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Abstract

Background: Osteoarthritis (OA) is a major cause of disability among elderly population. It is a major cause of a burden on the health system and its incidence and prevalence continues to rise with a rapidly increasing aging population.

Total hip replacement is considered one of the most important and successful intervention in the recent era. The study aims to determine the functional outcome and the complications associated with uncemented total hip replacement using modular prosthesis. In this study 32 cases with osteoarthritis of hip joint were treated by uncemented total hip replacement at Katuri medical college and hospital, China kondrupadu, Guntur between June 2015 to November 2016 were included. The functional outcome is assessed and compared with other studies. The aim of procedure is to assess the functional outcome of uncemented total hip replacement.

Materials and Methods: Our study is prospective study of clinical and radiological analysis of uncemented total hip arthroplasties performed for various hip disorders. All patient data and clinical history were noted with reference to pain, range of motion, gait, activities or function (Harris Hip Score).

Cementless acetabular components, cementless femoral components are used in this study.

Results: All 32 patients in the present study returned for clinical and radiological examinations subsequently. Patients were reviewed after 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 1 year post operatively. The age ranges from 32-60 years with mean age of 48.31 years. Most of the cases are between the age group of 51-60 years constituting 46.88% of study population. Of the 32 cases, male patients 22 constituting 68.75% and the rest 10 are female patients constituting 31.25% of the cases.

Conclusions: Our study supports the use uncemented THR in osteoarthritis in both young and elderly. The current trend, research and advent of new implants support uncemented THR in patients suffering from this crippling hip arthritis and avascular necrosis.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a major cause of disability among elderly population. It is a major cause of burden on the health system and its incidence and prevalence continues to rise with a rapidly increasing aging population. OA occurs due to an interaction between certain systemic and local factors which are unique for each joint. Hip OA can be classified as idiopathic and secondary. Total hip replacement is considered one of the most important and successful intervention in the recent era. Since total hip replacement was introduced, there has been a steady improvement in the technology associated with it, leading to better functional outcome implant survivorship. The development of circumferentially coated uncemented implants which allow bone to grow in to or on to the prosthesis has led to improved implant survival rate and supports their growing use. The advantages of cementless femoral components include a reduced risk of cement-related cardiovascular and thromboembolic complications, the possibility of biological fixation, the minimization of stress shielding of the proximal femur and potential of extended implant survival.

The study aims to determine the functional outcome and the complications associated with uncemented total hip replacement using modular prosthesis. In this study 32 cases with osteoarthritis of hip joint were treated by uncemented total hip replacement at Katuri medical college and hospital, Chinakondrupadu, Guntur between June 2015 to November 2016 were included. The functional outcome is assessed and compared with other studies. The aim of the procedure is to assess the functional outcome of uncemented total hip replacement.
Aims and Objectives of the Study
To assess the clinical and radiological outcome of uncemented total hip replacement in osteoarthrits of hip.
To assess the clinical outcome using harris hip score comparing pre-operative scores with post-operative scores.
To evaluate functional improvement in terms of pain relief, mobility and range of movements.
To evaluate radiological outcome at the end of 3 months and 1 year follow up.
To evaluate the post-operative complications.

Materials and Methods

Materials: Cement less acetabular components
1. Tridon acetabular shell with poly or alumina ceramic bearing (stryker).
2. Duralocacetabular shell with poly or ceramic bearings (Depuy).
3. Delta motion acetabular mobile bearing system (Depuy).
4. Trilogy acetabular cup cluster holed or multiholed shell with standard or highly crosslinked poly liners (Zimmer).
5. Biolox delta acetabular cup with ceramic bearing (Exatech).
6. Verilastacetabularcup with ceramic bearing (Smith and Nephew).

Cement less Femoral Components
1. Acolade stems with tapered wedge stem and proximal body hydroxyl apatite & plasma spray coating (stryker).
2. Corailcementless femoral stem- hydroxyapatite coated collarless stem (Depuy).
3. Acumatch stem with hydroxyapatite & plasma spray coating (Exatech).
4. M/L taper femoral stem (Zimmer).
5. Short modular femoral stems (Smith & Nephew).

Methods
Study type: Our study is a prospective study of clinical and radiological analysis of uncemented total hip arthroplasties performed for various hip disorders.

Study area: study was carried out in orthopaedic department of Katuri medical college and hospital, Chinakondrupadu, Guntur from June 2015 to November 2016.

