

International Journal of Orthopaedics Sciences

E-ISSN: 2395-1958
P-ISSN: 2706-6630
IJOS 2020; 6(1): 330-334
© 2020 IJOS
www.orthopaper.com
Received: 20-11-2019
Accepted: 24-12-2019

Shekhar Malve
Department of Orthopaedics,
P.G.I. Swasthiyog Pratishthan
Miraj, Maharashtra, India

Tejas Patil
Department of Orthopaedics,
P.G.I. Swasthiyog Pratishthan
Miraj, Maharashtra, India

Shreya Joshi
Department of Orthopaedics,
P.G.I. Swasthiyog Pratishthan
Miraj, Maharashtra, India

Prajwal BN
Department of Orthopaedics,
P.G.I. Swasthiyog Pratishthan
Miraj, Maharashtra, India

Manojet Basak
Department of Orthopaedics,
P.G.I. Swasthiyog Pratishthan
Miraj, Maharashtra, India

Krishna Kabra
Department of Orthopaedics,
P.G.I. Swasthiyog Pratishthan
Miraj, Maharashtra, India

A study on functional outcome of Thoraco lumbar vertebra fracture treated with posterolateral instrumental fusion

**Shekhar Malve, Tejas Patil, Shreya Joshi, Prajwal BN, Manojet Basak
and Krishna Kabra**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/ortho.2020.v6.i1f.1884>

Abstract

Background: The spinal injuries are common problems encountered by an ortho Paedician in day to day practice. The data on clinical outcome after instrumented spinal fusion is scant. Hence this study was undertaken to study the clinical outcome of the instrumented spinal fusion.

Material and Methods: A prospective interventional study was undertaken among adult patients with acute thoracolumbar injuries admitted to the tertiary care hospital were included. Fifty adult patients with acute thoracolumbar injuries underwent the fusion with pedicle screws and rod instrumentation (Tango RS, Fa. Ulrich, Germany) with posterolateral fusion. The patients were followed up at 6th, 12th and 24th post-operative weeks.

Results: The mean age was 40.1 years and more than three fourth were males. Fall from height was the major cause for the injury. The decrease in regional angle was statistically significant at 6th, 12th and 24th follow up visits when compared to baseline. The anterior wedge angle decreased to 5.24^o, 5.8^o and 5.72^o at 6th, 12th and 24th post-operative weeks respectively which was statistically significant when compared with the baseline. About 44%, 48% and 54% of the patients had normal sensory and motor functions at 6th, 12th and 24th weeks of follow up after surgery which was statistically significant when compared to the base line.

Conclusion: This study was able to show that the postero lateral fusion had good clinical outcome.

Keywords: Thoracolumbar injuries, posterolateral instrumental fusion, regional angle, anterior wedge angle

Introduction

The spinal traumas are leading problem in orthopaedic practice ^[1]. The thoracolumbar fractures are serious injuries of concern with a marked morbidity and disability if left untreated to the patient. The thoraco lumbar spine fractures are reported to be around 6% of the trauma patients out of which 2.6% patients sustains spinal cord or nerve root level neurological injury. The thoracolumbar fracture is also associated with the dysfunction of important neurological functions including bowel and bladder disturbances ^[2].

The main goal of treatment of spinal injury is restoration of the patient to maximum possible function with disability free life. The treatment focus is on protecting the uninjured neural tissues, maximizing the recovery of the injured neural tissues and optimizing conditions for the musculoskeletal portions of the spinal column to heal in a satisfactory position. The posterior approach is safe alternative for the surgery as most of the specialists are more experienced ^[3].

The postero lateral fusion has emerged as a standard procedure in the treatment of the acute traumatic vertebral body fractures of the thoraco lumbar vertebra. The fusion of the spine helps in treating the instability and deformity. The fusion promotes the biological stabilization of the fracture and protects the fixation system from material fatigue ^[4].

The data on clinical outcome after instrumented spinal fusion is scant. Hence this study was undertaken to study the clinical outcome of the instrumented spinal fusion.

Material and Methods

A prospective interventional study was undertaken in the Department of Orthopaedics in Post

Corresponding Author:
Tejas Patil
Department of Orthopaedics,
P.G.I. Swasthiyog Pratishthan
Miraj, Maharashtra, India

Graduate Institute of Swatiyog Pratishthan Miraj, from August 2017 to March 2019. Adult patients with acute thoracolumbar injuries admitted to the tertiary care hospital were included in this study after obtaining the informed, written and video consent. Clearance from institutional ethics committee was obtained. Fifty adult patients with acute thoracolumbar injuries who were undergoing surgery admitted to the hospital constituted the study sample.

