Outcome of surgically treated displaced medial epicondyle fracture of humerus in children: A prospective study
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate the functional outcome of surgically treated displaced medial epicondyle fracture of humerus in children using kirschner wire.

Methods: A prospective longitudinal study was undertaken in our hospital during the period of December 2010-December 2014. A total number of 34 patients with the diagnosis of displaced medial epicondyle fracture were included in the study. Ten children had elbow dislocation and three children had ulnar nerve injury. All the cases were treated by two parallel or divergent K-wires. All patients were operated under general anaesthesia. The mean follow-up period was 14 months (range 12 to 16 months). Results were analyzed using the Mayo elbow performance score.

Results: All children achieved union in a mean time of 3 weeks (range from 2.5 - 6 weeks). The mean Mayo elbow performance score was 93.5. Late surgery was associated with a score of 80 in two patients and 75 in one patient; the remaining patients had an excellent result (100 points). Full range of elbow motion was achieved in all the patients however, following late surgery; two patients had extension and flexion losses of 5° and 10°, respectively. None of the patients had instability postoperatively. Full ulnar nerve recovery seen at final follow-up.

Conclusion: Anatomical reduction either close or open and percutaneous kirschner wires fixation affords a significantly higher union rate, a significantly higher functional outcome score and a significantly better range of movement of the elbow joint.
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Introduction

Fractures of the medial epicondyle are common than dislocations and account for about10% of elbow fractures in children. Nearly 50% of medial epicondyle fractures are associated with dislocation of the elbow, and often the displaced fragment becomes trapped in the joint [1-3]. The undisplaced or minimally displaced fractures are generally treated with simple immobilization. The operative treatments are considered when the fracture fragment is displaced into the elbow joint, when ulnar nerve entrapment is suspected, when valgus instability is suspected, or when the fracture fragment is displaced >5 mm [1, 4-6]. Surgical excision is avoided whenever possible, because some reports demonstrated decreased grip strength, and hypoplasia of the medial aspect of the distal humeral epiphysis [7]. Open reduction and fixation are found to reduce the frequency of nonunion and prevent valgus instability [3, 7, 8]. Although the clinical results are satisfactory, elbow stiffness, ulnar nerve symptoms and radiologic abnormality such as hyperplasia, hypoplasia or pseudarthrosis have been reported after open reduction and fixation [3, 5, 10].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the functional outcome of surgically treated displaced medial epicondyle fracture of humerus in children using kirschner wire at the same time to see the possibilities of complication of iatrogenic ulnar nerve palsy.

Material and methods

This prospective study was carried out at Orthopaedics department from December 2010 to December 2013. It was approved by institutional medical ethics committee. A written informed consent was obtained from all the patients (by their parents).
A total of 34 children (14 girls and 20 boys) with fractures of the medial epicondyle of the humerus were included in this study. The patients were aged between two to 13 years with the mean age of 7.76 years.

**Inclusion Criteria**
1. Age between 2 to 13 years.
2. The mean follow-up period was 14 months (range 12 to 16 months).
3. All cases unilateral and close fracture.
4. Displaced > 5 mm, unstable with or without rotation.

**Exclusion Criteria**
1. Age more than 13 years.
2. Open fractures
3. Cases with polytrauma.
4. Fractures manageable by immobilization alone which were stable.
5. Multiple bones fracture involving the same elbow.

