Retrospective analysis of surgical management of patients with distal femur fracture by locking compression plates
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Abstract

Introduction: The following study was conducted to examine the short term clinical and radiological results particularly early complications and healing rate of distal femur fracture treated with distal femur LCP.

Materials and Methods: The study was conducted in patients treated for distal femur fracture (type A, B & C – AO classification) at Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences, Mandya from month of Nov 2015 to Oct 2017. Forty distal femur fracture patients in this study, were fixed with DF-LCP with Bone grafting where the distal femur fractures were associated with extensive bone loss. Patients’ age ranged from 18 to 80 years with mean of 49.

Results: The sample consisted forty patients, 23 were males and 17 females. The patients’ ages ranged from 18 - 80 years with mean of 49 years. The causes of fractures were motor vehicle accident in 26 patients and fall in 14 patients. 27 fractures involved the right side and 13 involved the left. The average hospitalization was 15 days with a range of 10 to 20 days. The average number of days from injury to surgery was 5 days with a range of 02 to 07 days. Functional outcome was rated as per NEER’S RATING SCORE, we got excellent results in 18 cases, good in 14, fair in 06 and poor in 02 patients.

Conclusion: The distal femur LCP with accurate positioning and fixation is a good implant to use for fracture of distal femur. We recommend use of this implant in Type A, B, C and osteoporotic fractures with satisfactory results. In our study, we found excellent results in type C and osteoporotic fractures, however, long term studies are needed to prove definitively acceptable outcomes so that this technique can become part in the armamentarium of the orthopedic trauma surgeon.
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Introduction

Distal femur fractures are complex injuries that could be difficult to treat with an incidence of approximately 37 per 1,00,000 person-years.[1] “Few injuries present more difficult problems than those associated with supracondylar and intercondylar fractures of femur”- Sir Reginald Watson Jones. [1] The above statement describes the complexity in treating these fractures. Severe soft tissue damage, comminution, intraarticular extension, injury to the Quadriceps and extra articular adhesions are some of the challenges faced by the surgeons usually caused by high velocity trauma and loss of fixation due to screw pull out are the difficulties following fractures in osteoporotic patients.

In the early 20th century the management of displaced supracondylar fracture femur was based on the principle of Watson Jones [1] & John charnley [2] which comprised skeletal traction, manipulation of fracture and external immobilization in the form of casts and cast bracings. However complications like deformity, shortening, prolonged bed rest, knee stiffness, angulation, joint incongruity, malunion, quadriceps wasting, knee instability and post-traumatic osteoarthritis were on the higher side. This prompted the evolution of more aggressive treatment i.e. Open reduction and internal fixation. The surgical goals of treatment are anatomic reduction of fracture, maintaining articular congruency, restoration of limb length and rotation, pillar reconstruction and early restoration of knee range of movements and bone grafting for extensive bone loss and stable fixation that allows for early mobilization.
The trend of open reduction and internal fixation is increasing in the recent years with good results being obtained with the AO blade plate, dynamic condylar screw, intramedullary supracondylar nail & locking compression plates. Elderly patients with osteoporotic bones are challenging in the management of fracture around the knee because articular congruency should be maintained for painless movements. Loss of stable fixation in osteoporotic bones is of great concern in elderly patients due to thin cortices, a wide medullary canal, relative osteopenia, and fracture comminution. Internal fixation with locking plates creates a toggle free, fixed angle construct. \(^1\) The introduction of plates with the option of locked screws has provided the means to increase the rigidity of fixation in osteoporotic bone or in the presence of periartricular or juxta-articular fractures with a small epiphyseal segment. \(^2\) The implant offers multiple points of fixed-angle contact between the plate and screws in the distal part of femur, theoretically reducing the tendency for varus collapse that is seen with traditional lateral plates. \(^3\) It is now well recognized that the best treatment option for distal femur fracture is open reduction and internal fixation with DF-LCP allows both locking and compression screws which has the advantage of combination of conventional compression plating and locked plating techniques which enhances the plate osteosynthesis along with articular surface realignment. Anatomically pre contoured built reduces soft tissue problems and acts as internal external fixator with a distinct advantage of unicortical fixation and least chance of plate back out as the screw gets locked to the plate. Further, Minimal soft tissue injury occurs when closed reduction is done with MIPPO technique. The holes on the shaft of DF-LCP are oval, which allows proper fixation of compression screw or locking screw which leads to more precise placement of the plate, as it gets compressed more closely to the bone. \(^2, 4\) The purpose of this study is to evaluate the technical requirements, clinical results, radiological results, complications and outcome in the use of these locking compression plates in distal femur fractures.

**Methods**

This was a retrospective study conducted at department of orthopedics, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences. The patients who attended the orthopedic department of Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences with distal femur fracture and with their complete data during November 2015 to October 2017 were included in this study. Patients with incomplete data were excluded from the study. Personal data and pattern of injuries who underwent the distal femur LCP operative procedure were extracted from the case records and OT register. Data extraction was manually done by reviewing each case file. Data collected was analyzed using simple statistical method of percentages and functional outcome was rated using Neer’s rating score.

**Results**

This is a study of 40 cases of Distal Femur fracture in adults and elderly treated by distal femur locking compression plate (DF-LCP) during the study period. All cases were available for follow up. Out of these, the maximum were in the age group of 30 – 65 years (n = 33, 82.5%). There were 23 males (57.5%) and 17 females (42.5%). Motor vehicle accidents were the most common cause of fracture distal femur responsible for 65% (n=26) and other cause was self-fall responsible for 35% (n=14) of cases.

