Comparative analysis of the functional outcome of arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using quadrupled hamstring graft fixed with bio absorbable interference screw against titanium interference screw
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Abstract
Anterior cruciate ligament injury is one of the most common injuries around knee and poses quite a lot management controversies. Anterior cruciate ligament has a pivot role in function and stability of the knee joint along with all other ligaments, being a prime stabilizer preventing the anterior translation of tibia over femur. Acute anterior cruciate ligament injury causes recurrent episodes of instability, pain and decreased motion. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction allows return to pre injury levels even in athletes, delays development of early osteoarthritis and reestablish the stability of the joint. Graft fixation during ACL reconstruction can be achieved with use of either metal screws or bio absorbable screws. Bio absorbable screws usage provide better visibility in postoperative MRI and also avoid removal at later stage. However there are controversies regarding the ideal graft, ideal fixation device, ideal time and technique of reconstruction. In this study we have compared the metal and bio absorbable screw for fixing the graft and reported the result.
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Introduction
The high number of ACL injuries is a growing problem with serious consequences for the patient and society. An acute ACL injury is seldom isolated (15%), and is usually associated with concomitant injuries to the menisci (60%), cartilage (20%) and collateral ligaments. Though Arthroscopic intra articular reconstruction has become gold standard in ACL reconstruction in this century there is still debate regarding the choice of graft, fixation methods, single or double bundle and trans portal or trans tibial technique. More recent studies have proved quadrupled Hamstring is superior in strength [14] but time for healing, probable loss of strength during healing and minimal hamstring weakness post operatively are considerations. Recent studies have proved endobutton and bone mulch screw have a very high yield load than any other fixation device in view of soft tissue graft fixation [16]. The trans portal technique has been widely used nowadays but the trans tibial technique is easily reproducible and gives comparable functional outcomes though tunnel placement is not more accurate in trans tibial technique [17]. Bio absorbable implants in orthopaedic surgery was introduced by Rokkanan et al and bostman et al for use in surgery of the ankle [18]. In 1987, Kurosaka introduced the current concepts of interference screw fixation [19].

Aim
To do comparative analysis of the functional outcome of Arthroscopic Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction using quadrupled hamstring graft with endobutton as femoral fixation device and bio absorbable interference screw against titanium interference screw as tibial fixation devices respectively.
Materials and Methods
The Retrospective and prospective study of 60 patients treated with arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft with endobutton as the femoral fixation device and titanium interference screw (no=30) and bio absorbable interference screw (no=30) as tibial fixation device respectively between May 2012 and November 2013 at institute of orthopaedics and traumatology, Rajiv Gandhi government general hospital, Chennai

Inclusion Criteria
- Patients with closed growth plate
- Primary ACL surgery
- No evidence of multiple ligament injury
- No previous knee surgeries
- No ligamentous injury to contralateral knee

Exclusion Criteria
- Additional ligamentous laxity in affected knee
- Previous ACL surgery of either knee
- Chronic muscle disorders
- Any co-existing local conditions in the form of
  - Active articular infection
  - Inflammatory joint disease
- Metabolic bone disease
- Neoplastic disease

Evaluation
All the patients are subjected for post-operative antero posterior and lateral radiographs to determine the tunnel placement and position of endobutton in femur and interference screw in the tibia. Patients are followed at 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and once in 6 months thereafter.
All patients are evaluated with Lysholm & Gillquist scoring.

Knee Scoring Scale of Lysholm & Gillquist
Limp
None 05
Slight / periodic or both 03
Constant or severe or both 00

Support
None 05
Cane or crutch 02
Weight bearing impossible 00

Locking
No locking or catching sensations 15
Catching, but no locking sensations 10
Locking - occasionally 06
Locking - Frequently 02
Locked on examination 00

Instability / Giving Way
Never 25
Rarely during athletic activity or any other heavy exertion 20
Frequently during athletics or any other heavy exertion 15
Rarely in daily activities 10
Frequently in daily activities 05
At every step 00

Pain
None 25
Inconstant or slight during heavy exertion 20
Marked during heavy exertion 15
Slight during a walk <2 km 10
Marked during a walk <2 km 05
Constant 00

Swelling
None 10
Mild on exertion 06
Marked on exertion 02
Constant 00

Stair Climbing
No problems 10
Slightly impaired 06
One step at a time 02
Impossible 00

Squatting
No problems 05
Slightly impaired 04
Knee flexion possible only up to 90 degrees 02
Impossible 00

Statistical Analysis
Data reported as mean and significant difference between the two groups was studied using Yate’s corrected Chi-Square test.

