

International Journal of Orthopaedics Sciences

ISSN: 2395-1958 IJOS 2019; 5(2): 1101-1103 © 2019 IJOS www.orthopaper.com Received: 01-02-2019 Accepted: 05-03-2019

Dr. Debashish Mishra

Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Kalinga Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Dr. Saswat Samant

Senior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, Kalinga Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Dr. Sundar Narayan Mohanty Professor and HOD, Department of Orthopaedics, Kalinga Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Gentle manipulative reduction and percutaneous fixation with a single screw in slipped capital femoral epiphysis: A case series

Dr. Debashish Mishra, Dr. Saswat Samant and Dr. Sundar Narayan Mohanty

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/ortho.2019.v5.i2q.1485

Abstract

Slipped capital femoral epiphysis or SCFE is the most common hip disorder documented in the 9-15 year age group ^[1, 2, 3]. The goal of treatment is the reduction of the initial epiphyseal displacement, proper dependable stabilization, prevention of additional slippage, along with rapid epiphysiodesis. We report here the results of 5 of our patients and their follow up results that we treated using gentle reduction manoeuvres and percutaneous pinning using a single screw. The IOWA Score of the 5 patient after the clinical evaluation were good in 4 cases and fair in 1 case. We conclude that preoperative reduction with very gentle manipulation and percutaneous pinning with a single screw is a satisfactory and acceptable treatment for SCFE.

Keywords: slipped capital femoral epiphysis, case series, percutaneous screw fixation

Introduction

Slipped capital femoral epiphysis or SCFE is the most common hip disorder documented in the 9-15 year age group [1, 2, 3]. The approximate prevalence of SCFE has been estimated to be 10.8 cases per 100,000 [4]. The aetiology of SCFE still remains unclear but what is clear, is the multifactorial nature of the risk factors for the development of the condition which includes obesity, male gender and endocrine abnormalities [5, 6], renal failure osteodystrophy [7] and radiation therapy to the pelvis [8]. It is primarily the separation of the epiphysis and the metaphysis of the proximal femur resulting in a slip with the epiphysis remaining in the acetabulum while the femur usually rotates outwards and in extension [9]. The goal of treatment is the reduction of the initial epiphyseal displacement, proper dependable stabilization, prevention of additional slippage, along with rapid epiphysiodesis. It is also important to mobilize early and start weight bearing in 2-3 weeks. Of the many treatment modalities, appropriateness of the procedure depends on the predictive prognostic factors like stability of the slip, severity of the slip, aetiology of the slip and age of the child. We report here the results of 5 of our patients and their follow up results that we treated using gentle reduction manoeuvres and percutaneous pinning using a single screw. The underlying premise is that minimally aggressive reduction techniques and single percutaneous screw preserves the blood supply and does minimal damage to the future growth potential.

Material & Methods

5 patients were treated at our tertiary care centre between 2017 January and December 2018. These patients underwent operative fixation of the slip.

4 of these children were boys and 1 was a girl. The mean age at surgery was 11 years. The time of onset of symptoms to the 1st clinical presentation was an average of 5 months (range 3-7 months). The mean follow-up was 18 months. Pain, function and range of motion were evaluated at the follow-ups and used for calculation of the IOWA score. X-ray findings were evaluated as per the method of Boyer *et al*. The severity of the slips was graded into mild, moderate and severe as per the head, shaft angle on anterior-posterior and frog lateral views. The 5 patients were managed operatively with gentle manipulation and percutaneous pinning with a single screw. (Figure 2)

Correspondence
Dr. Saswat Samant
Senior Resident, Department of
Orthopaedics, Kalinga Institute
of Medical Sciences,
Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Results

Table 1 shows the list of complications that were anticipated or met with in our 5 cases. None of the 5 patients developed and skin infections either superficial or deep. We are yet to document chondrolysis or osteonecrosis although we believe the follow-up period reached presently is inadequate to draw any conclusion on the future incidence of chondrolysis or osteonecrosis.

Table 1: Complications after treatment of SCFE after gentle manipulative reduction and single percutaneous pinning.

Incidence of complications in the SCFE patients treated with single percutaneous screws		
Infections (Superficial or deep)	0	
Chondrolysis	0	
Osteonecrosis	0	
Other complications	0	

The IOWA Score of the 5 patient after the clinical evaluation is shown in Table 2. The results were good in 4 cases and fair in 1 case.

Table 2: IOWA hip score of the 5 patients on follow up.

