

E-ISSN: 2395-1958
P-ISSN: 2706-6630
IJOS 2020; 6(1): 1126-1132
© 2020 IJOS
www.orthopaper.com
Received: 09-11-2019
Accepted: 13-12-2019

Dr. Sachin Kale
HOU and Prof. Orthopaedic
Department, Dr. D.Y. Patil
Hospital Mumbai, Maharashtra,
India

Dr. Pratik Tank
Resident Doctor Orthopaedic
Department Dr. D.Y. Patil
Hospital, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Rahul Ghodke
MBBS, D. Orth, Assistant
professor YMT Hospital
Kharghar, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Pankaj Singh
Assistant Professor Orthopaedic
Department Dr. D.Y. Patil
Hospital, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Abhiraj Patel
Resident Doctor Orthopaedic
Department Dr D.Y. Patil
Hospital, Maharashtra, India

Functional outcome of bimalleolar ankle fractures treated with fibular plate for lateral malleolus and C.C. screws for medial malleolus

Dr. Sachin Kale, Dr. Pratik Tank, Dr. Rahul Ghodke, Dr. Pankaj Singh, and Dr. Abhiraj Patel

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/ortho.2020.v6.i1o.1970>

Abstract

Background: Malleolar injuries are the most common significant lower extremity fractures. These injuries gain importance, because the whole body weight is transmitted through the ankle, and locomotion depends on the stability of the ankle. Open reduction and internal fixation have become the mainstay of treatment for most of the unstable bimalleolar fractures, as these operative methods restores the anatomy, biomechanics and contact loading characteristics of the ankle.

Objectives:

1. To study the functional outcome of surgically managed bimalleolar fractures of ankle in adults.
 2. To restore the anatomy of malleoli and ankle perfectly by operative treatment with internal fixation
- Methods:** A prospective study of 50 cases of bimalleolar fractures of ankle in adults, managed surgically by screw for medial malleolus and plate for lateral malleolus during the period from June 2018-Feb 2020 at Dr. D.Y. Patil hospital, Navi Mumbai.

Inclusion Criteria

- All closed fractures.
- Open type 1, 2, 3a (Gustillo-Anderson).
- Above 18 years.

Exclusion Criteria

- With associated Pilon fracture.
 - Patients unfit for surgery.
 - Patients with minimally displaced mono-malleolar fractures, avulsion fractures and stable fractures
- Follow-up was taken at 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months post-operatively. At every visit check radiographs were taken to assess the radiological union.

Results: In our study we achieved 86% excellent to good results, 8% fair results, 6% poor results. The results were comparable to other studies.

Conclusions: The operative results were satisfactory in 86% cases, with good to excellent functional outcome.

- Excellent results are obtained with stable fixation of fracture. Cancellous screws or malleolar screws are better in internal fixation of medial malleolus and lateral plating was the best for fibular fractures.
- Good functional results are obtained by surgical management of bimalleolar ankle fractures. Early weight bearing and mobilisation is achieved in these patients.

Anatomical reduction with restoration of the articular congruence is essential in all intra articular fractures, more so, if a weight bearing joint like ankle is involved. Open reduction and internal fixation restores the articular congruity of the ankle joint.

Keywords: Hip fracture; Bone turnover markers; CTX; PINP; Vitamin D

Introduction

Malleolar fractures are one of the most common fractures in orthopaedic traumatology. As with all intra articular fractures, malleolar fractures necessitate accurate reduction and stable internal fixation. When malleolar fractures are not reduced accurately they may lead to post traumatic painful restriction of motion or osteoarthritis or both.

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Pratik Tank
Resident Doctor Orthopaedic
Department Dr. D.Y. Patil
Hospital, Maharashtra, India

Sir Robert Jones said, "Ankle is the most injured joint of the body but the least well treated". Ankle injuries gain importance because body weight is transmitted through the joint and locomotion depends upon the stability of it. They are usually mixed injuries, ligamentous and bony; and each injury is an end result of the sequence of ligamentous and bony failure due to deforming forces. Malleolar fractures have varied presentations which have given rise to a wide variety of classification systems, of which two are in vogue: Lauge-Hansens and Danis-Weber classification.