A detailed evaluation of the mode of trauma, Frankel grading, sensory level and to check for any spinal deformity was conducted. The patients were clinically evaluated for ensuring the thoracolumbar fracture. Plain X – ray in antero posterior and lateral views were obtained and the instability of the spine was confirmed using White and Punjabi criteria of spinal instability. MRI / CT scan examination was conducted to evaluate the relationships and instability of spine. All the patients underwent the fusion with pedicle screws and rod instrumentation (Tango RS, Fa. Ulrich, Germany) with postero lateral fusion. Endobone and Autologus bone obtained

from the decompression procedure was used as bone graft. The patients were mobilized as early as possible after the operation procedure with bracing for 12 weeks on the first post operative day. The patients were followed up at 6th, 12th and 24th post-operative weeks. The data thus obtained was entered in a pre-designed proforma and entered in to the excel sheet. The data was analysed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS vs 20). Independent Sample t test for Quantitative variables, Paired t test for paired observations and Chi – Square test for categorical observations were used as test of significance. Value of less than 0.05 was considered significance level and all the values below it was considered as statistically significant.

Figure 1 preoperative x-rays

Figure 2 postoperative x-rays Immediate and follow up

Figure 3 Instruments

Figure 4 clinical pictures of patients in follow up.

Results

Table 1: Socio demographic characteristics of study group

		Frequency	Percent
Age	Age (Mean ± SD)	40.1 (± 11.5)	
Sex	Male	38	76
	Female	12	24
Mode of injury	Fall from height	30	60
	RTA	20	40
Vertebra	L ₁ – L ₄	24	48
	T ₉ – T ₁₂	26	52
Type of fracture	A	28	56
	B	15	30
	C	7	14
Steroids	Administered	32	64
	Not administered	18	36
	Duration of Injury (Mean ± SD)	2.68 (± 1.3)	
	Duration of injury to surgery (Mean ± SD)	5.62 (± 1.41)	
	Duration of stay (Mean ± SD)	30.8 (± 6.5)	

The mean (± SD) age of the study group was 40.1 (± 11.5) years. More than three fourth of the study subjects were males. Fall from height was the major cause for the injury in this study. More than half of the cases had injury in thoracic vertebra and majority of the fractures were Type A fractures.

Steroids were administered in 64% of the study subjects. The mean duration of the injury was 2.68 days and duration of injury to the surgery was 5.62 days. The duration of stay in the hospital was 30.8 days.

Table 2: Regional angle at various follow up visits

Regional angle in degree	Mean	SD	t value vs pre op	p value, Sig vs pre op
Pre-Operative	16.68	4.84		
6 th Post-operative week	4.64	3.99	17.08	0.000, Sig
12 th Post-operative week	4.9	4.07	16.19	0.000, Sig
24 th Post-operative week	4.8	4.07	16.088	0.000, Sig

The mean regional angle before the surgery was 16.68°. After the surgery the mean regional angle decreased to 4.64° at 6th post-operative week, 4.9° at 12th post-operative week and 4.8°

at 24th post-operative week. The decreases in regional angle was statistically significant at 6th, 12th and 24th follow up visits when compared to baseline.

Table 3: Anterior wedge angle at various follow up visits

Anterior wedge angle	Mean	SD	t value vs pre op	p value, Sig vs pre op
Pre-Operative	19.06	9.3		
6 th Post-operative week	5.24	4.45	14.0	0.000, sig
12 th Post-operative week	5.8	4.46	12.775	0.000, sig
24 th Post-operative week	5.72	4.48	12.804	0.000, sig

The mean anterior wedge angle was 19.06° during pre-operative period. The anterior wedge angle decreased to 5.24°, 5.8° and 5.72° at 6th, 12th and 24th post-operative weeks

respectively which was statistically significant when compared with the baseline.

Table 4: Frankel’s grade at various follow up visits

Frankel’s grade	Pre-Operative n (%)	6 th Post-operative week n (%)	12 th Post-operative week n (%)	24 th Post-operative week n (%)
A	22 (44.0)	20 (40.0)	16 (32.0)	16 (32.0)
B	1 (2.0)	3 (6.0)	4 (8.0)	4 (8.0)
C	7 (14.0)	0	3 (6.0)	2 (4.0)
D	15 (30.0)	5 (10.0)	3 (6.0)	1 (2.0)
E	5 (10.0)	22 (44.0)	24 (48.0)	27 (54.0)

Pre – operative – 6th Post op week: χ^2 value= 23.799 df=4 p value= 0.000, Sig
 Pre – operative – 12th Post op week: χ^2 value= 24.796 df=4 p value= 0.000, Sig
 Pre – operative – 24th Post op week: χ^2 value= 32.9 df=4 p value= 0.000, Sig

The Frankel’s grading was grade E in 10% of the patients before Surgery. About 40%, 32% and 32% of the patients had absent motor or sensory functions at 6th, 12th and 24th week of follow up. About 44%, 48% and 54% of the patients had normal sensory and motor functions at 6th, 12th and 24th weeks of follow up after surgery which was statistically significant when compared to the base line.