Observation and Results
60 Cases of arthroscopy assisted Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring tendon graft using endobutton as the femoral fixation device and titanium interference screw (no=30) and bio absorbable interference screw (no=30) as tibial fixation device respectively was followed for 6 months to 1.5 years. The mean follow up was 10.5 months

Age Distribution
Minimum age was 20 years and maximum age was 55 with a mean age of 31.6 (Table 1 and Chart 1)

Sex Distribution
In this study, 51 patients were males and 9 patients were females (table 2 and chart 2)
Table 2: Sex distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Side Involved**

In this study, 40 patients had injury in the right knee and 20 patients had injury in the left knee (Table 3 and Chart 3)

Table 3: Side involved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side involved</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mode of Injury**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of injury</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPORTS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FALL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>46.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Duration of Injury**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration after injury</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤6 WEEKS</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-3 MONTHS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6 MONTHS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 MONTHS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12 MONTHS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Associated Injury**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associated injury</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medial meniscus tear</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral meniscus tear</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Observation**

- Greater number of our patients was seen in the younger age group of 20-40 years.
- Male preponderance was noticed in our study
- Right side was involved more commonly than left side
- Road traffic accident was the most common cause accounting for ACL injury.
- Medial meniscus injury was involved more than the lateral meniscus.
- Most of the patients returned to their pre-functional level at 4 months.

**Scoring Analysis**

60 patients of arthroscopic acl reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft was followed for a minimum period of 6 months and maximum period of 1.5 years. All patients are evaluated with Lysholm and Gillquist scoring at the end of 6 months. The maximum score achieved was 100 and minimum score was 56. The scores were graded as
Outcome | Points
--- | ---
Good | 84 - 100
Fair | 65 - 84
Poor | < 65

Two patients in titanium interference group and one patient in bio absorbable interference screw group lost to followup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Titanium screw gp. no of patients (28)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Bio-abs screw gp. No of patients (29)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>82.14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>82.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By Yates corrected Chi-Square Test,
$X^2 = 0.06$ $P = 0.97$

The clinical outcome was nearly equal in both the groups.

**Good Results**

In our study 23 patients in titanium interference screw group and 24 patients in bio absorbable interference group had good results and the patients had no limp, were able to walk without support, there was no locking except for a few with mild instability during athletics or heavy exertion. There was no pain or swelling of the knee joints. There was no difficulty in climbing stairs or squatting.

**Fair Results**

In both the groups, 3 patients had fair results with the following clinical findings. There was slight limping, occasional locking, with mild instability during daily activities. There was anterior pain and swelling on exertion. squatting and stair climbing were slightly impaired.

**Poor Results**

In both the groups, 2 patients had poor results, with painful weight bearing. The patient walked with support, and felt the knee giving way in daily activities. There was constant swelling and pain of anterior knee joint. Squatting and climbing stairs was painful.

The above 4 patients with poor results had lachmans and anterior drawer test positive with restricted knee movements. These may be due to improper graft tension and in cooperation during postoperative rehabilitation.2 of the 4 patients had infection and septic arthritis 10 days following which subsided with arthroty and joint lavage and antibiotics.

**Complications**

One patient had post-operative infection and patient presented on 10th post-operative day with fever, pain and inability to move the limb. Septic arthritis was suspected and patient treated with open arthroty and joint debridement and antibiotics for 4 weeks and infection subsided.

The most common intraoperative complication proposed for bio absorbable interference screw were screw breakage, graft injury and aseptic effusion or synovitis of knee joint, but we did not encounter such problems in our study.

**Case Illustrations**

**Case Serial No-1**

Name: Mrs. Gunavathy | Age: 45 | Sex: Female
Occupation: House wife | Duration between Injury & Surgery: 8 months
Date of Admission: 07.01.13 | Mode of Trauma: RTA
Side Involved: Left Side | Associated Injuries: Lateral meniscus tear
Systemic Illness: Nil | Type of Anaesthesia: Spinal
Complication: Nil | Lysholm Gillquist score: 95
Post op follow up: 11 months | Screw used: Titanium interference screw