As for the treatment of malleolar fractures, many of them which are stable are reduced by conservative treatment and have given good result. The other unstable displaced and open fractures require open reduction internal fixation. The superiority of ORIF over closed treatment have been thoroughly demonstrated in literature

The operative method restores the anatomy and contact-loading characteristic of the ankle. Additional advantages include easier rehabilitation without a cast, early mobilization and earlier weight bearing. However all studies have not obtained good results in cases of bimalleolar fractures.

Surgical anatomy

The ankle is a composite joint (hinge). It consists of two

dissimilar articulations: syndesmosis connecting the distal end of crural bones and diarthrosis between their ends and talus. The ankle is a mortise in which the talus is constrained by the fibula laterally and tibia both superiorly and medially, this configuration as also been referred to as the malleolar fork.

The ankle often is divided into medial, lateral, and syndesmotic complexes to help the physician to understand the mechanism of injury better and to devise a treatment plan. The medial complex consists of the medial malleolus, the medial facet of the talus, and the superficial and deep components of the deltoid ligament, the lateral complex consists of the distal part of the fibula, the lateral facet of the talus, and the lateral collateral ligaments of the ankle and subtalar, and the syndesmotic complex the articulation between the tibia and the fibula as well as the interconnecting ligaments of the syndesmosis and the interosseous membrane

Ligaments

The ligaments supporting the ankle joint are considered to be made up of three distinct groups:

- A) The lateral collateral ligaments
- B) The medial collateral ligaments
- C) The syndesmotic ligament

Table 1: Normal range of motion at ankle joint

SL No.	Movements	Range of movements in degree	Muscle Acting	Nerve Supply
1	Dorsiflexion	20-25	Tibialis anterior External digitorum longus External hallucis longus Peroneus tertius	Deep peroneal nerve
2	Plantar flexion	35-50	Tibialis posterior Flexor hallucis longus Flexor digitorum longus	Tibial nerve
3	Inversion	0-35	Tibialis anterior Tibialis posterior	Deep peroneal nerve Tibial nerve
4	Eversion	0-35	Peroneus longus Peroneus brevis	Superficial peroneal nerve

Mechanism of Injury

The ankle fractures occur as a result of strong rotational or predominantly axial Loading forces. The malleolar fractures are caused predominantly by rotational forces whereas axial loading causes tibial plafond fractures, predominantly.

The malleolar fractures primarily involve lateral or medial malleolus and often other parts of the ankle as well. Shearing and tensile forces apposed through the talus produce them indirectly. Most malleolar fractures occur when the part, including the talus, is fixed on the ground by the body's weight.

The type of malleolar fracture that occur depends on two factors the position of the foot at the time of injury, either supination or pronation, and the deforming force, which are external rotation, abduction or adduction. A relative bending moment is created with rotation either in the coronal plane, producing talar adduction or abduction relative to tibia or transverse plane causing relative internal rotation of the tibia on the talus. These injuries are referred to as external rotation injuries.

The initial position of the foot is important because it determines which structures are tough and therefore are most likely to be injured first. When the foot is pronated and the deltoid ligament is tense, the initial injury is medial- either a medial malleolar fracture or a deltoid ligament disruption will occur. The two most common injury patterns are the supination external rotation (SER) and the pronation external.

Lauge-hansen classification

Supination-adduction (SA)

1. Transverse avulsion-type fracture of the fibula below the level of the joint or tear of the lateral collateral ligaments.
2. Vertical fracture of the medial malleolus.

Supination-eversion (External rotation) (SER)

1. Disruption of the anterior tibiofibular ligament.
2. Spiral oblique fracture of the distal fibula.
3. Disruption of the posterior tibiofibular ligament or fracture of the posterior malleolus.
4. Fracture of the medial malleolus or rupture of the deltoid ligament

Pronation-Abduction (PA)

1. Transverse fracture of the medial malleolus or rupture of the deltoid ligament.
2. Rupture of the syndesmotic ligaments or avulsion fracture of their insertions.
3. Short, horizontal, oblique fracture of the fibula above the level of the joint.

