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Abstract

Introduction: The treatment of humeral shaft fractures using intramedullary nailing (IMN) and dynamic compression plating (DCP) is controversial. The present study was designed to evaluate the functional outcome, fracture union time and complications of IMN and DCP for fractures of humerus shaft.

Methods: This hospital based one year prospective study was done in the Department of Orthopaedics, KLES Dr. Prabhakar Kore Hospital and Medical Research Centre, Belgaum from January 2014 to December 2014. A total of 30 patients with humeral shaft fractures were studied. Based on the method of treatment, patients were divided into two groups of 15 each as group A (Nailing) and group (Plating). Patients were followed up for the fracture union, complications and functional outcome based on Constant Murley score.

Results: Majority of the patients (80%) in group A were males while in group B, 53.33% of the patients were males. (p=0.121). The mean age was comparable in both the groups (34.33±11.27 vs. 33.20±11.73 years; p=0.789). There was almost equal distribution of patients in group A and B with respect to fracture side, type, mode of injury and associated injuries (p>0.050). In group A, shoulder stiffness and superficial infection were present in 13.33% and 6.67% of the patients while in group B, radial nerve palsy and superficial infection were noted in 6.67% and 13.33% of the patients respectively.

Conclusion and Interpretation: Intramedullary nailing and DCP are equally effective for the treatment of shaft of humerus fractures.
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Introduction

Fractures of the humeral shaft are commonly encountered in orthopedic clinics, and these fractures make up 1.31 to 3% of all fractures. Large epidemiologic studies report a bimodal distribution with a minor peak in the third decade consisting mainly of men sustaining high-energy trauma and a second major peak in the eighth decade, mostly women with osteoporotic fractures resulting from simple falls.

Fractures are often classified by their location: proximal third, midshaft and distal third. The widely used and accepted AO classification scheme classifies fractures by type and severity. Type A fractures are simple spiral, oblique and transverse fractures. Spiral, bending and fragmented wedge fractures are classified as B1, 2 and 3 and Type C fractures are complex fractures.

The mechanism of injury may be direct or indirect. In the case of indirect force the accident involves falling onto the elbow or hand. Direct force is applied during impact of the folded arm with an edge or, more often, during direct impact of the abducted arm with an obstacle. Depending on the mechanism, the fracture may include a bending wedge. High energy trauma often produces multifragmentary and/or severely dislocated fractures. The severity of these injuries can be classified with reference to the Injury Severity Score (ISS).

The clinical evaluation of a patient with a humeral shaft fracture should comprise complete physical examination and a detailed neurovascular examination. Conventional radiographs in two planes are the standard diagnostic procedure.

The treatment approaches for these injuries continue to evolve as advances are made in both non-operative and operative management. The humeral shaft is covered with muscles and is well-vascularized. A slight malunion is functionally tolerated.
It is generally agreed that the majority of humeral shaft fractures are best treated non-operatively, but there are indications for primary or secondary operative treatment in some situations. Non-operative or conservative treatment may involve the use of casts or functional braces. In cases associated with severe complications, an operative intervention is preferred.

Objectives
The objective of this study was to determine the best functional outcome for shaft of humerus fractures, nailing or plating.

Methodology
The present study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, during the period from January 2014 to December 2014.

Study design
The study design was a hospital based one year prospective study.

Duration and period of study
Present one year study was conducted from January 2014 to December 2014.

Place
This study was done in the Department of Orthopaedics.

Results
The present hospital based one year prospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, KLES Dr. Prabhakar Kore Hospital and Medical Research Centre, Belgaum. A total of 30 patients with humeral shaft fractures underwent either nailing or plating. The patients were divided into two groups of 15 each as group A (Nailing) and group (Plating).

Intraoperative Pics

The data obtained was analysed and the final results and observations were tabulated as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Group A (n=15)</th>
<th>Group B (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = 0.121
In the present study 80% of the patients in group A were males compared to 53.33% in group B. The male to female ratio in group A was 4:1 and in group B, it was 1.14:1. However, this difference was statistically not significant (p=0.121).

