Short term evaluation of functional and radiological outcome of uncemented total hip replacement in elderly arthritic patients-20 case series
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Abstract

Background: Anatomical alignment of hip allows mobility in three planes. Any derangement in this alignment affects the functioning and daily living of a person, which is most commonly either due to trauma or degenerative conditions like osteoarthritis (OA). Till date the most effective treatment of severely damaged joints is replacement. Uncemented Total hip replacement (THR) is commonly indicated in young patients, but the usage of uncemented THR in elderly population is of debate in the recent past. Though THR is a remarkable surgical procedure, its effectiveness in providing mobility, stability and better quality of life is time tested. This made us to analyze retrospectively the short term evaluation of functional and radiological outcome of uncemented THR in elderly arthritic patients.

Materials & Methods: A retrospective cum prospective study conducted at our center (Maharajahs institute of medical sciences, nellimarla, vizianagaram, A.P) among 20 hips (20 patients) from sep 2103 to sep 2017 among patients who met the inclusion criteria were admitted, operated with uncemented THR after consent for the procedure has been taken and followed up for a period of 36-40 months. All the patients were evaluated functionally (Harris hip score, VAS (visual analogue pain scale)) and radiologically (Andrew Whaley & Daniel et al. criteria-acetabular cup loosening, Engh’s criteria-femoral stem loosening)

Results: Mean age of study group-55 years, males are predominant in the study.18 out of 20 had good-excellent painless ROM.1 pt had posterior dislocation of prosthesis following trauma which was reduced immediately under GA, followed by supervised physiotherapy, following which patient had no complaints.1 patient had superficial skin infection, managed with iv antibiotics. No significant limb length discrepancies in the study.

Conclusion: Uncemented THR can be considered as better reproducible surgery in elderly arthritic patients.
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Introduction

Anatomical alignment of hip allows mobility in three planes [1]. Any derangement in this alignment is mostly either due to trauma or degenerative conditions like osteoarthritis (OA). OA is major cause of disability in both developed & developing countries. Prevalence of OA increases with age & its consequences significantly affecting the society [2]. Hence it was adopted as major focus by global initiative in the decade of bone & joint disease [3]. Till date the most effective treatment of severely damaged joints is replacement. Total hip replacements are cemented, uncemented& hybrid. Uncemented THR is commonly indicated in young patients with good bone stock, however the usage of Uncemented THR in elderly patients is of debate in the recent past.

Materials & Methods

This is a retrospective cum prospective study conducted at our center (Maharajahs institute of medical sciences, nellimarla, vizianagaram, A. P) among 20 hips (20 patients) from sep 2103 to Sep 2017 among patients who met the inclusion criteria were admitted, operated with uncemented THR after consent for the procedure has been taken and followed up for a period
of 36-40 months. All the patients were evaluated functionally (Harris hip score\textsuperscript{[3]}, VAS\textsuperscript{[4]} (Visual analogue pain scale) and radiologically (Andrew Whaley & Daniel\textsuperscript{[8]} et al. criteria- acetabular cup loosening, Engh’s criteria-femoral stem loosening)

**Inclusion criteria**
- Age >55 years
- Patients with X-ray showing well established arthritic changes, U/L Hip involvement & absence of relief of symptoms after at least 6 months of conservative Rx
- Gross reduction of ROM-Harris hip score <50
- Pain VAS >5
- Patients willing for surgery & life style changes post operatively

**Exclusion criteria**
- Young patients <55yrs
- Fracture cases
- Patients medically unfit for surgery
- Patients with clinically active foci of infection

**Results**
Mean age group of this study-58 years, with males predominant in the study, male to female ratio 2:1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55-65 yrs</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65 yrs</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14(70%)</td>
<td>6(30%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-operatively management included IV fluids, IV antibiotics, NSAIDs

We allowed early mobilization
On day-1: standing without support & walking with support. Followed by supervised physiotherapy.
18 out of 20 had good-excellent painless ROM. 1 patient had posterior dislocation of prosthesis following trauma which was reduced immediately under GA, followed by supervised physiotherapy, following which patient had no complaints. 1 patient had superficial skin infection, managed with IV antibiotics. No significant (>2cms) limb length discrepancies in the study. None of the patients had complications like DVT, pulmonary embolism, sciatic nerve injury.

**Functional outcome**
Mean latest follow up Harris hip score is 92 significantly better score when compared to mean pre-op score of 48. This is comparable to that of study of Wixon et al.\textsuperscript{[6]} scores (95, 47 respectively), which indeed is comparable to Siwach et al.\textsuperscript{[7]} study scores (83.5, 44). Mean latest post-op VAS score is 2 a significantly better compared mean pre-op score of 8.
Graphical representation of Harris hip score obtained in this study

Radiological outcome
Based on X-ray pelvis both hips-AP & involved hip lateral view
No cases had acetabular cup or femoral stem loosening (peri prosthetic osteolysis) in this study.
We followed Andrew Whaley & Daniel [8] et al. criteria for acetabular cup loosening.
Engh’s [9, 10] criteria for uncemented stem loosening.
No cases were reported with heterotrophic ossification, no vertical subsidence.
Acetabular cup position-17 had neutral cup, 3 had horizontal cup, none had a vertical cup in this study.
Femur stem position-16 had neutral, 3 valgus, 1 had varus position in this study. Most of the stems showed good bony ingrowth due to creeping substitution.
Discussion
The improved survival of circumferentially coated uncemented cups and stems that allow bone to grow into or onto the prosthesis (Zicat et al. 1995, Kim et al. 1999, Della Valle et al. 2004, Sinha et al. 2004.) has supported their growing use, despite the higher costs (Agins et al. 1988, Barber and Healy 1993, Clark 1994, Mendenhall 2004). In 2003, an estimated two-thirds of all primary THRs were performed with uncemented fixation (Mendenhall 2004). European countries such as Sweden, which have adopted these newer uncemented technologies more cautiously had much lower revision rates (Malchau et al. 2002, Kurtz et al. 2005).

Kaplan-Meier survivorship analysis [11] at twenty years revealed significantly lower survival rates for cemented implants as compared with cementless implants. The cementless tapered stem had an extremely good survival rate of 99%. Multiple studies with meta-analysis showed no significant difference in functional & radiological outcome in uncemented vs cemented THR [12-14]. The studies reviewed have shown that failure events in THR are rare, however a longterm follow-up is required to generate meaningful estimates of difference in survival probability. Uncemented THR alleviates the avoidable complications due to cementing like bone cement implantation syndrome (hypoxia, cardiac arrest) and thermal necrosis of cancellous bone [15].

Conclusion
Uncemented THR can be considered as better reproducible surgery in elderly arthritic patients. Though uncemented THR is a well-adapted procedure in young, careful patient selection with adequate bone stock in elderly patients and good follow up instructions result in good to excellent success rate in Uncemented THR in elderly patients.
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