Pronation-eversion (External Rotation) (PER)

1. Transverse fracture of the medial malleolus or disruption of the deltoid ligament.
2. Disruption of the anterior tibiofibular ligament.
3. Short oblique fracture of the fibula above the level of the joint.

- 4. Rupture of posterior tibiofibular ligament or avulsion fracture of the posterolateral tibia

- 2. Fracture of the anterior margin of tibia.
- 3. Supramalleolar fracture of the fibula.
- 4. Transverse fracture of the posterior tibial surface.

Pronation-dorsiflexion (PD)

- 1. Fracture of the medial malleolus.




Fig 8: Supination Adduction Injury




Fig 9: Supination External Rotation Injury




Fig 10: Pronation External Rotation Injury




Fig 11: Pronation Abduction Injury

Denis Weber Classification

The Denis-Weber system is based on the level of the fracture of the fibula. The risk of injury is greater to the syndesmosis, with more proximal fracture of the fibula, and the more likely that the joint will be unstable.

There are three types of fractures in this classification system. The fractures are categorized into types A, B and C, based on the level of the fibular fracture. Type “A” fractures are below the level of distal tibiofibular syndesmosis, “B” fractures at the level of the syndesmosis and “C” fractures above the syndesmosis.

Injuries of the syndesmotic ligaments occur as a result of abduction or external rotation of the talus within the ankle mortise. This mechanism most commonly occurs in association with pronation-external rotation, pronation-abduction, and occasionally supination-external rotation injuries (type-C and some type-B injuries).

For a given foot position and the direction of the deforming force, the sequence of injured structure as the force increases is similar and reproducible. But it is important to recognize that in abduction and adduction fractures the direction of deforming force is translational rather than rotational. The supinated feet sustain adduction fracture and pronated feet sustain abduction fracture.

2. Materials and Methods

Total of 50 patients were included in this study, the youngest patient was 19 years old and the oldest one 70 years old.




Fig 12: Out of them 15 were female and 35 were male




Fig 13: Show result male and female

The most common cause of injury was road traffic accidents and next were trivial falls

Inclusion criteria

- All closed fractures.
- Open type 1, 2, 3a (Gustillo-Anderson).
- Above 18 years.

Exclusion criteria

- With associated Pilon fracture.
- Patients unfit for surgery.
- Patients with minimally displaced mono-malleolar fractures, avulsion fractures and stable fractures

In the present study majority of the cases i.e. 20(40%) are supination external rotation injuries followed by pronation abduction injuries 16 (32%) cases.

Table 2: Lauge-Hansen Types

Lauge-Hansen Types	No of Cases	Percentage
SER	20	40
SA	8	16
PA	16	32
PER	6	12

In this series most cases were operated between day 1 and day 3 (90%).

Lateral malleolus fracture was fixed with semitubular plate through direct lateral approach, and medial malleolus was fixed with 4.0 mm partially threaded cancellous screw or malleolar screw.

Syndesmotic injury: The screw was inserted at the top of the fibular sulcus in the tibia, fixation is usually obtained by placing one or two screws from posterolaterally in the fibula to antero- medially in the tibia about 1.5 to 3.0 cm above the plafond. Fixation of the syndesmosis was done with the ankle in full dorsiflexion to avoid over tightening of the mortise and loss of dorsiflexion postoperatively.

Removal of the screw was done after at least 4 to 8 weeks, weight-bearing was delayed till screw removal.

Post-operative protocol

Parenteral antibiotics were given in the post-op period for 3 days according to the wound condition. After 10 to 12 days, the sutures were removed and a below knee cast was applied for 4 weeks. Non-weight bearing gait was started from first or the second postoperative day. Partial weight bearing was started after the removal of the cast (after clinical and radiological signs of union become evident). Active exercises of the ankle was advised.

In patients with syndesmotic screw fixation, weight bearing was delayed till screw removal.