### Table 2: Age distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (Years)</th>
<th>Group A (n=15)</th>
<th>Group B (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 to 45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 to 60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = 1.000

In this study 46.67% of the patients each in group A and group B were aged between 31 to 45 years (p=1.000).

### Table 3: Side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Group A (n=15)</th>
<th>Group B (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = 0.143
In the present study 66.67% of the patients in group A sustained fracture on right side compared to 60% of the patients in group B on left side. However this difference was statistically not significant (p=0.143).

Discussion
The operative treatment of acute humeral shaft fractures is still fraught with a high complication rate, even with current fracture fixation techniques. The optimal method of humeral shaft fracture fixation remains in debate. Two techniques under investigation include intramedullary nailing and plate fixation. Plating provides satisfactory results but requires extensive dissection, and meticulous radial nerve protection. The plate may fail in osteoporotic bone. With the dynamic success of intramedullary fixation of fractures of the femur and tibia, there was speculation that intramedullary nailing might be more appropriate for humeral shaft fractures than plating. The theoretical advantage of intramedullary nailing included less invasive surgery, an undisturbed fracture hematoma and use of a load sharing device support. The phenomenon success of interlocking nailing in long bones like femur and tibia is not seen in humerus. The IMIL nail may also cause rotator cuff injury and impair the movements at shoulder joint. According to recent studies\(^8\)\(^{-10}\),\(^12\) the preferred method of fixation of humerus is by dynamic compression plate. This study compared the outcomes of dynamic compression plating and interlocking nailing for the fracture shaft of humerus.

Conclusion
No single treatment option is superior in all circumstances for a particular fracture and each case has to be individualised. Based on the findings of this study it may be concluded that, nailing and plating are equally effective for the treatment of shaft of humerus fractures in terms of fracture union time, functional outcome and complications.

Summary
The treatment of humeral shaft fractures using IMN and DCP is controversial especially considering fracture union, complications and outcome. The present study was an attempt to determine the functional outcome for shaft of humerus fractures using IMN and DCP.

This hospital based one year prospective study was done in the Department of Orthopaedics, KLES Dr. Prabhakar Kore Hospital and Medical Research Centre, Belgaum from January 2014 to December 2014. A total of 30 patients with humeral shaft fractures were studied. Based on the method of treatment, patients were divided into two groups of 15 each as group A (Nailing) and group (Plating). Patients were followed up for the fracture union, complications and functional outcome based on Constant Murley score.

Majority of the patients (80%) in group A were males while in group B, 53.33% of the patients were males. The male to female ratio in group A was 4:1 as against 1.14:1 in group B (p=0.121). The commonest age group was 31 to 45 years with 46.67% of the patients each in group A and group B (p=1.000). The mean age was comparable in both the groups (34.33±11.27 vs. 33.20±11.73 years; p=0.789). Other preoperative characteristics including, side of the fracture, type of the fracture, mode of injury and associated injuries were comparable in group A and group B (p>0.050).

In patients with group A, shoulder stiffness and superficial infection were present in 13.33% and 6.67% of the patients respectively. In group B, radial nerve palsies and superficial infection were noted in 6.67% and 13.33% of the patients respectively. The fracture union time in group was ≤8 weeks in 46.67% of the patient compared to 40% in group B and the mean fracture union time (8.8 ± 3.72 vs 9.86 ± 2.97 weeks; p=0.394) was comparable. Based on Constant Murley score, 73.33% of the patients had excellent functional outcome in group A compared to 80% in group B (p=0.523) and mean Constant Murley Scores (80.93 ± 15.47 vs 84.60 ± 14.30; p=0.506) were also almost comparable. Intramedullary nailing and DC plating are equally effective for the treatment of shaft of humerus fractures.
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