAs) are one of the major public health problems across the globe, especially in developing countries, due to the lack of comprehensive legislative measures. This loss of lives has an immeasurable impact on the socio-economic output of the society. This study aimed to assess the factors and patterns of injuries related to RTAs.

Materials and Methods: This is a hospital-based retrospective cross-sectional study conducted in the Department of Trauma Surgery in a tertiary care hospital. Victims of road traffic accidents attending casualty from 1st July to 31st December 2019 were studied.

Results: Of 1442 RTA victims, the maximum (29.47%) was in the age group of 20 to 29 years and 75.93% were male. 37.73% of victims were using two-wheelers while 26.05% were using four-wheeler. Most of the accidents (36.21%) took place in the evening (6 pm to 12 midnight). The most common site of injury was the head, face & neck (35.64%) followed by the lower limb (33.49%). 31.27% of involved drivers were under the influence of alcohol during an accident.

Conclusion: Being in the younger age group, male gender, evening time, drunk driving and driving hours on the road were positively associated with RTA. Preventive measures to reduce the burden of mortality and morbidity due to road accidents should be strengthened.
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Introduction

Globally, road traffic accidents (RTAs) are a common public health problem and are established as the eighth leading cause of death, which has at least a similar impact as other major communicable and non-communicable diseases, if not more [1, 2]. Around 1.35 million people have unnatural deaths and 20 to 50 million people suffer from non-fatal injuries due to road traffic injuries in the world each year [3]. Approximately 90% of the estimated 1.3 million deaths from RTI occur in low and middle-income countries. RTAs are projected to become the 5th largest contributor to the global disease burden by 2030 [4, 5].

The death toll is highest and still growing in low and middle-income countries, where pedestrians, motorcyclists, cyclists and passengers are especially vulnerable. Despite this burgeoning problem, little attention has been paid to road traffic injury prevention and treatment in most developing countries. India accounts for about 10 percent of road accident fatalities worldwide. In 2017, deaths due to road traffic collision in India were 147,913, that is, 405 deaths each day [3]. In the state of Uttarakhand, 10.8 persons are killed per 10000 motor vehicles which are very close to the national average of 10.9 [6]. RTAs are the leading cause of death for children and young adults aged 5-29 years. It causes considerable economic losses to individuals, their families, and to nations as a whole [1]. The economic impact of RTA results in more than 3.7% loss of national GDP of India. RTA, trauma, and death are increasing steadily with an increase in a number of vehicles on road, more prosperity leading to increased travel and a host of other factors associated with poor engineering of the roads, negligent and rash driving, unchecked speed of vehicles on the road, driving under the influence of various substances, lack of alertness and diversion of mind and numerous other factors [9].
India has one of the poorest and worst records of road safety in the world. Trauma victims, who deserve immediate attention, do not get the priority because of a lack of fund, lack of administrative focus on the problem, lack of infrastructure, initiative and vision. The multiplication of institution and the absence of dedicated authority with a responsible attitude add up to ongoing misery. Information on the injury pattern, nature, and outcome are extremely limited in India, as trauma registries and hospital-based research have not developed systematically \[9, 10\]. A body of literatures have shown that systematic identification of risk factors and sociopolitical determination to address those factors have led to the improved mortality rate from road traffic accidents \[11, 12\].

Deaths and injuries due to RTA are preventable. A wide range of effective road safety interventions exist and a scientific, systematic approach to road safety is essential to tackle the problem. Geographical variations are unique in hilly regions of Northern India (Uttarakhand), where the knowledge related to road safety and road constructions are comparatively poor. The quick response teams are often less accessible and even if they reach, they are unable to deal with accidents timely. The response time is long particularly in far-stretched areas of India. The trauma registry system is not well-developed and injury pattern, nature and outcome are extremely limited to the Indian region \[11, 12\].

The quick response teams are often less accessible and even if they reach, they are unable to deal with accidents timely. The response time is long particularly in far-stretched areas of India. The trauma registry system is not well-developed and injury pattern, nature and outcome are extremely limited to the Indian region.\[11, 12\]

**Material and Methods**

The study was carried out at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Rishikesh, Dehradun, which is a referral tertiary care hospital and one of the apex health care institute of India. This study is a retrospective record-based cross-sectional study. The study population consisted of road traffic accident victims of all age groups reporting to Trauma Surgery casualty over a period of six months i.e., between 1\textsuperscript{st} July 2019 to 31\textsuperscript{st} December 2019. For the purpose of the study, an RTA was defined as an accident which took place on the road between two or more objects, one of which must be any kind of moving one \[14, 15\]. Any injury on the road without the involvement of a vehicle (e.g. a person slipping or falling on the road and sustaining injury) or injury involving a stationary vehicle (e.g. person getting injured while washing or loading a vehicle) or deaths due to RTA were excluded from the study.