Study population: Patients diagnosed with osteoarthritis of hip joint with stage III and IV, who underwent uncemented total hip replacement are included in our study. All the patients were explained about the procedure, necessity for follow up and written consent was taken. 35 patients in total who underwent uncemented total hip arthroplasty for osteoarthrits during the period were eligible for the study. 3 patients who were not available for regular follow ups were excluded from the study. 32 patients who were available for minimum of 1 year follow up were included in our study. Total of 32 arthroplasties were performed in 32 patients. These were done between June 2015 and November 2016.

Inclusion criteria
Patients with significant disabiling hip pain and moderate to severe functional limitation of activities of daily living due to osteoarthrits of the hip joint with any of the etiologies. Patients having a minimum period of 12 months of follow up were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria
Total hip arthroplasties performed as revision for patients of post-operative hemiarthroplasties or previous THR's were excluded.
All patients’ data and clinical history were noted with reference to pain, range of motion, gait, activities or function (Harris Hip Score), pre operatively and at scheduled follow up visits.

Pre-operative planning
Preoperative planning enables the surgeon to prepare for the case and anticipate situations that may arise during surgery. A thorough preoperative plan incorporates elements from the patient’s history, physical examination and radiographic analysis. All the patients were evaluated clinically based Harris hip score. Patients were also evaluated preoperatively for remote source of infection by taking throat swab and urine culture.
- Clinical assessment in terms of range of motion, pain, restriction of distance walked and restriction of carrying out daily activities.
- Associated medical problems: Hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, coronary artery disease, past history of thromboembolism, COPD, history of recent throat, urinary and other infections were evaluated.
- Adequate compatible blood was reserved before surgery.

Pre-operative Radiographic Templating
The goals include
- Determine preoperative leg length discrepancy.
- Assess acetabular component size and placement.
- Determine femoral component size, position and fit.
- Assess femoral offset.

Acetabular Cup Sizing and Position
Most sizing predictions are made on the AP radiograph of the hip. The optimal position for the acetabular component and size was determined by using template overlays. The acetabular teardrop was referenced as the inferior margin of the acetabular reconstruction. The goal of acetabular fixation was to maximize bone contact. Once this was determined the centre of rotation of the bearing surface marked.

Femoral Component Selection
The femoral component template size that will fit the proximal femur and equalize the leg lengths was selected. The femoral component should be in line with the long axis of the femur and the neck resection line drawn at the point where the selected stem provides the desired amount of leg length. The vertical distance between the planned centre of rotation of the acetabular component and the centre of rotation of the femoral head constitutes the distance the leg length will be adjusted. The level of neck osteotomy depends on the stem size and the desired leg length. To properly position the template on the lateral radiograph the distance between the tip of the greater trochanter and the lateral shoulder of the prosthesis is estimated. The stem size that is chosen in the AP plane also should fit in the lateral plane. The lateral radiograph of a properly sized tapered implant will typically exhibit three- point fixation.

Offset Requirements
Through Templating and intra operative trial we determined which option restores proper offset by matching the cup’s centre of rotation with the desired head centre of rotation.
Anaesthesia
Patients received epidural and general anaesthesia or spinal and epidural anaesthesia as per anaesthetist evaluation and patients general condition.

Surgical Approach
Posterolateral approach by Gibson and Moore’s had been used as per preference of the operating surgeon.

Post-Operative Management
Both the limbs were kept in abduction with a pillow in between the legs. Post operative analgesia was adequately given in the form of epidural analgesia for minimum of 48 hours and maximum of 72 hours. Intravenous antibiotics were used for 3 days, and then converted to oral antibiotics for another 3 days. Heparin (LMW) was subcutaneously given for prevention of thromboembolic events for 7 days followed by 5 to 6 weeks course of ecosprin or total 6 week course of LMWH. Prophylaxis against heterotopic bone formation was not routinely used.

Patients were encouraged to sit up in the bed from the first post operative day. Active abduction strengthening exercises were begun from the second post operative day under the supervision of our physiotherapist. Patients start weight bearing from day one as tolerated, followed by early ambulation on day 2 with walker support. Mandatory 2 week walker support while walking was advised. From 2-6 weeks patients are encouraged to shift over to elbow crutch or tripod stick support as tolerated. Patients are allowed to walk without support by end of 6 weeks.

Follow Up Evaluation
Clinical assessment was done using Harris Hip Score pre operative and post operative at 6 weeks, 3, 6 and 12 months follow up and points were apportioned accordingly. Radiographs were also analyzed with reference to signs of loosening at end of 3 months and 1 year.

