Comparative study of the effect of suprascapular nerve block under ultrasound guidance and blind shoulder infiltration (Using lignocaine and methylprednisolone acetate) in chronic shoulder pain
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Abstract

Introduction: Shoulder joint is very important joint for day to day activities and pain in shoulder results in leads to increased functional disability with poor quality of life. Chronic shoulder pain common complaints encountered by treating physicians all over world. The incidence of chronic shoulder pain is approximately 15-30% with variations among different population and age groups. The treatment modalities available for alleviating chronic shoulder pain varies from simple anti-inflammatory drugs to interventional procedures like intra-articular steroid injections, suprascapular nerve block (SSNB).

AIM: To compare the pain relief and return of function in shoulder joint using suprascapular nerve block under ultrasound guidance (lignocaine and methylprednisolone acetate) versus shoulder infiltration (lignocaine and methylprednisolone acetate) in chronic shoulder pain.

Study Design: Prospective, randomised study, data was collected from patients with chronic shoulder pain in the age group of 18-60 years coming to orthopedic outpatient department at Yenepoya Medical College Hospita with chronic shoulder pain.

Materials and Methods: Suprascapular nerve block group: 2ml of 40mg methyl prednisolone +2ml of lignocaine given, supervision of anesthetist under ultrasound guidance. Intra-articular steroid group: 2ml of 40mg methyl prednisolone acetate +2ml 2% lignocaine hydrochloride given through posterior approach to shoulder. Eligible participants was randomly assigned to receive the same combination of medications either by suprascapular nerve block under ultrasound guidance or blind shoulder infiltration and compare the effect of lignocaine and corticosteroid in suprascapular nerve block and shoulder infiltration in chronic shoulder pain by assessing DASH score, Constant-Murley score, SPADI score and VAS pain score on day 7 and day 28 of the procedure.

Results: There were no significant differences between the groups in terms of pain, demographic variables, Range of movement and disability scores when compared to baseline. (p >0.05). However suprascapular nerve block showed slight better improvement in pain, range of movement and disability score at initial (i.e. at 1week) and at 4 week follow up when compared with that of intra-articular steroid infiltration but scores were not statistically significant. Parameters obtained in both groups were significant at final assessments when compared to the baseline scores.

Conclusion: Suprascapular nerve block can be considered as a safe, effective, well tolerated treatment and alternative line of treatment in patient suffering from chronic shoulder pain.
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Introduction

Shoulder joint is very important joint for day to day activities and pain in shoulder results in leads to increased functional disability with poor quality of life [1]. Chronic shoulder pain is defined as shoulder pain lasting for a period of more than 6 months. Shoulder joint pain is one of the common complaints encountered by treating physicians all over world [1]. Prevalence of shoulder pain varies widely (from 1% to 67%) across different population. The incidence of chronic shoulder pain is approximately 15-30% with variations among different population and age groups [2-4]. The most common etiologies of chronic shoulder disorders include rotator cuff syndrome, gleno-humeral joint osteoarthritis, adhesive capsulitis, post traumatic pain and...
persistent pain following surgery [5]. Other causes can be rheumatologic disorders like osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, rheumatoid arthritis or secondary to the damage of nerves supplying shoulder joint due to trauma or neurodegenerative diseases like diabetes, chronic alcoholism, etc. Condition in which steroid are contraindicated are Septic arthritis, tuberculosis, uncontrolled diabetic mellitus, systemic infection. The treatment modalities available for alleviating chronic shoulder pain varies from simple anti-inflammatory drugs to interventional procedures like intra-articular steroid injections, supra-scapular nerve block (SSNB), etc. A substantial number of patients may not be appropriate surgical candidates, or they may have significant medical co-morbidities. SSNB has a long history of reducing pain and improving range of motion in patients with shoulder pain [7]. The supra-scapular nerve (SSN) innervates nearly 70% of the shoulder joint and therefore, its blockade is a commonly accepted mode of pain therapy in acute and chronic settings [8]. SSNB being simple, inexpensive and associated with minimal complication [9]. Different approaches in blocking the SSN to reduce its complications have been proposed by different authors. The incidence of pneumothorax associated with supra-scapular block is reported as <1%. Avoiding entering the supra-scapular notch in the vertical plane has been postulated to decrease the risk of pneumothorax [10]. The present study describes a novel technique to block SSN for chronic shoulder pain.

