Outcome of limb salvage surgery in sarcomas around knee with mega endoprosthetic reconstruction
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Abstract

Background: Currently 90-95% of sarcomas around the knee can be treated safely with wide resection and limb salvage surgery. Advancement of material science and design in endoprosthesis enables immediate rehabilitation, provides durable and functional limb. Primary goal is to restore and maintain stability and ambulation.

Aim of the study: To determine the functional outcome and complications of mega endoprostheses.

Methods and Materials: Retrospective study conducted between 2008 to 2013 in an age group of 16 to 30 years with sarcomas around knee treated by mega endoprosthetic reconstruction. Total of 20 cases included in this study (Osteosarcoma - 12 cases, Giant cell tumour - 6 cases and Metastatic lesion – 2 cases) with tumour lesion at distal femur in 15 cases and 5 cases in proximal tibia. Follow up period was 48 months. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy and post-op chemotherapy was given in selected cases. Functional outcome was scored by Musculoskeletal tumour society scoring (MSTS).

Results: The average MSTS functional score was 75% (Range - 60% to 100%). 4-year survival rate was 100% in our study. Range of movements at 4 years was 0 -90°. Complications was observed in 5 cases. 2 cases had foot drop, one case had knee dislocation, and one case had flap necrosis and aseptic loosening in one case.

Discussion: Individual approach to every patient is essential in order to choose the most suitable surgical treatment. All our patients were below 30 years and 2/3rd were below 25 years of age, hence limb salvage is preferred over amputation.

Conclusion: Mega endoprosthetic reconstruction provides good functional outcome in patients with bone tumours around the knee. Endoprosthesis should be considered as treatment of choice for bone tumours around the knee.
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Introduction

Limb salvage surgery, currently an accepted bone tumour treatment method. It has been traditionally a difficulty in Orthopaedic oncology. The outlook of management of malignant tumours has greatly improved, and this has encouraged surgeons to consider limb salvage surgery in most cases. Improvement in the reconstructive techniques and the development of effective adjuvant chemotherapy for primary tumours made limb salvage surgery a feasible option [1, 2]. But the long-term durability of various reconstructive methods is not known. Currently 90-95% of sarcomas around the knee can be treated safely with wide resection and limb salvage surgery [3-5]. Advancement of material science and design in endoprosthesis enables immediate rehabilitation, provides durable and functional limb. Primary goal is to restore and maintain stability and ambulation. Endoprosthetic replacement offers several advantages like shorter operating time, early stability, weight bearing mobilization and hospital stay in comparison to biological reconstructions. It also allows the early introduction of postoperative adjuvant therapy.

Methods and Materials

It is a Retrospective study. Study was conducted between 2008-2013. Patients with sarcomas around knee with an age group of 16 to 30 years which was treated by mega endoprosthetic reconstruction was included in this study.
Total of 20 cases was included (Osteosarcoma - 12 cases, Giant cell tumour - 6 cases and Metastatic lesion – 2 cases) with tumour lesion at distal femur in 15 cases and 5 cases in proximal tibia. Patients was followed up for a period of 48 months. Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy and post-op chemotherapy was given in selected cases. Functional outcome was scored by Musculoskeletal tumour society scoring (MSTS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malignancy</th>
<th>n = 20 cases</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>n = 20 cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>12 cases</td>
<td>Distal femur</td>
<td>15 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giant cell tumour</td>
<td>6 cases</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>5 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic lesion</td>
<td>2 cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: Showing the preoperative radiograph of 16 year old male child with osteosarcoma left distal femur

Fig 2: Showing incision for the wide excision of the tumor, excised specimen and prosthesis placement of 16 year old male child

Fig 3: Showing postoperative radiograph with mega endoprosthesis for left distal femur and proximal tibia of 16 year old male child
Results
Musculoskeletal tumour society scoring (MSTS) was used to assess the functional outcome of patients undergone limb salvage surgery with mega endoprosthesis at final follow up. The average MSTS functional score was 75% (Range - 60% to 100%). 4-year survival rate was 100% in our study. Range of movements at 4 years was 0-90°. Postoperative complications was observed in 5 cases. 2 cases had foot drop, one case had knee dislocation, and one case had flap necrosis and aseptic loosening in one case.

Table 2: Showing complications associated with limb salvage surgery with endoprosthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foot drop</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee dislocation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flap necrosis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aseptic loosening</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
Chemotherapy has dramatically changed the cure rate of malignant bone tumours. More than 80% of patients with sarcoma of an extremity have been considered candidates for limb-salvage surgery following the introduction of effective neoadjuvant chemotherapy [6, 7]. Individual approach to every patient is essential in order to choose the most suitable surgical treatment. Prosthetic reconstruction in the skeletally immature patient is demanding because of the necessity to cope with the expected disruption of limb growth after resection of one or more major growth plates and the high demands placed on implants by young patients. All our patients were below 30 years and 2/3rd were below 25 years of age, hence limb salvage is preferred over amputation.

Conclusion
Mega endoprosthetic reconstruction provides good functional outcome in patients with bone tumours around the knee. Endoprosthesis should be considered as treatment of choice for bone tumours around the knee. Our study is only a short term follow up and also included wide variety of cases. Hence, long term follow up is necessary to say that the mega endoprosthesis would be a better option to be adopted for limb salvage surgery for sarcomas around knee.
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