The final clinical and radiographic examinations of cases were performed after 14 months in average. All injuries were associated with low-energy traumas, resulting from falls. Preoperatively, three children out of ten had ulnar nerve contusion, two requiring anterior transposition of the nerve. Left elbow was involved in 15 patients and right elbow in nineteen patients. Other injuries were present in addition to the medial humeral epicondyle in six patients. The fractures with dislocations were due to fall from high (wall or stairs) in three patients, and simply fall while playing in seven patients. All of them were closed fractures; three patients had postero-medial dislocation while seven patients had posterolateral dislocations. Nine patients underwent closed reduction immediately after the clinical and radiographic diagnosis in the emergency department. 14 children were treated by close reduction and internal fixation with two K-wires and 20 children were treated by open reduction and internal fixation with two K-wires. In all cases with instability, there was a displacement over 5 mm in the medial epicondyle. For all patients who underwent surgical treatment, postero-medial incision was used, and ulnar nerve exploration was performed. Anterior transposition of ulnar nerve was done in two children. The medial epicondyle fixation was achieved by cross Kirschner wires in 14 cases, and by parallel Kirschner wires in 20 cases. All patients used a long arm cast for a period of 3 weeks after the operation. Thereafter range of motion exercises was started. Final outcome measures included pain assessment, range of motion and Mayo elbow performance score (MEPS) [11]. Results were considered excellent if the MEPS were 90 or above, good if it was between 75 and 89, fair between 60 and 74 and poor less than 60. The mean follow-up period was 14 months (range 12 to 16 months). Radiographically, full lateral and full antero-posterior images of both elbows were obtained for all patients. (Figure 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, and 3a, 3b) Healing of fracture and potential complications were evaluated. Radiographic indication of healing is the visualization of the callus tissue around the fracture on the antero-posterior and lateral views of the elbow. Avascular necrosis, presence of non-union and misunion, and status of the medial epicondyle growth plate were also taken into consideration during the evaluation of functional results. Radiographs of the elbow were also evaluated in terms of rotational or angular deformities, and heterotopic ossification. Based on these observations, deformities of elbow were classified as normal, relatively cubitus varus, cubitus varus, pseudo cubitus varus and cubitus valgus. The carrying angle of the injured elbow is reduced in the relatively cubitus varus compared to the other elbow; but still above 0 degrees while in cubitus varus, the carrying angle is below 0 degrees.

**Results**
There were 34 children in this study. Out of 100% (34/34), 58.82% (20/34) children were male and 41.17% (14/34) children were females. The children were aged 2 years to 13 years. There were 44.11% (15/34) left sided and 55.89% (19/34) right-sided fractures. 50% (17/34) children had injury during playing, 41.17% (14/34) children had met with a road traffic accident and 8.82% (3/34) had a fall from a height. 100% (34/34) were closed fractures. All the cases were treated by two parallel or divergent K-wires. 58.82% (20/34) children were treated by 2 parallel K-wires and 41.17% (14/34) children were treated by two cross k-wires. In 58.82% (20/34) cases we were unable to reduce the fracture by close means due to displacement and rotation of the fragment. For 58.82% (20/34) patients who underwent surgical treatment, postero-medial incision was used, and ulnar nerve exploration was performed. Preoperatively, 8.82% (3/34) children had ulnar nerve contusion, two requiring anterior transposition of the nerve. Postoperatively ulnar nerve paralysis recovered fully. Intraoperative ulnar nerve injuries were not seen in this study. Close reduction and internal fixation with K-wires were performed on the day of trauma in 8.82% (3/34) patients, one day later in 11.76% (4/34) patients, within 2 to 7 days in 11.76% (4/34) patients and after one week in 8.82% (3/34) patients. Open reduction and internal fixation with K-wire were performed on the day of trauma in 14.70% (5/34) patients, one day later in 17.64% (6/34) patients, within 2 to 7 days in 17.64% (6/34) patients and after one week in 8.82% (3/34) patients. Cases treated within 2 to 7 days were late referrals to our institute. Two K-wires were used for medial humeral approach and fixation in all cases; placed percutaneously, and the fixation time was limited to three weeks. Percutaneous K-wires were removed without any need for clinical anesthesia at the end of the specified period. No complication was observed during early period. The mean carrying angle in the fractured elbow was 8° (range from 0 to 15°), and 7.8° (range from 5 to 11°) in the other elbow during the late monitoring period. These values had no significant difference. The carrying angles of both elbows were equal in 30 (88.23%) patients (Figure 1a, 1b and 1c), with a mean difference of 5° in 4 patients (11.76%). The intraoperative views showed that the reductions were anatomically performed and maintained. Following the removal of K-wires, no malunion or any arrest of growth in the medial humeral growth plate was observed. According to the Mayo elbow scores, all patients had satisfactory (75 points and over, mean 95.5) results. The Mayo elbow score was 80 in two of the patients, who underwent surgery after seven days; and 75 in the patient who underwent surgery at week 10; and 100 points in all of the remaining cases. A full range of motion was achieved in all patients who underwent early surgical treatment. One of the patients who underwent late surgical treatment had a 5° extension loss while the other had a 10° flexion loss. The patient who was treated with a ten weeks delay had a full range of pronation-supination during the examination at post-operative week 14; the range of flexion-extension was 30°-120°. There was no instability and pain in the elbow. No instability was found during the final examinations of the four cases, which underwent surgery for...
instability. During follow-up, we did not find secondary displacement of wires and loss of reduction. Post-operatively, 5.88% (5/34) patients got pin tract infection, which was superficial and healed after removing pins and oral antibiotic administration. Callus formation was seen in all patients at the three week post-operatively before removing the K-wires. The fracture united in all cases at the three week post-operatively. All patients were followed at eighth weeks, 16 weeks 24 weeks and 12 months postoperatively. 73.52% (25/34) had excellent, 17.64% (6/34) good, 5.88% (2/34) fair and 2.94% (1/34) poor results at eight weeks which was improved to 88.23% (30/34) excellent, 8.82% (3/34) good, 2.94% (1/34) fair and no poor result at the final follow-up. In my study, there was an inappropriate fixation in one case where two pins, which is too close and work as one pin, though reduction was maintained and union had occurred without deformity. Child was kept in close follow-up. During this study, complications like vascular injury, compartment syndrome, myositis ossifications, significant mal-union and non-union were not seen.