Follow up of cases was done at regular intervals of 6 weeks for minimum of 6 months. At each assessment, all patients were questioned with regard to pain, use of analgesics, stiffness, swelling, activities of daily living, use of walking aids, and return to work and participation in sports. At examination, the gait, any thickening, swelling, tenderness of the ankle and the range of motion of the ankle were evaluated. Anteroposterior, lateral and mortise radiographs of ankle were made at the time of examination. Baird and Jackson’s ankle scoring system of subjective, objective and radiographic criteria was used for the study. All the patients were evaluated and Scores were given

Results

Excellent results were achieved in 32 cases (62%), good in 12 cases (24%), fair in 4 cases (8%), poor in 2 cases (6.6%). Excellent results were seen in most of the bi malleolar fractures, 4 patients who had fair to poor results were seen in cases with delayed union of medial malleolus, superficial and deep infection. The patients who had poor results had mild pain during their activities of daily living, diminution in their ability to run and do work, reduced motion of ankle and narrowing of joint space

Table 3: Functional score

Functional score	No of cases	Percentage
Excellent	32	62
Good	12	24
Fair	4	8
Poor	2	6

Discussion

There has been gradual evolution in management of ankle fractures due to improved analysis of biomechanics, improvement in fixation techniques and analysis of results of recent studies. The goal of treatment is to provide fracture union with painless full motion of ankle and with anatomical restoration of the injured ankle.

Closed method of treatment is often inadequate in restoring the anatomy and biomechanics of ankle in unstable malleolar ankle fractures. Conversely, open reduction with internal

fixation is an excellent method for restoration of normal anatomy of joint. Several studies indicated that, internal fixation of displaced malleolar fractures of ankle provides better results

The treatment of malleolar fractures with accurate open reduction and stable internal fixation using AO method and principles was found to give a higher percentage of excellent and good results. This study supports these conclusions.

In the current study, we have 50 patients with bimalleolar ankle fractures, who were operated upon.

All patients were followed up with minimum period of 6 months.



Fig 14: Pre op x ray



Fig 15: Pre op 3D ct scan



Fig 16: Post op x ray



Fig 17: Pre OP

Immediate post OP



Fig 18: Union at 10 weeks Union at 6 Months

Conclusion

The operative results were satisfactory in 86.6% cases, with good to excellent functional outcome.

- Excellent results are obtained with stable fixation of fracture. Cancellous screws or malleolar screws are better in internal fixation of medial malleolus and lateral plating was the best for fibular fractures.
- Good functional results are obtained by surgical management of bimalleolar ankle fractures. Early weight bearing and mobilisation is achieved in these patients.

Anatomical reduction with restoration of the articular congruence is essential in all intra articular fractures, more so, if a weight bearing joint like ankle is involved. Open reduction and internal fixation restores the articular congruity of the ankle joint.