In our study, open fractures accounted for 17.5% (n=7) and remaining being closed fractures 82.5% (n= 33) and 67.5% (n=27) involved right femur and 32.5% (n=13) involved left femur. According to AO classification we had 52.5% (n=21) in 33A, 30% (n=12) in 33B, and 17.5% (n=07) in 33C. There were 10% (n= 4) cases who received autologous iliac crest bone graft. Study revealed 12.5% (n=5) cases with poor knee flexion of <90\(^\circ\), 27.5% (n=11) with flexion range of 90-120\(^\circ\) and 60% (n=24) with good flexion of >120\(^\circ\). Two cases had infection at the operative site which required wound debridement, limb shortening (<2 cm) was observed in 10% (n=4) and there were no nonunion in any of the cases.

**Table 1: Distribution of cases by type of fracture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO Classification</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33A</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33B</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33C</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Neer’s rating score.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating in points</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent (&gt;85)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good (70-85)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair (55-69)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor (&lt;55)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The functional outcome was assessed according to neer’s rating score and we had excellent results in 45% (n=18), good in 35% (n=14), fair in 15% (n=6) and poor in 5% (n=2).

**Discussion**

Distal femoral fractures are challenging injuries despite improvements of fixation techniques and plate designs. Some authors have demonstrated the ability of locked plates to absorb more energy before failure compared with angled blade plates or retrograde intramedullary nails, thereby having...
a lower incidence of loss of fixation. Although no agreement exists on management of complex distal femoral fractures. The results reported by several authors [7,8,9-11] suggest modern locking plates represent an advance for fixing different fracture patterns in this region. These include either high-energy fractures with severe bone comminution that may be further complicated through open injury, fractures in older people with poor bone quality.

The main goals of this technique is to maintain the important anatomy and vascularity to promote early fracture healing. The LCP is a single beam (fixed angle) construct where strength of its fixation is equal to the sum of all screw-bone interfaces rather than a single screw’s axial stiffness and with high pull out resistance as in unlocked plates. It acts as an ‘internal fixator’, functions by splinting the fracture rather than compression and hence allows a flexible stabilization, avoids stress shielding and induces callus formation. [12, 13]

In this study, standard lateral approach was used for the fixation of simple intraarticular fractures as well as extraarticular fractures has been done. However, more extensive approaches are needed for fixation of complex intraarticular fractures (C2/C3) requiring lateral para patellar arthrotenomy for direct reduction of joint surface. The recommended number of screws are three to four on either side of the fracture gap. [14, 15]

In a study by Miclau et al. [16] bone graft rates of supracondylar femur fractures ranged between 0% and 87%. 10% of cases who received bone graft in our study can be attributed to higher percentage of type A and B fracture patterns with less bone loss.

In a similar retrospective evaluation, Kregor et al [11] reported a 93% union rate without secondary bone grafting and 7% required secondary bone grafting and mean knee range of motion was upto 109 degrees. Implant failure was observed in 5 cases, each requiring revision surgery. Our study revealed, 100% union rate of which 10% required bone grafting and 87.5% had good range of knee motion of 90-120°, which can be related to lesser sample size.

Yeap, E.J., and Deepak, A.S [17] conducted a retrospective review on eleven patients who were treated for Type A and C distal femoral fractures (based on AO classification) fixed with titanium distal femoral locking compression plate. Clinical assessment was conducted at least 6 months postoperatively using the Schatzker score system. Results showed that four patients had excellent results, four good, two fair and one failure.

Złowodzki et al. [18] conducted a study which showed average nonunion, fixation failure, deep infection, and secondary surgery rates were 5.5%, 4.9%, 2.1%, and 16.2% respectively. Some of the technical errors that have been reported are fixation failure have involved waiting too long to bone graft defects, allowing early weight bearing, and placing the plate too anterior on the femoral shaft.

Vallier et al [19] in his study concluded that locking plates should only be used when conventional fixed-angle devices cannot be placed and also added cost of locking plates. To decrease the risk of implant failure with locking plates, they recommended accurate fracture reduction and fixation along with judicious bone grafting, protected weight bearing, and modifications of the implant design.

In our study, functional results are close to the functional results achieved in other studies so are the rate of complications. The functional outcome was assessed according to neer’s rating score and we had excellent results in 45% (n=18), good in 35% (n=14), fair in 15% (n=6) and poor in 5% (n=2). Poor results in this study can be attributed to severe knee stiffness and operative site infection. Patients with knee stiffness can be attributed to delayed patient mobilization due to noncompliant nature of the elderly patients were subjected to vigorous physiotherapy protocol (CPM) and wax therapy and desirable knee movements were obtained.

Conclusion

Locking compression plate is a good fixation system for distal end femur fractures particularly intra-articular type that acts as an extramedullary load bearing device, helpful in stabilizing fracture fragments as well as early bony union. This has shown excellent results in majority of intra-articular distal femur fractures. The major advantage is in osteoporotic fractures, since the stability of the construct does not entirely depend on the quality of the bone. It also shows shorter postoperative stay, faster recovery, faster union rates and excellent functional outcome as compared to other alternative procedures. The locking plate-screw system produces a rigid screw-bone fixation which prevents mal rotation and aids in early return of joint functions and weight bearing thus promoting fracture healing. No implant can stabilize every fracture type, however, for best results the device chosen must provide fixation rigid enough for early mobilisation.

We conclude that this method of fixation is optimal tool for distal femur fracture with an excellent functional outcome, early clinical and radiological union, and it can be done on a routine basis with a minimum complications. It provides rigid fixation in the region of distal femur, where a widened canal, thin cortices and frequently poor bone stock makes it difficult and also less periosteal stripping and soft tissue exposure makes this a better option than that of normal plates. However, careful understanding of its basic principles and identification of appropriate fracture pattern for use of LCP are essential to avoid complications like infections, non union and delayed union.
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