Radiological Analysis
Post op x rays are evaluated for
1) Position of the cup: normal, horizontal, vertical, very deep, very superficial, anteverted, retroverted
2) Position of the stem: normal, varus, valgus

Observations and Results
All 32 patients in the present study returned for clinical and radiological examinations subsequently. Patients were reviewed after six weeks, three months, six months and one year post operatively. Radiographs were reviewed at end of 3 months and 1 year. Observations in the form of tables, pie charts and bar diagrams are furnished.

Age Distribution
The age ranges from 32-60 years with mean age of 48.31 years. Most of the cases are between the age group of 51 to 60 years constituting 46.88% of study population

Sex Distribution of Study Patients
Of the 32 cases included in the study majority are male patients.22 patients are male constituting 68.75% of cases and the rest 10 are female patients constituting 31.25% of the cases.

Etiology Identified Among Study Patients:

- Idiopathic osteonecrosis was most common etiology accounting for 50% of cases
- 41% of cases are due to primary osteoarthritis
- 9% of cases are due to rheumatoid arthritis.

Etiology in the study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>No. of cases (N)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avascular necrosis</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary osteoarthritis</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Side Involved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side Involved</th>
<th>No. Of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcome | Number (N) | Percentage (%) |
---|---|---|
Poor | 0 | 0% |
Fair | 6 | 18.75% |
Good | 17 | 53.13% |
Excellent | 9 | 28.13% |

Clinical Outcome

The average pre-operative Harris hip score (HHS) was 44.69. The Harris hip score at most recent follow up (1yr) was 84.2. The result was excellent in 9 patients, Good in 17 patients, fair in 6 patients. Mean HHS at each follow up visit was compared with pre-operative HHS using Paired T test and p values were calculated. P value was found to be significant with each comparison.

Statistics Of Pre Op & Post Op HHS Comparision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preophs</th>
<th>Postophs 6 weeks</th>
<th>Postophs 3 months</th>
<th>Postophs 6 months</th>
<th>Postophs1 year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>44.688</td>
<td>70.000</td>
<td>74.500</td>
<td>78.219</td>
<td>84.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean Pain Score

Pain score in Harris hip score

Limp

None of the patients had significant limp at final follow up.

Satisfaction:

All the patients were satisfied with the outcome of the total hip arthroplasty except one of the patients who had infection. All the patients considered hip to have better function than prior to surgery.

Radiological Outcome

Femoral stem

Radiographic evidence did not reveal any signs of loosening. At last follow up, none of the radiographs showed any significant (2mm or more) radiolucency. The femoral stem was aligned in neutral in 26 hips. 4 stems were in mild valgus and 2 were in mild varus positions. No patient had complained of pain in the thigh.
Stem alignment

![Stem Alignment Chart]

**Acetabular cup**
All acetabular components were stable when seen at final follow up. Average acetabular inclination was 50°. No cup had broken screws.

**Pre & Post OP Imaging of Selected Cases**
**Case 3: 37/F Avascular Necrosis of Left Hip**

![Pre op Image]

![Post op Image]
Case 12: 48/ M Osteo Arthritis of Right Hip

Last follow up
Complications

We have experienced few significant complications in our short follow up. 1 case of infection were identified. It was fortunately superficial infections, which responded well to intravenous antibiotics based on culture and sensitivity. The patient had good end result. One of them had moderate pain even at end of 1 year follow up. The culture organism was staphylococcus aureus.

There was a case of limb length discrepancy of 1.5cm post operatively. However, patient had a good result functionally and negligible limp.

The worst of all complications is dislocation which occurred at 4 weeks after THR. The incident occurred when patient had dash board injury in a road traffic accident. Closed reduction could be achieved within 6 hours. He received strict bed rest for 4 weeks with lower limbs placed in abduction. He has received aggressive physiotherapy later and had good result at end of one year.

Discussion

Total hip replacement is considered one of the most important and successful intervention in the recent era. since total hip replacement was introduced, there has been a steady improvement in the technology associated with it, leading to better functional outcome and implant survivorship. The development of circumferentially coated uncemented implants which allow bone to grow in to or on to the prosthesis has led to improved implant survival rate and supports their growing use. The advantages of cementless femoral components include a reduced risk of cement-related cardiovascular and thromboembolic complications, the possibility of biological fixation, the minimisation of stress shielding of the proximal femur and potential of extended implant survival.

THA remains the treatment standard for collapsed osteonecrotic lesions. Patients with severe osteonecrosis requiring total hip arthroplasty are younger than those with other diseases; the risk of implant failure is higher. The peak incidence is in fourth decade, and overall there is a male preponderance.

