Neglected old posterior dislocation of elbow: Treatment and results of open reduction
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Abstract
Neglected old dislocations of elbow are found only in developing countries in significant numbers and there is very less literature of this condition from developed countries. It is a huge challenge for orthopaedic surgeons in developing countries as even after treatment it is difficult to achieve full range of motion and function in a neglected case. In this article we report treatment and results of one of the largest series of 15 cases on neglected posterior elbow dislocation. Our management protocol was opening joint through posterior approach and using Speed Technique doing a V-Y plasty for triceps and most of times fixing reduced joint by 2 diverging k wires. At one year follow up
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1. Introduction
Neglected dislocations of elbow are rarely found in developed world but is quite a common phenomenon in developing countries. Because of the misconceptions associated and less compliance with rehabilitation it’s a huge challenge for treatment to orthopaedic surgeons. Neglected old dislocation are defined as those posterior dislocations of elbow which are not treated or reduced within three weeks of injury [1-3]. These patients present late with very little range of motion of elbow for activity of daily living and hence their treatment becomes mandatory of any future functional requirement [2,4]. The time since injury and age of patient determine mode of treatment [3, 5]. Most authors recommend open reduction for late presenting cases upto 3 months after injury [1, 6, 7]. Functional outcome of open reduction is inversely proportional to time since injury [7]. Total elbow replacement, excisional arthroplasty and arthrodesis is advised for cases presenting late after 3 months[a], though no concrete treatment protocols exists for late cases. We in our series of 15 patients present the results of elbows treated by open reduction, regardless of time since injury.

2. Material and Methods
Between the period April 2015 to August 2017, ten men and five women a total of 15 patients which is one of the largest series reported yet were treated at our institute for neglected old posterior dislocation of elbow. The time since injury ranged from 1 to 6 months. All most all the patients had taken some form of traditional non-medical treatment. Elbow stiffness and pain were the main indications for surgery. On examinations patient presented with anteriorly prominent distal humerus, olecranon was prominent and shortened triceps, the three point relationship of elbow was disturbed. The joints were fixed with only a few degrees of range of movements. The active range of elbow movements were measured using a handheld goniometer (Table 1 all patient details). All patients were preoperatively evaluated using Mayo Elbow Performance Index [MEPI] (table 2).All patients were treated with open reduction and fixation with k wires and also in some cases associated fractures were fixed.

2.1 Surgical Operative Technique
The patient was positioned laterally on operation theatre table with arm support at elbow so that full flexion and extension can be carried out. The procedure was carried out either under general anesthesia or regional anesthetic block under tourniquet control. Speed’s procedure for
open reduction was used \[1\] in all cases. Midline posterior incision was taken, skin flaps elevated, ulnar nerve isolated and protected, Seeds V-Y plasty done for triceps muscle[fig.2c]. Dense fibrous tissue filled up the olecranon fossa, coronoid fossa, and the radial head, whilst the collateral ligaments were contracted. The fibrous tissue was carefully excised, the contracted capsule and collateral ligaments were cut, myositis ossificans was excised when obstructing reduction. Radio-capitellar and ulno-trochlear reduction was achieved by manipulation, associated fractures were fixed according to the standard procedures and most of the times the joint was found unstable so it was held in reduced position by 2 k-wires of size 2.5mm in diversing configuration in ulno-humeral direction. The V-Y plasty repaired, wash given, drain kept and all the incision was closed in layers, dressing done, posterior above elbow slab was applied.

Drain was removed after 48 hours, i.v. antibiotics were given for 3 days then patient was shifted to oral antibiotics for 5 days, sutures were removed on 12th day and k-wires were removed after 2 weeks. Pronation and Supination were started at 2 weeks but flexion and extension were started only after 4 weeks for fear of redislocation \[1\], elbow pouch was continued in between exercises, muscle strengthening exercises were begun after 6 weeks.

The Mayo Elbow Performance Index was used to asses function of elbow preoperatively, postoperatively and at all follow ups. Depending on Mayo scores results were rated as excellent (90-100), good (75-89), fair (60-74), or poor(<60). The follow up radiographs were evaluated for articular alignment and post traumatic arthrosis using rating scale by Broberg and Morrey \[11\]. Absence of radiographic was defined as grade 0, slight joint narrowing as grade 1, moderate joint space narrowing with minimal osteophytes as grade 2, and severe degenerated changes with loss of joint space as grade 3.

