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Abstract

Background: Spinal trauma is becoming a common problem in today's orthopaedic practice, more so in this era where the individuals are more at risk due to high energy trauma. An undiagnosed or suboptimally managed spine injury can result in a neurologic deficit and permanently impair a patient's function and quality of life. The vast majority of these injuries have been shown to affect the motion segments between T11 and L2 that comprise the thoracolumbar junction. Early surgical decompression with instrumentation reduces hospital stay, facilitates early recovery and prevents prolonged morbidity, so there is an urgent need for exploring possibilities of surgical stabilization, early mobilization and rehabilitation of patients.

Materials and methods: All the patients with thoracolumbar spine fractures who had undergone surgical decompression at the department of orthopaedics in Father Muller Medical College, Mangalore from June 2016 to August 2017, were included in this study and followed up at 3 months, 6 months and 1 year after surgery.

Results: A prospective follow up study of one year duration with a sample size of 30 patients were included. The patients with single level thoracolumbar spinal fractures selected after a pre-operative CT/MRI, undergoing surgical decompression at Father Muller Medical College & Hospital would be evaluated and assessed post-operatively for neurological recovery using ASIA (The American Spinal Injury Association) at 3rd month, 6th month and at last follow-up at one year. Anterior decompression with instrumentation and posterior decompression with instrumentation are both effective ways to stabilise spine but there is significantly better neurological recovery in anterior group compared to posterior group.

Conclusion: In our study functional outcome was assessed using Denis work scale at last follow-up. Out of 8 paraplegics 3 did not improve and completely wheelchair bound at 1 year and were completely disabled (W5). Out of 22 incomplete paraplegics 1 was completely disabled at 1 year (W5). Rest of the patients were able to return to some occupation at 1 year but none of them were able to return to their previous occupation with no restrictions. 3 out of 14 (21.42%) in anterior group and 5 out of 16 (31.25%) in posterior group were able to return to their previous occupation but with restrictions.
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Introduction

Spinal trauma is becoming a common problem in today's orthopaedic practice, more so in this era where the individuals are more at risk due to high energy trauma. An undiagnosed or suboptimally managed spine injury can result in a neurologic deficit and permanently impair a patient's function and quality of life. It has been estimated that 6% of all fractures involve the spinal column, with approximately 90% occurring within the thoracic or lumbar regions [1]. The vast majority of these injuries have been shown to affect the motion segments between T11 and L2 that comprise the thoracolumbar junction. This transitional zone may experience substantial biomechanical stresses during traumatic incidents, which generally make it more susceptible to fracture [2].

Many authors have advised recumbent treatment which was labour intensive and associated with complications due to long recumbency, increased cost of therapy, increased bed occupancy, increased hospital stay hours and care by trained personnel [3].
Early surgical decompression with instrumentation reduces hospital stay, facilitates early recovery and prevents prolonged morbidity, so there is an urgent need for exploring possibilities of surgical stabilization, early mobilization and rehabilitation of patients. This prospective comparative study aims at comparing the neurological outcomes after surgical decompression and instrumentation by anterior and transpedicular approach in thoracolumbar spinal fractures post-operatively at 3 months, 6 months and 1 year follow up periods.

Aims and Objectives

- To assess the neurological recovery following anterior and transpedicular spinal decompression in thoracolumbar spinal fractures.
- To compare functional recovery.
- To compare both procedures on speed of neurological recovery basis.
- To compare surgical complications and post-operative pain.
- To assess age distribution.

Materials and Methods

All the patients with thoracolumbar spine fractures who had undergone surgical decompression at the department of orthopaedics in Father Muller Medical College, Mangalore from June 2016 to August 2017, were included in this study and followed up at 3 months, 6 months and 1 year after surgery.

All patients with suspected thoraco-lumbar spine trauma were examined and detailed history regarding time of injury, place, mode of injury and time elapsed since injury were recorded. Patients were also enquired for involuntary passage of stools or urine after injury and recorded.

Method of Collection of Data

A prospective follow up study of one year duration with a sample size of 30 patients who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected by purposive sampling. The patients with single level thoracolumbar spinal fractures selected after a pre-operative CT/MRI, undergo surgical decompression at Father Muller Medical College & Hospital would be evaluated and assessed post-operatively for neurological recovery using ASIA (The American Spinal Injury Association) at 3rd month, 6th month and at last follow up at one year.

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-op neurology</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paraplegia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraparesis</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 3 out of 8 (37.5%) paraplegics did not improve and remained in ASIA ‘A’ indicating that primary injury cannot be reversed on decompression and stabilisation.
- 1 out of 22 paraparetic patients did not improve neurology (Grade B).
- Others improved their neurological status by atleast 1 grade.
- Out of 3 paraplegics who underwent posterior decompression and instrumentation, 2 remained as grade A.

Graph 1: Gender distribution

Age distribution

In our study most commonly affected age group was 30-40 years with 53.33%. These people are employed and most of them were labourers requiring high physical demand.

Mode of injury

In our study fall from height was the most common mode of injury followed by RTA.

Graph 2: Mode of injury

Neurological course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASIA grade</th>
<th>Pre-op</th>
<th>3months</th>
<th>6months</th>
<th>1year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2A and 1B</td>
<td>2A and 1C</td>
<td>2A and 1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1B,1C and 1D</td>
<td>1B and 2D</td>
<td>1B,1D and 1E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3C and 1D</td>
<td>3D and 1E</td>
<td>3D and 1E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4E and 2D</td>
<td>6E</td>
<td>6E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Out of 5 paraplegics who underwent anterior decompression and instrumentation, 1 remained as grade A.
- Anterior group patients improved their neurological status by 2.21 grades on average.
- Posterior group patients improved their neurological status by 1.12 grades on average.

