Calculation and evaluation of angle of acetabular anteversion in normal healthy Indian population
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Abstract
Studies have been carried out regarding the acetabular and femoral anteversion in patients with developmental dysplasia of hip. However, definitive criteria/measurements regarding the normal angle of acetabular anteversion in asymptomatic patients is lacking. In our study, angle of anteversion of the acetabulum was determined by use of computed tomography in 200 asymptomatic Indian adults. The overall normal anteversion of the acetabulum was found to be 16.43±6.0 (mean ± standard deviation) with no significant sex related difference. Slight age related increase in the angle of acetabular anteversion was observed.
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Introduction
The hip joint has major role in maintenance of the erect posture of human beings. The relationship of the acetabulum and the femoral neck and head influences the biomechanics of the hip joint. Anteversion of both the femur and the acetabulum is valuable in the evaluation of normal as well as pathological conditions of the hip [1].

Recognition, understanding and treatment of pathologic conditions in the hip joint must be supported by exact knowledge of the normal values of these parameters, both in growing children, as well as in skeletally mature patients [2, 3, 4].

The mechanics of the hip joint is dependent on the relationship between the femoral head and the acetabulum [1, 2, 5]. Abnormal femoral neck anteversion (FNA) and/or acetabulum anteversion (AA) have been implicated in the etiogenesis of osteoarthritis, developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH), and impingement, instability and wear in total hip arthroplasty (THA) [1, 2, 5].

A review of the global literature reveals a wide range of normal FNA and AA with racial and geographic variation [2, 5]. This variation is expected to exist because of different social needs of the different races. Numerous studies have focused on FNA in the normal population; however, little attention has been given to the normal AA. Moreover, studies on the Indian population are sparse on this topic [5-8]. Since Indians are more prone to indulge in floor level activities like squatting and sitting cross-legged, the hip is flexed, externally rotated and abducted to the extremes of motion. We were interested whether this resulted in morphologically different hip anteversion in Indians as compared to the Western population. The purpose of this study was to define a normal range of values for AA.

Material and Method
We have included patients who came to our department for CT scan of abdomen, pelvis or other scans acquired exclusively for medical indications. All patients were evaluated using a Philips Brilliance 64 slice CT scanner (Mumbai, India). A total of (200) thin-slice CT datasets of right or left femurs of skeletally mature patients were analyzed in a cross-sectional study.
Aims and Objectives
1. To calculate the angle of femoral anteversion in normal asymptomatic population having no hip related complaints
2. To identify any demographic variations in the normal angle of anteversion like the effect of age and gender.

Inclusion criteria
Adults who had a computed tomographic (CT) scan of the pelvis for pathology unrelated to the hip. Patients with complete bony fusion of the acetabulum were only included.

Exclusion criteria
1. Young patients/patients with incomplete fusion of the acetabulum,
2. Patients with bony pathology of the pelvis and femur including fractures or deformities,
3. Patients with hip pathology as evident clinically with gait abnormality and/or pain/restriction of hip motions,
4. Prior surgical intervention and patients with hardware in situ,
5. Childhood hip, knee or spine disease
6. Patients with current or previous metabolic bone disease, and
7. Uncooperative patients.

We defined angle of acetabular version as the angle between a line connecting the lateral anterior and posterior margins of the acetabular component and the sagittal plane defined as the plane perpendicular to a line connecting two identical points on either side of the pelvis [9].

Image analysis
Initially the scans were evaluated in soft tissue and bone window settings for assessing any signs of hip joint pathology or other pathologies which are likely to result in hip joint orientation and hence change of acetabular anteversion angle. Patients who revealed hip joint effusion, osteoarthritic changes or psoas abscess etc. were rejected. Followed by this, the scans were aligned with respect to the lumbar spinal alignment in sagittal and coronal sections. Then sequential axial sections were analyzed in bone window settings and the best sections revealing the section of pelvis with round femoral head, anterior and lateral margins of acetabulum was selected for measurement of acetabular anteversion angle. A plane sagittal to the pelvis was taken and any line connecting two identical points on either sides was considered as the horizontal reference line. We took identical points at the level of acetabulum.

We used the same definition as Maheshwari AV et al. (5) for measurement of the acetabular anteversion angle. A prototype measurement is depicted below.

Line L: Horizontal line connecting two identical points on either side of the pelvis, marking the sagittal plane
B: Line perpendicular to line L
C: Line connecting the lateral anterior and posterior margins of the acetabular component
A: Angle of acetabular anteversion, calculated between lines B and C

Precise angle of acetabular anteversion were obtained in patients. Measurements due to human error were minimized by simultaneous measurement by two analysts. There were 94 male and 106 female patients. The mean angle of acetabular anteversion was 16.43 ± 6°. The mean age of patients was 52 years.

Results
Of these 200 patients, there were 94 male and 106 female patients (distribution depicted in Pie chart). The average age was 52 years with the maximum age being 82 years and minimum being 22 years.

Sex Wise distribution of patients (Total = 200)

Precise angle of acetabular anteversion was obtained in patients. The overall mean angle of acetabular anteversion was 16.43°. For females, the mean angle was 16.92° and for males it was 15.94°. Hence there is no significant gender related differences at 95% confidence interval.
Age related mean angles in female and male patients are given in below mentioned tables.

Age wise distribution of mean angle of acetabular anteversion in male patients is given in below mentioned table. The average angle of acetabular anteversion in male patients on right side was 18.1° and on left side was 16.2°.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-30 (1)</td>
<td>16.68</td>
<td>17.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 (2)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 (3)</td>
<td>16.85</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60 (4)</td>
<td>16.87</td>
<td>14.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70 (5)</td>
<td>16.61</td>
<td>14.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80 (6)</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-90 (7)</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age wise distribution of mean angle of acetabular anteversion in female patients is given in below mentioned table. The average angle of acetabular anteversion in female patients on right side was 18.0° and on left side was 17.7°.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-30 (1)</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>13.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 (2)</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 (3)</td>
<td>19.55</td>
<td>16.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60 (4)</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>16.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70 (5)</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>16.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80 (6)</td>
<td>20.66</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-90 (7)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The acetabular angle of anteversion was observed to be more in the age group of 81-90 years in both, males as well as females. This can be attributed to the bone loss. However, the sample size in this age group was less i.e. 2 male patients and 1 female patient, hence may not be conclusive and needs further study.

Conclusion
Studies have been carried out regarding the acetabular and femoral anteversion in patients with hip related pathologies. However, definitive criteria/measurements regarding the normal angle of acetabular anteversion in asymptomatic patients is lacking. More so, there is no large scale study of Indian population regarding the same. The purpose of this study was to determine the acetabular anteversion in asymptomatic Indian adult population.

In our study, anteversion of the acetabulum was determined by use of computed tomography in 200 asymptomatic Indian adults. The normal anteversion of the acetabulum was found to be 16.43 ± 6° (mean ± standard deviation) with the angle being 1° less in females as compared to males, however this is not statistically significant. The acetabular angle of anteversion was observed to be more in the age group of 81-90 years, which can be attributed to the bone loss. However, the sample size in this age group was less hence may not be conclusive and hence needs further study.
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