Functional outcome of Philos plate fixation in proximal humerus fractures
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Abstract

Background: Conservative treatment for Proximal humeral fractures were common in the past and often had compromised functional results. With the advancement of surgical techniques, proximal humerus fractures are now more often managed operatively for early rehabilitation and better functional outcome. The aim of this study was to assess the functional outcome of PHILOS plate fixation in proximal humerus fractures.

Materials and Methods: A prospective, non-controlled, non-randomized study was conducted on patients admitted in our institution between July 2016 and August 2017 with displaced proximal humeral fractures with mean age of 50 years (range 24-75 years). 15 patients were selected for the study. The outcome was assessed based on Constant-Murley score.

Results: Results were analysed according to Constant-Murley score. Excellent results were achieved in 3 (20%), good results in 7 (46.66%), fair results in 3 (20%) and poor result in 2 (13.33%) of the cases. Avascular necrosis (AVN) and non-union was observed in one patient.

Conclusion: PHILOS plate fixation for proximal humeral fracture is a good stable fixation with a good functional outcome especially in osteopenic elderly patients
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Introduction

Proximal humeral fractures account for approximately 5% of all fractures. It is more common in old age females. Proximal humeral fractures are the second most common upper-extremity fracture and the third most common fracture, in old age patients who are more than sixty-five years of age. They are about 5% of all injuries to appendicular skeleton [1]. In younger individuals, the cause of these fractures are basically high velocity trauma, whereas a simple fall may lead to fracture in older individuals because of osteopenia and osteoporosis. A major proportion of these fractures are stable, nondisplaced or minimally displaced and can be treated conservatively [3], 80-85% of undisplaced or minimally displaced fractures are treated conservatively. In approximately 20% of displaced fractures operative treatment may be beneficial [3]. The indication for fixing such a fracture depends on the fracture pattern, quality of bone and the age and activity of the patient. Many surgical techniques have evolved for treatment of displaced or comminuted proximal humerus fractures. Percutaneous pinning and intramedullary nailing have satisfactory results and have a low risk for infection, soft tissue disruption, and blood loss [6] However, many of these constructs are less stable than open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) with locking plates [5, 6].

Open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) with locking plating is a promising option in the treatment of displaced, comminuted proximal humerus fractures. The benefits includes improved fracture stability because of the fixed-angle construct, especially in more comminuted fracture patterns and in osteopenic bone; a short period of immobilization with earlier rehabilitation [9]. This study is conducted to report the outcome following this technique as a surgical option.

Materials and Methods

A prospective, non-controlled, non-randomized study was conducted on patient admitted in Department of Orthopaedics, RIMS, Ranchi between July 2016 and August 2017.
(one year duration) with displaced proximal humeral fractures with mean age of 50 years (range 24-75 years). 15 patients were selected for the study.

**Inclusion criteria**
1. Closed proximal humeral fracture
2. Failed non-operative treatment
3. Age older than 20 yrs

**Exclusion criteria**
1. Open fractures
2. Pathological fractures

Radiographs of shoulder anteroposterior view and axillary view were taken and fractures were classified according to the Neer's classification. Patients underwent open reduction and internal fixation with PHILOS for the sustained fractures under anaesthesia.

**Surgical Technique**
The standard deltopectoral approach was used in all cases with patient in beach chair position. For fracture reduction temporary fixation with K-wires was done. The plate was positioned distal to the tip of the greater tuberosity. The distal humeral screws were having bicortical purchase. Proximal locking screws were extended till subchondral area. After confirmation of adequate fixation under image intensifier, the wound was closed in layers with proper anti septic dressing.

Immediate post-operative radiographs were taken to assess the alignment of bone and maintenance of reduction. Patients were followed up at 1st post-operative day, 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months after the surgery.

Our physiotherapy regime consisted of polysling for 3 weeks with pendulum exercises started from 3rd post-operative day, followed by active assisted external rotation to neutral and active assisted flexion. At 6 weeks they were allowed full range of movements. Resistive strengthening was begun after fracture union had been ensured.