Some advocate that the specific pathologic process of osteonecrosis may predispose to an inferior outcome and several studies have shown suboptimal THA results in patients with osteonecrosis compared with similarly aged patients with osteoarthritis. Recent studies have demonstrated excellent outcomes with the use of improved cementing techniques and contemporary uncemented components. Seyler TM et al have compared around 23 studies between 1989 and 2004 and have found success rates varying from 52% to 98%.

Ours is a prospective study comprising of 32 patients with 32 uncemented total hip arthroplasties. Of the 32 cases included in the study majority are male patients.22 patients are male constituting 68.75% of cases and the rest 10 are female patients constituting 31.25% of the cases.

Male preponderance of osteonecrosis has been noticed in our study which was similar to most other studies. The mean age of patients in the group is 48.31 yrs (range 32 to 60 years), which is comparable to the study done by KimYH et al who reported the results in 98 patients mean age of 47.3 yrs and with Rahman WA et al study who reported 31 patients with mean age of 47. Garino and Steinberg studied 85 patients with an average age of 45 years.

Mean Age of Patients Compared To Other Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY</th>
<th>48.31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KimYH et al</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahman WA et al</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garino and steinberg</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison with other studies

The average pre operative Harris Hip score in our study is 44.69 which are similar to the pre operative average Harris hip score in the studies done by Garino and Steinberg. They studied 123 total hip arthroplasties with average Harris Hip Score of 45. Chiu KH et al studied 36 patients of osteonecrosis of femoral head with average Harris Hip Score of 36.8. In our study, the average pre operative Harris Hip Score of 44.69 improved to 84.2 at the end of 12 months follow up. The post op Harris Hip Score observed in our study is comparable to that in the study conducted by Garino and Steinberg who reported increase in the Harris Hip Score from 45 to 92. It is also comparable to the study of Chiu KH et al who reported improvement from 36.8 to 83.5. Though Chiu et al study group had patients with worse pre-operative score than our study group the end result was similar. This result shows that total hip replacement is effective even in case of worse pre-operative status of the patients.

HHS Compared To Other Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRE OPERATIVE</th>
<th>POST OPERATIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STUDY 44.69</td>
<td>84.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garino and Steinberg 45</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiu KH et al 36.8</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

@ India, # South Korea, $ USA, % Canada, * Hongkong.
Conclusion
Uncemented replacement of the hip as a primary procedure can give a good clinical result which is comparable with the totally cemented joint. Although in some patients there are minor residual symptoms which do not limit activity. Although the operation can often be performed more rapidly, it requires a high standard of bone preparation and in the attempt to produce a tight interference fit. On the acetabular side there is a positive gain in the augmentation of pelvic bone stock. With or without grafting, and, in most systems, failure on the acetabular side is uncommon. On the femoral side there appear to be both theoretical and practical advantages in methods of enhanced fixation. This study has shown that the outcome of uncemented total hip arthroplasty has excellent results in terms of pain relief, increased walking distance, and functional capabilities in patients. Patients are satisfied with the results and most of them resumed their normal activities and are pursuing their jobs. Patient had significant improvement in range of motion at hip. Complications encountered in our short term follow up did not affect the outcome at the end. Neither the complications like aseptic loosening and wear requiring revision have not been found in our study, nor analysis regarding survivorship and longevity of the arthroplasty have been dealt with. Long term follow up is mandatory to analyze these aspects.

Our study supports the usage of uncemented THR in osteoarthritis in both young and elderly. The current trend, research and advent of new implants support uncemented THR in patients suffering from this crippling hip arthritis and avascular necrosis.

Summary
Our study is a prospective study of clinical and radiological analysis of uncemented total hip arthroplasties performed for various hip disorders
Study was carried out in Orthopaedics Department of katuri medical college and Hospital, chinakondrupadu, guntur from June 2015 to November 2016.
32 patients who underwent uncemented total hip replacement were included in the study
The age ranges from 32-60 years with mean age of 48.31years.
Most of the cases are between the age group of 51 to 60 years
- 22 patients are male and the rest 10 are female patients
- Right hip was involved in 12 cases and Left hip was involved in 20 cases
- Idiopathic osteonecrosis was most common etiology accounting for 50% of cases
- Average improvement of Harris hip score was 39.53 with a wide range of 22-57
- The result was excellent in 9 patients, Good in 17 patients, fair in 6 patients
- Mean HHS at each follow up visit was compared with pre-operative HHS using Paired T test and p values were calculated. P value was found to be significant with each comparison.
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