**Supra-scapular nerve anatomy:**

![Fig 1: Suprascapular nerve course and relations][11]

Supra-scapular nerve, a mixed nerve, originates in upper trunk of brachial plexus, C5 and C6 roots, receiving in over 50% contributions of C4 root and crosses the deep posterior triangle of neck, below omohyoid muscle and trapezium, entering the supra-scapular incisures/notch below the superior transverse scapular ligament. The nerve then enters into the supra-scapular fossa, where two motor branches to the supraspinatus muscle originate [fig1]. Just proximal to the supra-scapular notch, the SSN gives off the sensitive branches, which travels with it through the notch before proceeding laterally to innervate the acromio-clavicular joint and its associated bursa and the coraco-clavicular and coracohumeral ligaments. It continues its descending obliquous path bypassing the spinoglenoid (SGN) incisure, under the inferior transverse scapular ligament present in 50% of people. It follows then toward the infraspinatus fossa, in which it provides three to four motor branches for infraspinatus muscle.

**Sonoanatomy**

Sonographically, the suprascapular nerve often appears hyperechoic. At the suprascapular notch, the suprascapular nerve is located deep to the supraspinatus muscle and also deep to the suprascapular artery (SSA) and the superior transverse scapular ligament [fig-4] (STSL) [12-24].

**Materials and Methods**

The data was collected after ethical committee clearance for the study is obtained. After explaining the procedure, participants willing to enroll will be asked to read the participant information sheet, clarify doubts and sign the consent form. Data was collected from patients with chronic shoulder pain in the age group of 18-60 years coming to orthopedic outpatient department at Yenepoya Medical College Hospital. The eligible participants was randomly assigned to receive the same combination of medications either by suprascapular nerve block under ultrasound guidance or blind shoulder infiltration and compare the effect of lignocaine and corticosteroid in suprascapular nerve block and shoulder infiltration in chronic shoulder pain by assessing DASH score, Constant-Murley score, SPADI score and VAS pain score on day 7 and day 28 of the procedure. The intervention will be carried out by principal investigator under the physical guidance of the co-PI. The ultrasound scanning will be done (co-PI). All care will be taken to ensure good quality of health care.

**Group A:** suprascapular nerve block group: 2ml of 40mg methyl prednisolone +2ml of lignocaine given, supervision of anesthetist under ultrasound guidance.

**Group B:** intra articular steroid group: 2ml of 40mg methyl prednisolone acetate +2ml 2% lignocaine hydrochloride given through posterior approach to shoulder.

**Treatment options K, H**

**Group A**

**Scanning technique**

The technique consists of injecting anesthetic agent in supraspinatus fossa of affected shoulder, with the patient sitting down and upper limbs pending beside the body, palpate anatomical parameters like clavicle, acromioclavicular articulation, acromion, scapula spine and coracoid process. This entire area is sterilized with alcohol, the needle introduction on a perpendicular line is drawn from the angle of the scapula upward to bisect the spine of the scapula. About 2cm lateral to the intersecting point, in the upper outer quadrant of the scapula [fig3].
Fig 2: Patient in sitting position and place the hand over to the contralateral shoulder. This will move the scapula laterally to provide more space for SSN scanning.

Fig 3: Suprascapular nerve block (using bupivacaine and methylprednisolone acetate) in chronic shoulder pain E M Shanahan, M Ahern et al.. [25]

Preparation of skin and transducer done, a linear 38-mm high frequency 10-12 MHz transducer was placed on scapula and transverse view of the suprascapular nerve (SSN) and suprascapular vessels with best possible way was obtained. Patient was asked to place the hand over to the contralateral shoulder [fig 2]. This will make scapula to move more laterally to provide space for SSN scanning. This will also make the target SSN injection to be placed more laterally away from thorax and surrounding structure. Transducer was placed oblique to the spine of the scapula in the supraspinous fossa because oblique course of SSN between the suprascapular notch and spinoglenoid notch. The transducer on one side was placed over the scapular spine and other side directing towards the coracoid process [12-24].