IOWA hip score of the 5 patients			
Score	Good	Fair	Poor
No of patients	4	1	0

Discussion

If untreated the slip can progress and worsen [15]. The worsening may result in complications such as avascular necrosis of the femoral head, chondrolysis and late degenerative arthritis of the hip. Although a small proportion of unstable SCFEs can progress despite seemingly adequate treatment & result in complications. The aim of treatment is 4-fold. (1). Halt progression of the SCFE, (2) Minimize the risk of AVN, (3) Minimize the risk of chondrolysis and (4) Minimize the risk of degenerative arthritis. The progression of the disease can be halted by either stable fixation of the epiphysis or early fusion of the epiphysis. The risk of AVN may not always be in the hands of the surgeon but the risk of chondrolysis can be minimised by taking care that the implant does not penetrate the joint. Finally, it has to be kept in mind that the risk of degenerative arthritis can be reduced by restoring the anatomy of the proximal femur to as near normal as possible. Mild and moderate degrees of unstable SCFE may simply require In situ fixation with the placement of a single cannulated screw in the centre of the epiphysis in both anteroposterior (AP) and lateral views. The results are excellent in terms of preventing the progression of the disease as well as minimizing the risk of Chondrolysis [16]. For more severe grades of SCFE reduction by gentle repositioning and internally fixed with cannulated screws is commonly indicated. Carney et al had reported a high incidence of aseptic necrosis and chondolysis in a large series of patients who were subjected to forceful reduction maneourvers [17]. We treated all our patients with gentle reduction manoeuvres with no rotational manoeuvres. De Sanctis et al suggested that this preserves the blood supply well and doesn't cause any damage to the remodelling potential of the femoral head.



Fig 1: Radiographs pre operatively, post operatively at 3 months and at 6 months follow up in patient no 2



Fig 2: Radiographs pre operatively, at 3 months follow up and 6 months follow up.

Conclusion

There is a dearth of literature regarding the treatment of unstable slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE). In our study, we found that percutaneous screw fixation after gentle manipulation is a stable, safe and reliable method of achieving satisfactory results. There is only a small incision, leaving only a small scar, with practically no blood loss along with short operative time, hospitalization and easy post-operative nursing care. We conclude that preoperative reduction with very gentle manipulation and percutaneous pinning with a single screw is a satisfactory and acceptable treatment for SCFE. Although a study with a larger study population and a longer duration of follow up period would go a long way in confirming it conclusively.

Declaration

'The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest'. The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

References

- 1. Loder RT. The demographics of slipped capital femoral epiphysis. An international multicenter study. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1996; 322:8-27.
- 2. Lehmann CL, Arons RR, Loder RT, Vitale MG. The epidemiology of slipped capital femoral epiphysis: an update. J Pediatr Orthop. 2006; 26(3):286-90.
- 3. Bhatia NN, Pirpiris M, Otsuka NY () Body mass index in patients with slipped capital femoral epiphysis. J Pediatr Orthop 2006; 26:197-199
- 4. de Poorter J, Beunder T, Gareb B, Oostenbroek H, Bessems G, van der Lugt J *et al.* Long-term outcomes of slipped capital femoral epiphysis treated with in situ pinning. Journal of Children's Orthopaedics. 2016; 10(5):371-379.
- 5. Jingushi S, Suenaga E. Slipped capital femoral epiphysis: aetiology and treatment. J Orthop Sci. 2004; 9:214-219.
- 6. Loder RT, Dietz FR. What is the best evidence for the treatment of slipped capital femoral epiphysis? J Pediatr Orthop. 2012; 32(2):S158-S165.

- 7. Loder RT, Hensinger RN. Slipped capital femoral epiphysis associated with renal failure osteodystrophy. J Pediatr Orthop. 1997; 17(2):205-11.
- 8. Loder RT, Hensinger RN, Alburger PD, Aronsson DD, Beaty JH, Roy DR *et al.* Slipped capital femoral epiphysis associated with radiation therapy. J Pediatr Orthop. 1998; 18(5):630-6.
- 9. Jerry R. Physiolysis of the hip: epidemiology, diagnosis and long-term follow-up. Göteborg, 1995.
- 10. Karol LA, Doane RM, Cornicelli SF *et al.* Single vs. double screw fixation for treatment of slipped capital femoral epiphysis: a biomechanical analysis. J Pediatr Orthop. 1992; 12:741-745.
- 11. Khan FA. Treatment of slipped capital femoral epiphysis with severe displacement (Report of 14 hips in 12 non-Caucasian patients). Afr J Med. 1995; 24:189-194.
- 12. Loder RT, Aronsson DD, Dobbs MB, *et al.* Slipped capital femoral epiphysis. J Bone Joint Surg [Am]. 2000; 82:1170-1188.
- 13. Loder RT, Richards BS, Shapiro PS, *et al.* Acute slipped capital femoral epiphysis: the importance of physeal stability. J Bone Joint Surg [Am]. 1993; 75:1134–1140.
- 14. Ward WT, Stefko J, Wood KB, *et al*. Fixation with a single screw for slipped capital femoral epiphysis. J Bone Joint Surg [Am]. 1992; 74:799-804.
- 15. Carney BT, Weinstein SL. Natural history of untreated chronic slipped capital femoral epiphysis. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1996; 322:43-7.
- 16. Aronson DD, Carlson WE. Slipped capital femoral epiphysis: a prospective study of fixation with a single screw. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1992; 74:810-19.
- 17. de Sanctis N, Gennaro G, Pempinello C, Corte S, Carannante G. Is Gentle Manipulative Reduction and Percutaneous Fixation with a Single Screw the Best Management of Acute and Acute-on-Chronic Slipped Capital Femoral Epiphysis? A Report of 70 Patients. Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics B. 1996; 5(2):90-95.