**Case Serial No-2**

Name: Mr. Srinivasan | Age: 38 | Sex: Male
Occupation: Manual worker | Duration between Injury & Surgery: 14 months
Date of Admission: 03-06-2013 | Mode of Trauma: Fall
Side Involved: Right Side | Associated Injuries: Nil
Systemic Illness: Nil | Type of Anaesthesia: Spinal
Complication: Nil | Lysholm Gillquist score: 92
Post op follow up: 6 months | Screw used: Bio absorbable interference screw
Discussion

Our study is to evaluate the functional outcome of arthroscopic single bundle ACL reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft with transtibial and transportal techniques using endobutton as femoral fixation device and titanium interference screw in 30 patients and bio absorbable interference screw in 30 patients as tibial fixation device. In our study fall and road traffic accidents predominated as the cause of injury accounting for 37% and 47% respectively. Sports injuries accounted for only 10% in contrary to all international studies. D W Lewis reported 58% meniscal injury associated ACL tear at presentation. Medial meniscus was involved more than the lateral meniscus in his study and he also proposed meniscal repair or resection did not alter the outcome and chondral lesions are a better predictor of functional outcome. Stephen Lyman reported more than 50% meniscal procedures with ACL reconstructions in 2009. In our study 30% of patients had meniscal injury at presentation and medial meniscus injury predominated lateral meniscus injury like other studies. None of our patients had significant chondral damage at diagnostic arthroscopy. The fixation of the graft has been proved to be the site of failure rather than the graft itself irrespective of the type of graft especially in the early rehabilitation phase when the graft integration has not taken place and the fixation is of little significance after 8 to 12 weeks when graft has integrated with the bone as proposed by Dawn T Gulick [44].

In our study we used transtibial or transportal single bundle reconstruction with quadrupled hamstring graft placing the femoral tunnel between 10 30 and 11’o clock position in the right knee and between 1’o clock and 1 30 position in the left knee. John Paul [45] proposed that placing graft at 10 30 position and 1 30 position in single bundle reconstruction reconstructs portions of anteromedial and posterolateral bundles. Masayoshi Yagi [46] showed that anatomic reconstruction allowed better rotatory stability than nonanatomic placements of graft. Asheesh Bedi [49] showed that trans portal placement of tunnel achieved more lateral placement than the trans tibial drilling and trans tibial approach to achieve lateral tunnel placements resulted in over reaming of tibia. Though double bundle reconstructions have gained attraction and studies have shown double bundle reconstruction to be superior in providing stability in high demand patients. Adachi, Ochi and Uchio [50] showed no significant advantage of double bundle reconstruction than anatomic single bundle reconstruction in factors of stability and proprioception in general population. The metallic screws distort the knee MRI wherein bio absorbable screw avoids impairment of imaging. Apart from this metallic screws have to be removed during surgical revision wherein bio absorbable screws would have been degraded. The major disadvantages are screw breakage at the time of insertion and postoperative inflammatory reaction causing synovitis. We did not come across such problems in our study.

In our study, functional outcome evaluated by Lysholm and Gillquist scoring was nearly equal in both titanium interference screw study group and bio absorbable interference screw study group and it is statistically insignificant with P value of 0.97. Our study shows that there is no significant difference in the outcomes associated with the use of titanium and bio absorbable interference screws used for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Since our study was a short term follow up we could not comment about the arthritic changes post operatively. Fox et al [51] reported 3 to 17% incidence of anterior knee pain, compared to 13% in our study, Apostolopoulos [52] reported 10% of anterior knee pain. Kurt Spindler [53] stated regular exercise can lead to increased outcomes in 2005. Our patients are put on home based physiotherapy programme insisting on knee flexion and quadriceps strengthening and mean flexion achieved was 135 degree. J A Grant [54] concluded that home based physiotherapy is cost effective and not significantly inferior to supervised programmes. As overall conclusion several factors influence the functional outcome in arthroscopic ACL reconstruction. Factors like graft choice, graft fixation, tunnel placement and graft tensioning play a vital role in altering the final outcomes.

Conclusion

The results of our study were comparable with already published reports of comparative study done using bio absorbable versus metal interference screws. Our study shows that there is no difference in functional outcome whether bio absorbable or titanium interference screw was used. The success of ACL reconstruction depend on the correct technique used for the surgery, precise placement of graft and rehabilitation methods than on type of graft fixation device used, neither titanium nor bio absorbable screws. The blunt metal or titanium screw has been the de facto standard in graft fixation. Since the alternate bio absorbable screw overcomes some of the potential drawbacks, it should become the de facto standard in the future.
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