References

1. Shelton Mar Vin L. Complication of fractures and dislocation of the ankle. In: Complications in orthopaedic surgery, Chapter 23, 3rd edn., ed. EPPS, Charles H, Philadelphia: JB Lippincott Company. 1994; 1:595-648pp.
2. Beris AE, Kabbani KT, Xenakis TA, Mitsionis G, Soucacos PK, Soucacos PN. Surgical treatment of malleolar fractures-a review of 144 patients. Clin Orthop Related Research. 1997; 341:90-98.
3. Weber MJ. Ankle fractures and dislocations. In: Operative orthopaedics, Chapter-50, 2nd edn., Ed. Chapman MW, Madison M. Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott Company. 1993; 3:731-748.
4. Geissler WB, Tsao AK, Hughes JL. Fractures and injuries of the ankle. In: Rockwood and Green's fractures in adults. 4th ed. Lippincott Raven, 1996, 2201-66.
5. Lauge N. Fractures of ankle: Analytic historic survey as basis of new experimental roentgenologic and clinical investigations. Archives of Surgery. 1948; 56:3.
6. Cotton FJ. A new type of ankle fracture. J American Medical Association. 1915; 64:318-21.
7. Gumann G. Ankle fractures. In: Foot and ankle trauma. Edt Scurran BL (NY): Churchill Livingstone, 1989, 579-638.
8. Purvis GD. Displaced unstable ankle fractures: Classification, incidence and management of consecutive series, Clin Orthop. May 1982; 165: 91-8.
9. Pettrone FA, Gail M, Pee D, Fitzpatrick T, Van Herpe LB. Quantitative criteria for prediction of results after displaced fractures of the ankle. J Bone Joint Surgery. 1983; 65A:667-677.
10. Lindsjo U. Operative treatment of ankle fracture-dislocations: A follow up of 306/321 consecutive cases. Clin Orthop. 1985; 199:28-38.
11. Bostman O, Hirvensalo E, Vainionpaa S. Ankle fractures treated using biodegradable internal fixation. Clin Orthop. 1989; 238:196-203.
12. Bray TJ, Endicott M, Capra SE. Treatment of open ankle fractures. Clin Orthop. 1989; 240:47-52.
13. Georgiadis GM, White DB. Modified tension band wiring of medial malleolar ankle fractures. J Foot Ankle. 1995; 16(2):64-8.
14. Van Laarhoven CJ, Meeuwis JD, Van Der Werken C. Postoperative treatment of internally fixed ankle fractures: A prospective randomized study. J Bone Joint Surgery. 1996; 78B:395-9.
15. Beris AE, Kabbani KT, Xenakis TA, Mitsionis G, Soucacos PK, Soucacos PN. Surgical treatment of malleolar fractures-a review of 144 patients. Clin Orthop Related Research. Aug 1997; 341:90-98.
16. McCormack RG, Leith JM. Ankle fractures in diabetics complications of surgical management. J Bone J Surg (Br). 1998; 80-B:689-692.
17. Rukavina A. The role of fibular length and the width of the ankle mortise in post-traumatic osteoarthritis after malleolar fracture. 1998; 22(6):357-360
18. Makwana NK, Bhowal B, Harper WM, Hui AW. Conservative versus operative treatment for displaced ankle fractures in patients over 55 years of age- A prospective study. 2001; 83B:525-9.
19. Lee YS, Huang, Chun-Chen NSP, Chen, Cheng-Nan, Lin CC. Operative treatment of displaced lateral malleolar fractures: The Knowles pin technique. J Orthop Trauma. 2005; 19(3):192-7.
20. Gerhard K, Max M, Adreas L, Edgar M, Axel R. Tourniquets may increase post operative pain and swelling after internal fixation of ankle fractures. Clin Orthop & Related Research, 2005; 433:189-194.
21. Nirmal C, Tejwani MD. Outcome of bimalleolar outcome poorer than those of lateral malleolar fracture with medial ligamentous injury? JBJS, 2007; 89(A):1438-41.
22. Paul BM, Kieran O, Burke T. Less is more: lag screw only fixation of lateral malleolar fractures. Int Orthop. 2007; 31:497-502.
23. Dolfi Herscovici Tr. Avoiding complication in the treatment of pronation-external rotation of ankle fracture, Syndesmotic injuries, and talar neck fracture. JBJS. 2008; 90(A):898-908.
24. Nelson F., Soohoo MD. Complication rates following open reduction and internal fixation of ankle fracture, JBJS. 2009; 91(A):1042-1049.
25. Mohammed R, Syed S. Evaluation of the syndesmotic only fixation for weber C ankle fracture with syndesmotic injury, Indian Journal of Orthopaedic. 2011; 45(5):454-457.
26. Szczesny G, Janowicz J. Minimally invasive

- osteosynthesis of ankle fractures. *Pol Orthop Traumatol.* .2012; 77:145-150.
27. Kim GD, Chae SU, Cha MS. Medial Malleolar Insufficiency Fracture of the Ankle in an Elderly Patient with Osteoporosis, *J Bone Metab.* 2013; 20:119-122.
28. Song KS, Kim SG, Lim YJ, Hyukjeon J, Min KK. Falsenegativ False negative rate of syndesmotic injury in pronation-external rotation stage IV ankle fractures, *Indian J Orthop.* 2013; 47(5):482-486.
29. Çetin I, Osman T, Ramazan A, Ahmet F, Mesut T, Murat B. The comparison of plate-screw and tension band techniques in the osteosynthesis of Danis-Weber Type A and B lateral malleolar fractures. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc.* 2013; 47(1):27-31.
30. Andrew Williams. Foot and ankle. In: *Grays anatomy.* Chapter-115, 39th Edn, Edt. Susan Standring, Edinburgh: Elsevier, Churchill Livingstone, 2005, 1499-1506.