Prior permission from the institutional ethical committee of AIIMS was taken for conducting the study and mainly included access to records. Data were collected from case sheets and medicolegal records of victims. A pre-tested proforma specially designed for this purpose was used for the collection of data. The information collected consisted of the demographic profile of victims, type of vehicle involved, site and severity of the injury, and whether the involved driver had consumed alcohol or not. The obtained data were entered into Microsoft excel, checked for any error and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 18.0 database (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Interpretation of the data was done by using descriptive statistics like frequency and the percentage.

**Results**

A total of 2365 trauma cases were reported to Trauma Surgery casualty during the study period, out of which a total number of road traffic accident victims were 1442.

**Age and sex distribution of victims:** The majority of RTA cases in this study (Table 1) were male (1095 (75.93%), while 347 (24.06%) were female, indicating 3.15:1 male-female ratio. Maximum (n=425, 29.47%) cases were from the age group of 20 to 29 years followed by 30 to 39 years (n=339, 23.50%) and 40 to 49 year (n=225, 15.60%) respectively. There were 14.2% of cases in the age of 50 years and above, while 17.19% were under 20 years of age.

**Table 1:** Age and sex distribution of victims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Male No. (%)</th>
<th>Female No. (%)</th>
<th>Total No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-9</td>
<td>43(2.98)</td>
<td>17(1.17)</td>
<td>60(4.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>144(9.98)</td>
<td>44(3.05)</td>
<td>188(13.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>346(23.99)</td>
<td>79(5.47)</td>
<td>425(29.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>267(18.51)</td>
<td>72(4.99)</td>
<td>339(23.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>173(11.99)</td>
<td>52(3.60)</td>
<td>225(15.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>57(3.95)</td>
<td>42(2.91)</td>
<td>99(6.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 70</td>
<td>22(1.52)</td>
<td>13(0.90)</td>
<td>35(2.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1095(75.93)</td>
<td>347(24.06)</td>
<td>1442(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type of injured and vehicles involved:** Drivers constituted the largest (n=681, 47.22%) group of victims and 31.27% of them were under the influence of alcohol during the accident. Pedestrians and occupants, including pillion rider were 259 (17.96%) and 502 (34.81%) of RTA victims, respectively. Most common vehicle-type involved (Table 2) was two-wheelers (n=323, 37.73%) followed by four-wheelers (n=223, 26.05%) and three-wheelers (n=92, 10.74%). Bus was involved in 8.29% of cases, while trucks and bicycles were victims in 6.54% and 5.49% of cases, respectively.

**Table 2:** Type of vehicles involved in RTA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of vehicle</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>47(5.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-wheeler</td>
<td>323(37.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-wheeler</td>
<td>92(10.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four-wheeler</td>
<td>223(26.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>71(8.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck</td>
<td>56(6.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tractor</td>
<td>263(3.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullock cart</td>
<td>18(2.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>856(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site of injury:** In this study (Table 3), 35.64% of cases had injuries to the head, neck & face followed by 33.49% (n=483) of cases who had injuries to lower limb and 24.34% (n=351) of cases had multiple site injuries. Thorax was involved in 220 (15.25%) cases, spine in 181 (12.55%), upper limb in 154 (10.67%) and pelvis in 85 (5.89%) cases.

**Table 3:** Site of injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of injury</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head, face &amp; neck</td>
<td>514(35.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>220(15.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>122(8.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limb</td>
<td>154(10.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb</td>
<td>483(33.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine</td>
<td>181(12.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis</td>
<td>85(5.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple site injury</td>
<td>351(24.34)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time of occurrence of RTA:** Most of the accidents (Table 4) took place in the evening (6 PM to 12 midnight) i.e. 310 (36.21%), followed by morning hours (26.05%), afternoon (25%) and night (12.73%).
Discussion

Road traffic accidents constitute a significant and increasing contributor to the national and global disease burden. It has an immeasurable impact on the families affected by RTA. This study aimed to understand the incidence and pattern of road traffic injuries (RTIs) in this geographical location (Uttarakhand). The findings of this study may provide insights on better management of RTAs victims. In this study, the maximum (29.47%) cases were from the age group of 20 to 29 years, followed by 30 to 39 years and 40 to 49 year, respectively. Similar results were found in studies conducted by Pathak [15], Kakkar [16] and Solanki [17] study. The younger generation often has risk-taking behaviors, and this may explain the findings. This shows that most active and productive age group population is involved in RTAs, leading to serious economic loss to the community. A male predominance was noticed in the present study, with males accounting for 75.93% of cases while females were 24.06%. RTA was more common in males due to the preponderance act of motor vehicle driving and more outdoor activity than females. Similar observations were also made by others [14, 17, 18]. However, a study from Albans shows different results with a more common involvement of females in RTA, may be due to socio-economic reasons [19]. In the present study, drivers (47.22%) constituted the largest group of victims, followed by occupants, including pillion rider (34.81%) and pedestrians (17.96%). Pathak found that 67% of patients were driving the vehicle when the accident happened and 14.3% of patients were pedestrians [20]. In Misra study, 41.9% victims were occupants (passengers/pillion riders), followed by drivers (38.2%) and pedestrians (19.9%) [20]. However, in a study by Shrestha, the majority of victims were passengers (55.4%), followed by drivers and pedestrians [1]. A study from Kenya reported that the majority of RTI victims were pedestrians (30.8%) [21].