Localization of Nerve
Trapezius muscle (TZ, more superficial) and the supraspinatus muscle (SSM). The bony landmarks are the supraspinous fossa, the suprascapular notch located anterior at the lateral part of the superior margin of the scapula and the spinoglenoid notch located more postero-laterally. SSM was first identified, when compared to overlying trapezius muscle SSM was thicker and was present in supraspinous fossa. A continuous hyperechoic line deep to the SSM was visualised to be floor of supraspinous fossa. Transducer was moved laterally to visualize the acromial end of the clavicle and/or the acromion; both cast a superficial bony shadow. Outer end of the transducer was turned slowly and anteriorly towards the tip of the palpable coracoid process. Transducer slowly angled anteriorly to visualize the suprascapular notch. One can visualise the SSN with in the suprascapular notch. Above the SSN suprascapular artery can be visualised and the superior transverse scapular ligament. Pneumothorax can be caused by accidental anterior advancement of the needle by puncturing the pleura. Supraspinous fossa was followed posteriorly to find the neck of the scapula which shows up as a depression of the supraspinous fossa bone shadow. The optimal location to perform SSN block is half way between the scapular notch and the spinoglenoid notch. The SSN is located next to the suprascapular artery and underneath the deep fascia of the SSM [12-24].

Fig 4: Sonoanatomy of suprascapular nerve and its surrounding structures over the suprascapular notch
Needle insertion approach
Halfway between the suprascapular and the spinoglenoid notches SSN is blocked and there is no need to visualize the notches at the time of injection [fig 5][12-24].

Local anesthetic injection
First local anesthetic with corticosteroid was injected deep to the fascia of the SSM. If local anesthetic spread is detected initially within the SSM, it is necessary to advance the needle further to traverse the fascia of the SSM[fig6][12-24].

Group B: Intra articular steroid the needle will be inserted 2 to 3cm inferior to the posterolateral corner of the acromion and directed anteriorly in the direction of the coracoid process. As with any injection, aspiration will be done to ensure that there has not been needle placement in the blood vessel. Injection was given slowly, but with consistent pressure.

Source of Data: Patients undergoing procedure for compare the effect of lignocaine and corticosteroid infiltration in suprascapular nerve block and shoulder infiltration in chronic shoulder pain at Yenepoya Medical College from period of NOV 2019 to Feb 2020.

Sample Size
- 40 patients were included in study, patient with chronic shoulder pain with minimum of 6 months duration who come to orthopaedics OPD.
- 20 patients in intra-Articular steroid infiltration and 20 patients in suprascapular nerve block.
- Sampling technique- simple random sampling technique
- Randomization- Simple random
- Validation of study tool-Pre-validated tools are being used
- Data will be analysed using SPSS version 23 and presented at mean +/-SD. Patient characteristic data will be analysed with one way ANOVA for continuous variable and chi square test for categorical value. P <0.05 will be considered as significant.

Statistical Analysis
1. Data will be entered in MS excel.
2. Data will be analysed in SPSS version 22
3. Variable (Test used to assess): Age (one sample t test), Sex (chi-square test), Diagnosis (Chi square test), Side affected (Chi square test)

Inclusion criteria
All patients with a chronic shoulder pain have to meet the following inclusion criteria before enrollment:
- Pain and stiffness in one or both the shoulders for at least 6 months.
- Restricted and passive range of motion at the glenohumeral joint.
- Pain at night causing sleep disturbance and inability to lie on the affected side.
- No history of recent trauma.
- No previous injection in the involved shoulder.
- No history of allergy to local anesthetics.
- No medical condition such as coagulation disorders.