Neurological course of posterior instrumentation group
Neurological course of anterior instrumentation group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASIA grade</th>
<th>Pre-op</th>
<th>3months</th>
<th>6months</th>
<th>1 year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1A,2B and 2C</td>
<td>1A,1C,2D and 1E</td>
<td>1A,1C,2D and 1E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2C and 2D</td>
<td>1C,1D and 2E</td>
<td>1C and 3E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1C,1D and 2E</td>
<td>4E</td>
<td>4E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1E</td>
<td>1E</td>
<td>1E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-op neurology

Neurology at 3 months follow-up

Neurology at 6 months follow-up
Neurology at 1 year follow-up

All patients were assessed at 1 year follow-up for functional recovery using Denis work scale and results were as below.

Functional outcome

Complications
Only 2 patients developed grade 3 bed sores rest of all are grade 1 or grade 2 sores which healed with regular dressings. All wound infections were superficial and healed with sensitive antibiotics.
LRTI was common in anterior group (4 out of 6) probably due to thoracotomy done in dorsal spine injuries which caused painful respiration for few days.

Discussion
Thoraco-lumbar spine fractures occur normally due to high velocity impact like fall from height, RTA and impact with a heavy object.

Age
Men are the most commonly affected group involving mainly young males, who are the bread-winners of family, leading to economical and psychological injury.

Age distribution
30-40 years is the most commonly affected (53.33%) and mean age was 35.46 years. This can be compared with R. Roy Camille [4] studies.

Gender distribution
In R. Roy Camille et al. [4] study 63% were males and 37% were females. In Eduardo R Luque study 60% were male and 40% were females. In K.D. Tripathi and A.K. singh study 80% were males and 20% were females. In our study 80% were males and 20% were females and it is comparable to fore-mentioned studies.

Mode of injury
Fall from height was the most common mode of injury in our study as a part of occupational hazard. This can be compared to Raj Bhadur and Manish Chaddha’s study in which also fall from height was the most common mode.

Neurological course
All patients in our study were assessed using Frankel’s modification of ASIA grading preoperatively and at all follow-ups. In our study 8 patients were paraplegics and 22 were incomplete paraplegics. 3 out of 8 paraplegics did not improve neurological status and wheelchair bound at 1 year follow-up indicating primary spinal cord injury can’t be reversed with any modality of treatment. Out of remaining 22 incomplete paraplegic patients, one patient of Frankel’s B grade did not improve neurological status. Rest of 21 patients
improved neurological status by minimum 1 Frankel’s grade. 9 out of 14 (64.28%) in anterior group and 8 out of 16 (62.5%) in posterior group improved their neurology to normal (Frankel’s grade E). 1 out of 14 anterior group patients (7.14%) and 3 out of 16 posterior group patients (18.75%) did not improve neurological status. Thus it can be concluded that decompression and stabilisation by either anterior or posterior approach will definitely help in improving neurological status and results were comparable to other studies.

Functional outcome
In our study functional outcome was assessed using Denis work scale at last follow-up. Out of 8 paraplegics 3 did not improve and completely wheelchair bound at 1 year and were completely disabled (W5). Out of 22 incomplete paraplegics 1 was completely disabled at 1 year (W5). Rest of the patients were able to return to some occupation at 1 year but none of them were able to return to their previous occupation with no restrictions. 3 out of 14 (21.42%) in anterior group and 5 out of 16 (31.25%) in posterior group were able to return to their previous occupation but with restrictions (W2).

Post-op pain
All patients in our study were given standardised analgesic dose and were assessed for pain on post op day 1 using Visual Analogue Score. Patients of anterior group were found to be experiencing 7.71 pain score on average and 7.31 pain score in posterior group.

Conclusion
Our study comparing neurological outcome following anterior and posterior decompression with instrumentation, conducted between June 2016 to August 2017 has led to following derivations.

1. Anterior decompression with instrumentation and posterior decompression with instrumentation are both effective ways to stabilise spine but there is significantly better neurological recovery in anterior group compared to posterior group. We attribute this to direct visualisation and better decompression in anterior group compared to posterior group, whereas in posterior group decompression is mainly due to ligamentotaxis by postural reduction.

2. Functional recovery at 1 year follow-up also showed better results in anterior group indicating functional recovery is dependent on neurological recovery.

3. All patients can be made to sit and if possible to walk by at least on the 5th post-operative day following rigid instrumentation in both groups.

4. Postoperative pain was comparably more in anterior decompression and instrumentation and patients had more post-operative lower respiratory tract infection in anterior group probably due to atelectasis and increased dead space of lung due to pain. Hence morbidity is comparably more in the anterior decompression and instrumentation group and it should be weighed against better neurological improvement in comorbid and minimal deficit patients.

5. No patient was able to return to the previous employment which were physically demanding. Hence spinal injuries are disabling many times for manual labourers who are the sole bread winners for the family requiring compensation or support from employers/government bodies.

6. As expected, a correlation was found between neurological recovery and the severity of initial spinal cord injury in both groups. The patients who had severe cord indentation/transection, had the worst outcome.

7. Bed sore is the most common complication developing in spine injury patients inspite of good nursing care, which were developed once patient is discharged from hospital due to ineffective back care.
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