Discussion

This study was mainly undertaken to study the clinical outcome of the postero-lateral instrument fusion of the thoraco – lumbar vertebra. The literature available has shown a number of surgical procedures depending on the severity and the extent of the spinal stenosis and instability. It varies from laminectomy to wide central laminectomy alone to an anterior release with posterior decompression and fusion with instrumentation. The complications also vary from one procedure to the other procedure.⁵ The rate complications vary from 8 to 80% with the different surgeries of the thoraco lumbar vertebra fracture ^[6].

This study has demonstrated the change in the regional angle, anterior wedge angle and also improvement in function as evident by using Frankel’s grading. A study by Bridwell had shown that the radiographic and functional outcome in patients with decompression and instrumental fusion.⁷ Another study had shown that, the fusion rates among the patients treated with pedicle screw fixation had shown significantly higher rates of fusion. The decompression with PLF and decompression with PLF supplemented with pedicle screw fixation groups had significant improvements in the VAS scores for back and leg pain and reported outcome was good or excellent ^[8].

A study by Baumann *et al.* had a fusion rate of 94% in patients undergoing PLF with use of DBM and 100% with the use of

ABG ^[9]. Andersen *et al.* have reported superior outcomes among the patients with instrumented lumbar spinal fusion. But the study had also revealed that instrumentation was associated with additional surgeries resulting in lesser degree of improvement ^[10]

The postero lateral fusion techniques are sometimes challenging for achieving the adequate improvement in sagittal spinal balance of the lumbar spine which influences the clinical outcome over time which is a persistent cause for low back pain.

The main limitation of this study was shorter duration of follow up. But long term results of this procedure are awaited. The evaluation of clinical outcome of the surgery requires CT scan. But due to higher radiation ethical issues restrict the follow up.



Fig 1: Pre-operative X-ray



Immediate post-operative xray

1st follow up xray




Fig 2: Post-operative X-ray.



Fig 3: Instruments



Fig 4: Clinical pictures

Conclusion

This study was able to show that the postero lateral fusion had good clinical outcome. The complication rates were less including the intraoperative blood loss and need for transfusions.

References

1. Jens R, Chapman Sohail K, Mirza H. Rockwood, Green Fractures in Adults. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 5th edition. 2:1295-1466.
2. Burney RE, Maio RF, Maynard F. Incidence, characteristics, and outcome of spinal cord injury at trauma centres in North America. Arch Surg. 1993; 128(5):596-9.
3. Robert W Bucholz, James D Heckman. Rockwood and Greens Fractures in adults; Lippincott Willams and Wilkins; 5th edition; 2001; 2:1293-1466,
4. Verlaan JJ, Diekerhof CH, Buskens E, Van Der Tweel I, Verbout AJ, Dhert WJ *et al.* Surgical treatment of traumatic fractures of the thoracic and lumbar spine: a systematic review of the literature on techniques, complications, and outcome. Spine. 2004; 29:803-814.
5. Endres S. Instrumented posterolateral fusion – clinical and functional outcome in elderly patients. GMS German Medical Science. 2011; 9:Doc09.
6. Aebi M. The adult scoliosis. Eur Spine J. 2005; 14(10):925-948.
7. Bridwell KH, Sedgewick TA, O'Brien MF, Lenke LG, Baldus C. The role of fusion and instrumentation in the treatment of degenerative spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis. J Spinal Disord. 1993; 6(6):461-472.
8. Fischgrund JS, Mackay M, Herkowitz HN, Brower R, Montgomery DM, Kurz LT. Volvo Award winner in clinical studies. Degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis: a prospective, randomized study comparing decompressive laminectomy and arthrodesis with and without spinal instrumentation. Spine. 1997; 22(24):2807-2812.
9. Baumann F, Krustch W, Pfeifer C, Neumann C, Nerlich M, Loibil M. Posterolateral fusion in acute traumatic thoraco lumbar fracture: A comparison of demineralised Bone matrix and Autologus bone graft, Acta Chir Orthop Traumatol Cech: 2015; 82(2):119-25.
10. Andersen T, Christensen FB, Niedermann B, Helmig P, Høy K, Hansen ES *et al.* Impact of instrumentation in lumbar spinal fusion in elderly patients: 71 patients followed for 2-7 years. Acta Orthop. 2009; 80(4):445-450.