The final results were evaluated using the Constant-Murley score: This system base on 100 point score composed of a number of individual parameters. The subjective parameters assess the degree of pain the patient experiences and the ability to perform normal tasks of daily living. Both of these assessments are subjective and are carried out independently prior to objective testing of active motion range and shoulder power. Constant score were categorized as <30 =unsatisfactory; 30-39=fair; 40-59=good; 60-69=very good; and 70 and over =excellent [20]

**Results**
This study consisted of total 15 patients. In the present study maximum numbers of patients were found to be in fifth and sixth decades with average age 50 years (range 24-75 years). There were 9 male and 6 female patients with Male: Female sex ratio of 3:2. Left side 9 (60%) proximal humeral fractures were more than right side 6 (40%) in the present study. Mode of injury was Fall on outstretched hand from standing position (low energy trauma) in 5 (33.33%), Motor vehicle accidents in 6 (40%), Fall from height in 3 (20%) and assault in 1 (6.66%) patient. As per Neer’s type; 8 were 2 part (53.33%), 5 were 3 part (33.33%) while 2 were 4 part (13.33%) fractures. Fracture union was achieved in all the patients with a mean duration of 12 weeks. All the cases were followed up as per protocol and findings were recorded. Results were analysed according to Constant-Murley score. Excellent results were achieved in 3 (20%), good results in 7 (46.66%), fair results in 3 (20%) and poor result in 2 (13.33%) of the cases. Total mean constant score was 72.2. The mean constant score in cases above 60 yrs of age is 56.5 and the mean constant score in patients below 60 yr of age is 82.7. No intra-operative complication was seen in any of the cases. Two patients developed subacromial impingement due superior positioning of plate and one patient had nonunion and avascular necrosis.
Surgical fixation of the proximal humeral fractures is a challenging task for orthopaedic surgeons and has been controversial. A major proportion of these fractures are stable, nondisplaced or minimally displaced and can be treated conservatively [2]. For displaced proximal humerus fractures, treatment with conventional plates and screws have been reported with high rates of unsatisfactory outcomes and complications [10]. Locking plates are angular stable plates which have advantage of secure fixation in metaphyseal and osteoporotic bones. Locking plates are proven with biomechanical studies that they resist physiological loads more effectively [11, 12]. There are many clinical studies which indicate that proximal humerus locking plates have good result in proximal humerus fractures [13-15]. Functional outcome not only depends on the quality of bone stock, but also on the stability provided by the implant. In an internal locking system like the PHILOS plate, all forces are transmitted from the bone via the locking head screws to the blade, and vice versa. Hence, the principle of fixed angle plates enables a gain in torsional stiffness and stability, and may therefore promote a superior outcome.

The average incidence of age in our series of 15 patients study, was 50 years, which was consistent with the age of incidence in studies done by Egol et al (61 years) and the average age of incidence in Gerber et al, study was 44.9 years [18, 19]. Further as compared with other studies, our study showed a higher incidence of proximal humerus fractures in men than in women. The gender ration was 3:2, this can be explained by a higher day to day activities in males as compared to females. In our study, fracture occurred on right side in 6 patients and on left side in 9 patients. Gerber reported, in their series of 34 fractures, 16 were on left side and 18 were on right side [19]. The most common fracture pattern in our study was two part fracture. Similar observations had also been reported by Björkenheim et al. [18]. By contrast, Koukakis et al, Rose et al, Siwach et al, and Fankhauser et al had reported a significant higher incidence of three-part fractures in their series [13, 14, 21, 22].

Thyagarajan et al in their study on 30 patients reported an average Constant score of 57.5, with mean age of 58 years (range 19-92 years) and fractures were Neer's 2-part, 3-part, and 4-part fractures [16]. Aggarwal et al found moderate to excellent outcome in 90% of patients in their study. Constant score for younger patients was also significantly higher (p=0.12). The mean age of the patients was reported as 58.51 years (range 23-81 years) and fracture types were Neer 2-part, 3-part, and 4-part fractures and fracture dislocations [17]. In our study mean constant score was 72.2 which is comparable with other studies mentioned. AVN of the humeral head is a known complication of proximal humeral fracture, reported most commonly with four-part fractures. Klici et al used Philos for fixation of proximal humeral fractures and reported AVN in only one of 22 patients in their series [23]. Korkmaz et al did not observe osteonecrosis in any of their 41 patients operated using the same implant. 24. Frangen et al reported osteonecrosis in 11 patients (6 were partial and 5 total) [7]. In our study, there was avascular necrosis (AVN) of the humeral head in only one patient.

**Conclusion**

The study has presented a surgical option in the management of displaced proximal humeral fractures Adequate surgical skills and surgeon’s experiences with the surgical technique are necessary to achieve correct implant fixation and avoid intraoperative errors. Also postoperative physiotherapy plays an important role in rehabilitation of the patient to provide good results. This study shows it gives good functional outcome in young patients. The elderly were able to return to independent active living and the PHILOS plate can give good results in the treatment of displaced proximal humeral fractures. There are two limitations to our study, first number of cases are small, secondly few patients were elderly. The benefits of this implant were enhanced purchase in osteopaenic bone, No loss of reduction or valgus/varus angulations and Provide angular and axial stability of the construct. Our study concludes that PHILOS plate is suitable for proximal humeral fractures especially in osteopenic elderly patients.
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