Exclusion Criteria
If one of the following exclusion criteria applies, the patient is not eligible for the study:
Condition in which steroid are contraindicated are Septic arthritis, tuberculosis, uncontrolled diabetic mellitus, systemic infection and hence following clinical examination and investigation are done in detail to rule out the above pathology and the patient are included in the above study. If any of above condition patient is suffering with they are excluded from the study.
1) Septic arthritis: Clinical history and examination+ blood investigation (CBC, ESR and CRP).
2) Diabetic mellitus: FBS, PPBS, hba1c(if k/o DM or symptoms suggestive of DM)
3) Tuberculosis: Clinical history (UN explained weight loss and loss of appetite), x ray of shoulder joint if pathology suspected.
4) System infection: History, CBC, ESR, CRP

Discontinuation criteria: Patients with irregular follow-up was excluded from the study.
Adverse events: We did not find any adverse events in either of the groups. However, any unanticipated adverse event occur (such as hypersensitivity to methylprednisolone), the same was adequately managed by the co-PI.

Rehabilitation
The patients was prescribed NSAIDs for five days in patient in both group and was taught home exercise program to be done by patients themselves. The home exercise program comprised of wall slides, wand exercises, towel stretch, active capsular stretch exercise, pendulum exercise and shoulder circumduction [Fig 7].

Fig 7: Rehabilitation-home exercise programe

Results and Interpretation
Fourty patients (16 females and 24 males) with the mean age of 49.57 years were included in this study. In SI group, there were 7 females and 13 males with the mean age of 50.25±5.955years (ranged between years). Duration of the symptoms was more than 6 months in all the patients. 10 patients (50%) had dominant shoulder involvement (Table 1). In SSNB group, there were 9 females and 11 males with the mean age of 48.85 ±7.088 years (ranged between years). Duration of the symptoms was more than 6 months in all 20 patients. 10 patients (50%) had dominant shoulder involvement (Table 1). All the patients both in steroid infiltration as well as suprascapular nerve block group had come for regular follow-up assessment. 1 complications occurred in SSNB group-patient had initial relief in pain but gradually after period of 1week patient started complaining of severe pain and underwent MRI of shoulder and was diagnosed to have complete tera of rotator cuff, later patient underwent rotator cuff repair. 3 patients in SI group complained for increase in pain but gradually pain was reduced within 3-4 days. At final assessment no patient claimed for any side effect of the interventions. Constant score in suprascapular group- 7(good), 10(satisfactory) and 3 (adequate) at 4 weeks of follow up and that in intra-articular steroid infiltration group was-8 (good), 7(satisfactory), 4(adequate) and 1(poor) result was noted [fig8 and 9]. There were no significant differences between the groups in terms of pain, demographic variables, Range of movement and disability scores when compared to baseline. (p >0.05).

Table 1: Demographic data of the patients and their relation with Symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>SIS Group</th>
<th>SNB Group</th>
<th>Relation (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>50.25 ±5.955</td>
<td>48.85 ±7.088</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male/Female)</td>
<td>13 (65%)</td>
<td>11 (55%)</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive Apsulitis</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Supraspinatus Tear</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impingement Syndrome</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Supraspinatus Tear</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraspinatus Tendinosis</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendinosis Of Supraspinatus With Degenerative with Slap 1 Tear</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bar graph 1: Steroid Infiltration to shoulder Diagnosis
Bar graph 2: Suprascapular Nerve Block Diagnosis

