How expert is genexpert test: A study done at a tertiary care centre for TB spine patients
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Abstract
Study design: Retrospective study.
Purpose: The aim of this study was to analyze various diagnostic tools, including Gene Xpert, for the management of tuberculosis of the spine.
Aim of study: The aim of this study was to analyze various diagnostic tools for the management of tuberculosis of the spine, particularly the use of Gene Xpert, and to compare it with other diagnostic tools.
Inclusion criteria: All cases which had at least one positive test out of Gene Xpert, smear, culture sensitivity and histopathology
Exclusion criteria: All cases which tested negative for Gene Xpert, smear, culture sensitivity and histopathology
Materials and methods: A Retrospective study done at Dr. R. N. Cooper Municipal General Hospital and HBT Medical College. Data collected from January 2018 to January 2020 on 2-year follow-up was available for 31 cases.
Results: Among the cases in which Gene Xpert was used, the sensitivity for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* was 77% (24/31). Moreover, the sensitivity of Gene Xpert to detect rifampicin resistance was 100% (31/31) in our study. In 11 of the 31 patients (35%), diagnosis was confirmed on the basis of a culture. All 31 (100%) patients exhibited a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) picture. Among the 31 patients, 14 patients underwent biopsy, in 21 patients, diagnosis was confirmed on the basis of histopathology (67%). Smear microscopy was positive in 38% (12/31) of the patients. ESR was raised in 80% of the patients and CRP was reactive in 58% of the patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene Expert</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathology</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear Microscopy</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions: Gene Xpert is a rapid and highly sensitive tool to diagnose tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in patients with tuberculosis of the spine. Furthermore, we achieved a 77% sensitivity for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and 100% sensitivity for the detection of rifampicin resistance in our study.
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Introduction
Musculoskeletal affection is observed in 4% of all cases with tuberculosis; 50% of which involve the spine, which is the most common form of skeletal tuberculosis. Currently, the diagnosis of tuberculosis of the spine is primarily based on clinico-radiological observations. A typical presentation of tuberculosis of the spine consists of pain during movement with a localized deformity in the back that is tender following percussion as well as other typical systemic symptoms of active tuberculosis (i.e., night cries, malaise, weight loss, loss of appetite, night sweats, and a rise in temperature in the evening). Moreover, patients may or may not have a neurological deficit, which can be the first symptom in rare cases. Microscopic
confirmation using Ziehl–Nielsen staining remains a popular diagnostic method because of its simplicity and cost-effectiveness; however, it has a low sensitivity and requires 10,000–100,000 bacilli/mL in clinical specimens to be positive. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mt) culture is the gold standard method for the diagnosis of tuberculosis, but it also has various limitations, including a required 6–8-week period of growth because of the slow replication rate of the bacteria; these results are often negative as it requires 10–100 bacilli/mL (live bacilli) in clinical specimens to achieve culture positive results. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a better diagnostic method than radiography. Marrow edema, endplate disruption, paravertebral soft tissue formation, subligamentous collections, and a high signal of the intervertebral disc on T2-weighted are typical MRI features with good to excellent sensitivity for spinal tuberculosis. Overall, the sensitivity and specificity of MRI for spinal tuberculosis are 100% and 88.2%, respectively. MRI findings with a high sensitivity and specificity include the disruption of the end-plate (100% and 81.4%, respectively), paravertebral soft-tissue shadow (96.8% and 85.3%, respectively), and high signal intensity of the intervertebral disc on the T2-weighted image (80.6% and 82.4%, respectively). Atypical presentation primarily includes discrete foci of spinal involvement with intervening normal vertebras and no evidence of a connecting soft tissue abscess or any other MRI features typical of tuberculosis as discussed above (i.e., the involvement of only the posterior column of the spine without end plate involvement and multiple skip lesions without a soft tissue shadow). Although the specimen adequacy is higher for an open biopsy than for a percutaneous biopsy, the similarity ratio between the initial radiological and final pathological diagnosis of both techniques are favorable (71.4% for the open biopsy and 69.2% for the percutaneous biopsy). Recent techniques, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Gene Xpert provide improved accuracy over microscopy and are more rapid than bacterial cultures. The Gene Xpert test has a sensitivity of 95.6% and a specificity of 96.2% for diagnosis of spinal tuberculosis. Additionally, Gene Xpert is more likely to detect Mt DNA than traditional PCR, with the added advantage of also determining rifampicin resistance. A delay in diagnosis and the failure to detect drug resistance are major hurdles involved in the treatment of tuberculosis of the spine even today.

**Materials and Methods**

All cases with tuberculosis of the spine treated at the Dr. R. N. Cooper Hospital (Mumbai), a tertiary care Centre, between 2018 and January 2020 were retrospectively analyzed. Data on a follow-up of 2 years was available for 31 cases. Of these 31 cases, (77%) cases were confirmed by Gene Xpert and were included in the present study.

A graph showing whether Gene Xpert was used, if *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* was detected, the presence of rifampicin resistance (X-axis), and the number of patients (Y-axis).

**Discussion**

A delay in both the diagnosis and initiation of treatment as well as the failure to recognize cases of drug resistance could have an adverse effect on the prognosis of patients with tuberculosis of the spine. Traditional diagnostic methods are based on the typical clinical features followed by a bacteriological confirmation via positive histology and culture. Moreover, the traditional methods of microscopy, histology, and culture have a low sensitivity and specificity. The sensitivity of histology to confirm a diagnosis of spinal tuberculosis has been reported to be approximately 60%. In addition, the incidence of positive cultures for acid-fast bacilli in osteoarticular tuberculous lesions has been reported to be between 40% and 88%. In our present study, to confirm the diagnosis of tuberculosis of the spine, the sensitivity of the culture was 35% in the treated cases. Currently, MRI is the
most popular tool used for the diagnosis of tuberculosis of the spine with good to excellent sensitivity. In addition, Gene Xpert was used in 31 cases. Although the sensitivity of Gene Xpert was very high for tissue samples taken during surgery or open biopsy from diseased vertebra and disc material, the sensitivity was reduced for tissue samples obtained via a percutaneous biopsy. Moreover, the overall sensitivity was 77%, and the sensitivity of Gene Xpert to detect rifampicin resistance was 100%. In all cases Gene Xpert was used to make a rapid and accurate diagnosis. By combining MRI with Gene Xpert, we were able to achieve a considerably high sensitivity for the detection of MTB in cases of tuberculosis of the spine.

Conclusions
An accurate clinical diagnosis of tuberculosis of the spine requires an extremely high degree of clinical suspicion as one in every three patients can exhibit an atypical clinical presentation. A culture of acid-fast bacilli in osteoarticular tuberculous lesions remains the gold standard diagnostic test, but it is far from being an ideal screening tool to diagnose tuberculosis of the spine because of its low sensitivity. Moreover, MRI is a good screening tool. In contrast, the sensitivity of Gene Xpert for detecting MTB and rifampicin resistance is excellent. In our study, Gene Xpert had a sensitivity of 77% for detecting MTB and had a sensitivity of 100% for predicting rifampicin resistance. Combining MRI with Gene Xpert, provides a rapid and highly sensitive diagnosis tool to detect both MTB and rifampicin resistance in patients with tuberculosis of the spine.

References