Table 1: Patient characteristics and outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of dislocation (months)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of injury</td>
<td>fall</td>
<td>fall</td>
<td>fall</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>fall</td>
<td>fall</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>fall</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>fall</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated Fracture</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Radial head</td>
<td>Radial head</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Med. Condyle</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Terrible triad</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Captulum.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-op ROM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-op MEPI</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-op ROM</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-op MEPI</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade result</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up (months)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Stiff.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Infln.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Necrosis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Infln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2: Mayo Elbow Performance Index Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAIN (Max.,45 points)</th>
<th>• None(45 points)</th>
<th>• Mild(30 points)</th>
<th>• Moderate(15 points)</th>
<th>• Severe(0 points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range Of Motion (Max.,20 points)</td>
<td>• Arc &gt;100 degrees (20 points)</td>
<td>• Arc 50 to 100 degrees (15 points)</td>
<td>• Arc &lt; 50 degrees (5 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability (Max.,10 points)</td>
<td>• Stable (10 points)</td>
<td>• Moderately unstable (5 points)</td>
<td>• Grossly unstable (10 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function (Max.,25 points)</td>
<td>• Able to comb hair (5 points)</td>
<td>• Able to feed oneself (5 points)</td>
<td>• Able to perform personal hygiene task (5 points)</td>
<td>• Able to on shirt (5 points)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results
The mean age of patients was 28 years (18-42). The majority of patients were male 66% (10 out of 15). The mode of injury was mainly fall 60% and road traffic accidents 40% cases. All 15 cases were treated by traditional ways before coming to our hospital. The mean operating time was 90 (range, 60-150) minutes. The mean follow up period was 18 months (range, 12-28). Based on the Mayo Elbow Performance Index [10], at the final follow up, 13 patients had satisfactory outcomes (3 excellent, 8 good, 2 fair) and 2 had poor outcomes, the mean score was 77. 10 patients had no pain, 3 had mild pain and 2 had moderate pain during weight lifting. At final follow up no patient had any sign of instability; the mean score was 10. The mean arc of flexion was 100 degrees (range, 40 to 130). The mean arc of pronation supination was 140 degrees with all achieving more than 100 degrees arc. All patients regained functional range of movements with most activities of daily living possible. All 15 patients had no difficulty in performing functional task.

Complications: 2 patients had superficial skin infection which recovered with i.v antibiotics, 1 patient had severe stiffness which recovered functional ROM by physiotherapy and 1 patient had necrosis of skin flaps which required split thickness skin graft.

4. Discussion
The neglected dislocations of elbow are rare in west but still frequent presentations in developing countries, delay in treatment is due to resort to traditional quacks [21]. These bone setters use massage and forced manipulation which increase complications [22]. Most authors agree on treatment consensus and recommend closed reduction for elbow dislocation upto 3 weeks post injury. After that closed reduction can be more hazardous than beneficial [1-3]. Most authors advise open reduction from 3 weeks to 3 months, total elbow replacement, excisional arthroplasty and arthrodesis is recommended thereafter [1, 5, 6]. Arthrodesis is reserved for heavy workers and arthroplasty for good ROM. Open reduction is not advised after 3 months of dislocation for fear of cartilage damage [6, 7]. But in our study series we achieved satisfactory results with surgery upto 6 months and these findings are consistent with those of another study achieving similar results by open reduction upto 2 years [2].

Associated fractures with neglected elbow dislocation has poor prognosis compared to those without fractures [8]. In our series we had 5 patients having associated fractures. we had 2 patients with radial head fracture, 1 with medial condyle, 1 with capettulum and 1 with terrible triad fracture, all these fracture were fixed during open reduction. These patients had less ROM than others but they were able to perform activity of daily living.

Mahaisavariya etal recommended open reduction without triceps lengthening in dislocations from 1 to 3 months old [17]. On the other hand in other study recommended lengthening in elbows dislocated for 60 months [18]. We found that Speed’s V-Y plasty aided in easy reduction and infact lengthened the contracted tricep muscle.

Some authors recommend open reduction and hinged external fixation to facilitate early rehabilitation and good stability [19, 20]. But our study with open reduction and k wire fixation with supervised postoperative rehab protocols have achieved equally good results. We removed sutures at 12 days, removed k wires at 2 weeks and started supination-pronation. we allowed flexion-extension at 4 weeks in between elbow was kept in support, started muscle strengthening exercises at 6 weeks.

5. Conclusion
The results of open reduction and fixation of neglected old posterior dislocation of elbow are both assuring and satisfying irrespective of duration of dislocation, age of patient or preoperative range of motion provided a supervised physiotherapy protocol is followed. In our series of study we were able to achieve functional, stable and painless elbows so its really worth to give attempt of open reduction in neglected old elbow dislocations contrary to the popular belief. Limited large series literature is available on this topic and much research is need for common consensus.

Case 1

![Preoperative X ray of neglected elbow dislocation](image1a)

![postoperative x ray with k wires](image1b)
Case 2

Fig 2a: Preoperative x-ray with fracture capitulum and dislocation

Fig 2b: 3D CT elbow

Fig 2c: Speed technique of V-Y plasty

Fig 2d: Postoperative x-ray with cc screw and k wires

Case 3

Fig 3a: Preoperative x-ray of 4 months old dislocation

Fig 3b: Postoperative x-ray showing reduced but distracted joint space
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