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Abstract

Osteoarthritis is a degenerative joint disease result from breakdown of joint cartilage and underlying bone. In medial unicompartmental osteoarthritis of knee with varus deformity, a valgus realignment high tibial osteotomy (HTO) is a treatment option in selective active patients. In this study, we evaluated functional outcome in medial open wedge high tibial osteotomy in selected patients. This study was conducted with twenty young active patients with medial compartmental osteoarthritis allocated for medial biplanar open wedge high tibial osteotomy, stabilised with non-locking plate with or without autologous bone grafting or bone graft substitute. All patient assessed pre-operative and post-operative period by Knee society score, VAS score.

In our study, range of movement, Knee score, pain score, functional score, overall final result according to knee society score improved that is statistically significant.
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Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis is one of most common disorder with aging¹ and cause considerable pain, immobility, reduction of activity, malalignment mainly in elderly and obese people²,³. Now a days, its incidence has increased in significant number of comparatively younger population. In medial unicompartimental osteoarthritis of knee with varus alignment [⁴, ⁵], a valgus realignment [⁶] high tibial osteotomy is a treatment option in selective active patients [⁷]. Principle [⁸] of high tibial osteotomy is to redistribute [⁹,¹²] weight bearing laod from arthritic portion to viable articular cartilage portion of knee [¹²]. It becomes a mandatory operation adjunct to articular cartilage restoration when there is preoperative malalignment [²⁶]. Advantage of high tibial osteotomy includes preservation of joint and induction of biological remodelling with reduced synovitis, local osteosclerosis and repair of articular cartilage [²⁶,²⁷], delay the need for an arthroplasty [²⁷].

A high tibial medial open wedge osteotomy [²⁶,²⁷] has proved to be effective in mild to moderate osteoarthritus of medial compartment of knee in active young individuals, is less invasive, safe, easier to perform, correction of deformity close to origin, provides more predictable correction intraoperatively, better preservation of bone stock, avoid peroneal nerve injury and proximal tibio-fibular joints disruption [³⁰], avoid compartment syndrome [³¹], less expansive and the relative ease of combining it with other procedures. It reduces pain, improves mobility, range of movement, activity, survival [³²-³⁴].

In this study medial biplanar open wedge high tibial osteotomy was stabilised with non-locking plate with or without autologous bone grafting or bone graft substitute. This study, “a prospective study on functional outcome in high tibial medial open wedge osteotomy in medial compartmental osteoarthritis of knee” has been taken to evaluate functional outcome in medial open wedge high tibial osteotomy in selected patients.

Aims

Aims of study is to evaluate functional outcome of high tibial medial wedge osteotomy in medial compartment osteoarthritis of knee.
Objectives of study is to assess functional outcome in high tibial medial wedge osteotomy in unicompartamental osteoarthritis of knee in terms pain relief, range of movement (ROM), correction of deformity, functional outcome using knee society scoring system.

Patients (Materials) and Methods
The study is a prospective and observational study conducted in the department of Orthopedics, Tata Main Hospital, Jamshedpur, between November 2015 to October 2016 including the patients admitted at orthopedics department with knee pain and varus deformity. Approval by the ethics committee of Hospital, Jamshedpur had taken and written informed consent of patients obtained to conduct the study. Total study population was 25 out of that we are considering only 80% of that population. Taking the α at 0.05 and desired power of study is 80% the sample size needed is 20.

Inclusion criteria
1. Pain and disability resulting from osteoarthritis in active patient
2. Age: < 60 years
3. Evidence of medial compartment involvement
4. Patient must able to use crutches / walker
5. Patient should have sufficient muscle strength and motivation to carry out rehabilitation program
6. BMI < 35

Exclusion criteria
1. Bi-compartment or tri-compartment involvement
2. Lateral subluxation of tibia > 1cm
3. Flexion contracture > 200
4. Knee flexion < 900
5. Medial compartment tibia bone loss > 3 mm
6. > 20° of varus correction is needed.
7. Present or past history of inflammatory arthritis
8. Significant peripheral vascular disease
9. BMI > 35

Methodology
All patients admitted for medial compartment osteoarthritis with varus deformity of knee in orthopedics department in Tata Main Hospital, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand from 5th November 2015 to 4th October 2016, were examined clinically, radiologically and patient will be taken for high tibial medial open wedge osteotomy according to inclusion and exclusion.

Patients were operated with open wedge high tibial osteotomy, fixation was done by non-locking T-buttress plate, augmentation done by autologous bone graft or synthetic bone graft according to opening gap (> 13mm).

Clinically patients was assessed according to Knee society scoring system, range of knee movement by goniometer and radiologically X-ray was taken from hip to ankle by PACS in DICOM system. Ahlbäck radiographic grade used for grading of osteoarthrits. Also pain was assessed in VAS score.

Pre-operatively desire correction angle deformity measured by Minciac technique, per-operative mechanical axis had been checked by cable method.

Pre-operative and postoperative evaluation of medial compartment osteoarthritis by Ahlbäck score, various malalignment by atFA (anatomical tibio-femoral axis), MPTA (Mechanical proximal tibial angle), JLCA (joint line convergence angle), mTFA (mechanical tibio-femoral angle), PTS (posterior tibial slope), severity of pain measured on visual analog scale (VAS), knee function according to Knee Society Score [26] are to be measured.

Patients will be follow up clinically, radiologically at 6week, 3rd, 6th month of postoperative period. Grading of results done according to final KSS score.

BMI, early and late complication and additional surgery such as removal of implant or other complication would be noted.