Common vehicle involved in this study was two-wheelers (37.73%) followed by four-wheelers (26.05%), three-wheelers (10.74%) and bus (8.29%). These findings showed higher involvement of two-wheelers when compared to study done by Jha in Pondicherry who observed that two-wheelers were involved in 24.4% of cases [22]. Chourasia study found 78.3% involvement of two-wheeler followed by light motor vehicles (15.9%) and heavy motor vehicles (5.7%) [23]. Misra reported that the victim’s vehicle involved in the majority of cases was a motorized two-wheeler (53.4%) and the opposite colliding vehicle was a four-wheeler (39.3%) in most cases. Shrestha also found that two-wheeler (38.4%) was most commonly involved in RTA, followed by four & six-wheeler [1]. These reflect that two-wheeler is a most common mode of transport in India. Increased involvement of two-wheelers in RTA may be due to the reckless driving, not wearing helmets, bad road conditions or negligence of traffic rules. However, a study by Choulagai in Nepal found that six-wheelers were more involved than others [24]. In the present study, 31.27% of drivers involved were under the influence of alcohol during the accident. This is in higher proportion when compared to a study done by Neeluri who found that 22.5% were under the influence of alcohol during the accident [18]. The role of alcohol in impairing driving ability is well documented. Also, the impairment level increases as the blood alcohol level rises. In addition, the risk of accidents are higher in youngsters compared to elderly people for similar blood alcohol level [25].

In this study, injury to the head, neck and face was the most common site of involvement which occurred in 35.64% of cases, followed by lower limb (33.49%), thorax (15.25%), spine (12.55%) and upper limb (10.67%). 24.34% of cases had multiple site injuries. Patan found that the head was the commonest (68.8%) site of injury, followed by the knee & lower limb (32.7%) and shoulder & upper arm (23.9%). Multiple body regions were involved in 4.3% of cases in their series. Neeluri, in their study, had 40.7% of cases of lower limb injuries, followed by 22.10% of cases who had injuries on the head and face and 17.80% of cases had multiple injuries. These findings are contrary to the study conducted by RaviKiran in Mangalore who observed that most common site of injury was abdomen (49%). 27 Study by Al-Thaifani reported that most common site of injury was lower limb (42.18%) followed by head (17.93%) and thorax (11.81%). Lack of helmet use may be the possible reason for more head injury among two-wheeler users. Similarly, more injuries on upper body parts in motor vehicle occupants may be due to lack of seat belt use, resulting in forward jerk during a collision and higher rate of injury. Knowledge of injury patterns could be helpful while planning emergency and trauma care services and also in designing and implementation of safety measures.

In the present study, most of the accidents took place in the evening (6 PM to 12 midnight) i.e. 36.21%, followed by morning hours (26.05%), afternoon (25%) and night (12.73%). Evening hours are busiest as commuters go to and return from the schools, offices, factories and business. Similar findings were seen by Neeluri study. This finding was in contrast to a study by Shah, in which the majority of accidents occurred in the morning hours (6 AM to 12 PM). 29 Shrestha also found that 50% of the accidents were in the morning (6.00 am-12.00 pm) time followed by 31.2% in between 12.00 pm to 6.00 pm [1].

Conclusion

Present study showed that road traffic accidents were more common in the younger population and active males. Two & four wheelers were more vulnerable and good number of drunk drivers were involved. Head, neck & face injury was found to be most common. The rate of accident was higher during evening hours probably because of higher traffic.

Recommendations

The incidence of road traffic accidents can be minimized by the use of a properly designed helmet, especially among riders of two-wheelers, use of seat belts among travelers in four-wheelers and increasing the awareness among the drivers and community in general. Government should step in for an efficient transport system, safe traffic rules, a cashless treatment policy in emergencies, instructions for strict governance and implementation of driving licenses, traffic rules and regulations by police and transport authority [9].

During the planning of new road, alignments on the hills have to be ensured, and unstable land, slopes and cracked areas prone to landslide and washing away should be averted. The protective measures include parapet or guide walls, safety barriers, proper drainage, suitable sign-ages, markings, etc. should be installed. During fog, use of luminous paints or reflectors may be used to improve visibility [10].

Strict enforcement of the existing legislations regarding usage of helmets, seatbelts as well as avoidance of driving under the influence of alcohol and using a cell phone while driving is
the need of the hour.

Limitations of the Study: Firstly, fatal cases were not included in this study so the cause of mortality due to RTAs could not be gathered. Secondly, this is a single hospital based study with a small sample size and shorter duration, hence results of this study may not be generalized to general population but still be useful in the preparation of a good database for future studies and other uses. Thirdly, Injury Severity Scoring (ISS) or Trauma severity scoring was not included in this study.

Declarations
Funding: None

Conflict of interest: No conflicts of interest

References