Pie Chart 1: Steroid Infiltration to shoulder Side affected

Pie Chart 2: Suprascapular nerve block shoulder side affected

Table 2: Differences in follow up in the Steroid Infiltration to shoulder (one sample t test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRE-operative</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>4th weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dash core</td>
<td>51.48 ±19.36</td>
<td>31.72 ±16.81</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vas score</td>
<td>7.24 ±1.513</td>
<td>2.80 ±1.82</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spadi score</td>
<td>59.80 ±14.53</td>
<td>35.14 ±14.99</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant murley</td>
<td>31.35 ±12.88</td>
<td>57 ±10.63</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Differences in follow up in the suprascapular nerve block (one sample t test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>4th weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dash score</td>
<td>50.15 ±12.04</td>
<td>23.74 ±10.80</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vas score</td>
<td>7 ±1.52</td>
<td>2.15 ±1.08</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spadi score</td>
<td>61.84 ±18.52</td>
<td>29.23 ±15.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant murley</td>
<td>32.60 ±13.44</td>
<td>59.22 ±10.60</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Differences in improvements between the groups (Independent sample t test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>4th weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dash score</td>
<td>-1.791</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vas score</td>
<td>-1.368</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spadi score</td>
<td>-1.245</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant murley</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Despite the several advances in the field of chronic pain, we still do not fully understand the nature and mechanisms of this condition. Previous results about intra-articular steroid injection to shoulder pain are controversial with different steroid preparations and by using different routes these results are usually compared with physiotherapy. In this study, we found no significant difference in pain relief, range of movement and functional outcome of Intra-articular steroid infiltration when compared with the ultrasound-guided steroid infiltration with local anaesthesia around suprascapular nerve in patient suffering from chronic shoulder pain of more than 6 months duration. The effect was prolonged and sustained even at the 6th week of follow-up. Taskaynatan et al. [23, 28] compare the effects of suprascapular nerve block with those of steroid injection in patients with non-specific shoulder pain. A total of 60 patients with shoulder pain lasting for more than four week. 30 patient is each group was included after randomization. Patients were evaluated before treatment, within one week and one month later, in terms of pain, range of motion, satisfaction, and disability. The difference in all follow-up parameters was statistically significant in the assessment periods in both groups (p <0.05). No complications was noted in suprascapular nerve block when compared to steroid injection. In other study done by Davinder Kumar Verma et al., 2019 [27] A prospective randomized, single dose of IASI (intra-articular steroid injection) with ultrasound-guided suprascapular nerve block (USNB) in patients with AC of ≥12 weeks’. The functional outcome measures were assessed with SPADI and passive range of motion (ROM). Follow-up at 1- week, 3- week, and 6- week time points. However, there was no statistically significant differences were found between the two groups at baseline or at follow-up (P > 0.05). Comparing SSNB with other interventions, Jones and Emery [25-26] demonstrated that SSNB was superior to conventional physiotherapy at 1 month in patients with adhesive capsulitis and rotator cuff tendinitis, respectively. Comparing SSNB with intra-articular corticosteroid injection, Kamel and Adey [26] Wakeling demonstrated that SSNB was superior in pain intensity and range of motion at 1 month in rheumatoid arthritis and adhesive capsulitis patients, respectively, while [27] Wasseif found no statistically significant differences at1 month in hemiplegic shoulder pain [29-30]. The results of the present study was more familiar with above study. SSNB can be considered as simple, safe and most accurate procedure when done under ultrasound guidance. SSNB can be considered as other therapeutic options such as anti-inflammatory drugs and IASIs [30]. Because it reduces peripheral inputs and decrease central sensitization of postsynaptic dorsal horn nociceptive neurons of the spinal cord [31]. Also causes depletion of substance P and nerve growth factor in the synovium and afferent C fibers of the glenohumeral joint after the blockade may also contribute to the long-term relief [32]. It also causes transient reduction in the local sensitization that could enable patients to have a better compliance with the recommended exercises, which have a known therapeutic effect [33]. We may affirm that there is a benefit of SSNB in shoulder pain of different etiologies in terms of pain, range of motion and function, that seems to be superior to the classic therapeutic modalities

Conclusion

- No significant difference was noted in pain, shoulder ROM and functional score between both intra-articular steroid infiltration and suprascapular nerve block in the present study.
- No major complications were associated in either of group. However initial (1st week) and final functional outcome (4th weeks) of suprascapular nerve block was slightly better when compared to intarticular steroid infiltration.
- This study provides evidence that suprascapular nerve block can be considered as a safe, effective and well
tolerated treatment for patients with chronic shoulder pain.

- Suprascapular nerve block can be considered as alternative treatment with patient suffering from chronic shoulder pain.
- Further randomized multicentric study with adequate follow up is required for further arrival of conclusion.

Limitation

- Small sample size with short follow up.
- All of the studies were conducted in outpatient settings and hence our findings are generalisable to those settings.
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