Statistical Method
The collected data organized, tabulated and statistically analysis using “MedCalc”. The data will be analyzed by appropriate statistical tools.

Results
Present study was conducted at the department of orthopaedics, Tata Main Hospital, Bistupur, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand from November 2015 to October 2016 on 20 comparatively young patients of medial compartmental osteoarthritis of knee. This study was conducted with aims and objectives of improving clinical and radiological, functional outcome. In our study mean age of the patients was 50 year (50.75 ± 5.63). Out of 20 patients, 14 patients are female and rest 6 patients are male. Nearly 45% women over the age of 65 year have symptoms while radiological evidence is found in 70 % of those over 65years. OA is a major cause of mobility impairment, particularly among female [26]. Out of 20 knees, 75% were right and 25% were left. All patients were right dominant. Osteoarthritis of knee in young active age group is more common in dominant side.

Mean height of all patients was 1.63 meter. Post-operatively there was no limb length discrepancy. Mean weight of patient was 65.25 kg, mean BMI was 24.06. Mean knee pain score was 17 ± 6.57 pre-operatively, in post- operative period was 43 ± 5.23.

Mean pre-operative range of movement (ROM) was 115.25 ± 8.96. Post-operative ROM improved in all patients except one who has lateral condyle of tibia fracture intra-operatively. None of our patients developed extension lag. Mean postoperative ROM is 124 ± 4.47.

In 20 patients no one has preoperative antero-posterior instability. Only one patients had 6-9mm medio-lateral instability. There was no change of stability in any patients after surgery.

In all patients, knee society score (KSS part I) improved significantly. Preoperative mean knee score was 41.95 ± 8.39, postoperative knee score was 89.35 ± 9.31.

Mean preoperative functional knee score was 46.5 ± 9.05, which improved in all patients postoperatively, postoperative mean functional knee score was 79.25 ± 5.68.

Preoperative Ahlbäck radiographic grade was grade II for 11 patients (55%), grade III was for 9 patients (45%). Within our followup period Ahlbäck grading was unchanged. Preoperative anatomical femero-tibial angle (aFTA) was 2.950±0.76 varus, and postoperative aFTA was 6.750±0.87 valgus.

In our study, pre-operative mTFA was 8.8 ± 1.58 (varus) and post-operative mTFA was 3.05 ± 0.76, mean change 11.850. Mean preoperative joint line convergence angle (JLCA) was 3.680 ± 0.75. After HTO, it had been reduced in all patients. Mean postoperative JLCA was 1.850 ± 0.37. Mean chage of JLCA is 1.230.

Preoperative mean mechanical medial proximal tibial angle (MPTA) was 84 ± 1.34. Postoperative mean MPTA was 92.85 ± 1.75.

Preoperative mean axis deviation (MAD) of all patients was

---

“213”
We had taken the patients in early osteoarthritis in relatively active patients, no mediolateral or anterioposterior instability, no flexion deformity, stable fixation done, bone graft was applied with gap > 13 mm intra-operatively, early range of movement started, results was excellent in 80% cases. Common problems with high tibial osteotomy is difficulty in achieving an accurate degree of correction. The ideal angular correction for the best long-term outcome is unclear. Poor results have been reported where undercorrection of the deformity has occurred. Even when a specific angle of correction has been planned pre-operatively, it has been reported that optimal correction is not achieved in 20% of HTOs. This may be due to difficulty in standardising the radiographs, rotational malalignment may affect the perceived deformity. It has also been shown that there is no correlation between knee alignment and pain and therefore static correction of the deformity alone may not be reliable. Slight overcorrection in HTO produces more satisfying results. However, the optimal degree of valgus angulation is still controversial. Dugdale et al. recommended 3°-5° valgus mechanical axis, whereas other authors suggested 3°-6°, 3°-7°, or 7°-9°. Convery et al. reported that the 10-year survival rates were 63% in knees with 50 valgus angulation, 87% in knees with 60-70 valgus angulation, and 94% in knees with ≥80 valgus angulation.

**Conclusion**

In this present study, our procedure of high tibial medial open wedge osteotomy is very effective in active young patients with uni-compartamental osteoarthritis of knee. We had fixed the osteotomy gap with T-buttress plate. This procedure can offer freedom from pain, excellent range of movement and functional outcome with minimal post-operative complications. This osteotomy is comparatively easy to perform than lateral closing wedge osteotomy. With the calibrated osteotome guide, we achieved précised osteotomy angle which is very important for achieving post-operatively satisfactory results. It is a bone preserving surgery. It is usually a time buying procedure to delay eventual total knee arthroplasty.

We had applied bone graft in 6 patients, autologous bone graft in five patients and synthetic bone graft one patients. Regarding complication, two patients had superficial wound infection which was healed by regular dressing and changing of antibiotics. There was a lateral condyle of tibia fracture in one patient during operation. Finally there is significant improvement of range of knee movement, reduction of pain, improvement of KSS score.

**Table 1:** Comparison of different scoring among the patients during the given time period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>ROM Mean ± s.d</th>
<th>Knee scores Mean ± s.d</th>
<th>Pain scores Mean ± s.d</th>
<th>Functional scores Mean ± s.d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>117.25±7.34</td>
<td>81.95±9.74</td>
<td>28.5±5.87</td>
<td>51.25±18.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>120.75±4.37</td>
<td>86.65±9.34</td>
<td>37.75±4.72</td>
<td>66.75±8.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>123.5±5.15</td>
<td>89.35±9.31</td>
<td>43±5.23</td>
<td>79.25±5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F°val</td>
<td>38.43</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>26.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P – value</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>significant</td>
<td>significant</td>
<td>significant</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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