Discussion
The diagnosis and evaluation of the displacement in medial humeral epicondyle fracture is difficult, because the epicondyle is small, the displacement is overlapped by the distal humeral metaphysis or it is often confused with the other trochlear ossification center [4, 12]. Delayed diagnosis and stiffness due to the displaced intraarticular fragment have been reported [5, 12]. However, except for the displaced intraarticular fragments, good long-term results of nonsurgical treatment of medial humeral epicondyle fracture have usually been obtained even in the cases with nonunion [7]. Others reported non-satisfactory results and a slightly restricted extension in athletes [7, 10, 13]. Smith, et al. [5] reported the preoperative functional limitations including pain with activities of daily living and instability with lifting weight or throwing a ball. But, the previous studies did not differentiate between the medial humeral epicondyle fracture with associated elbow dislocation and without associated elbow dislocation. Furthermore, the results were not compared according to the fixation method used. Previous reports suggested that damage to the medial stabilizing structure of the elbow is more important than the extent of medial epicondyle displacement in elbow instability [3, 14].

Moreover, several studies showed that fibrous union of the medial epicondyle may result in laxity of the medial collateral ligament of the elbow [14, 15, 16]. On the other hand, Farsetti, et al. [7] reported that none of the patients who had been treated nonoperatively had elbow instability at the long-term follow-up. We included cases of medial humeral epicondyle fracture with associated elbow dislocation and also displaced intraarticular medial epicondy lar fragment, because they indicate damage to the medial structure of the elbow joint. We did not find any cases of rupture of the medial collateral ligament during the operation, and we did not find any cases of elbow instability at the final functional evaluation. We also observed that the fracture fragment was usually found to be displaced anterior to its origin on the humeral condyle, because of the pull of the flexor-pronator muscle mass, and was located extraarticularly, the finding being similar with that of the previous study [4]. The varus instability is supposed to be due to the injury to the medial collateral ligament, because of elbow dislocation or rupture of the medial stabilizing structure of the elbow joint. Several studies reported on elbow stiffness after operative fixation [7, 9]. However, Louahem, et al. [1] showed that stiffness was rare even with postoperative immobilization of the elbow (mean of 4 weeks) in medial epicondyloavulsion fracture with associated elbow dislocation. In our series, only two patients had limited elbow motion: both the children had posterior dislocation of elbow. All other patients had a full range of motion. If there is no intraarticular injury or damage to the medial structure of the elbow joint, the epicondy lar fragment is united or the immobilization period is less than 4 weeks, a full elbow range of motion recovery can be expected. Some studies showed varus or valgus deformity of the elbow after medial humeral epicondyloavulsion fracture [10, 17]. However, the medial epicondyle is a traction apophysis, and others the fracture cannot have any direct influence on the growth of the distal humerus [7, 19]. In this study, we had 14 cases of displaced medial epicondyloavulsion fracture associated with 10 cases of elbow dislocation; at final follow-up we did not find any varus or valgus deformity of the elbow. In very young children, varus tilt of the joint surface has been reported after nailing and hypoplasia [10]. Cannulated screws can also cause damage to the growth plate, because these screws probably lock the epiphysis to the metaphysis, like nailing [16]. However, the effect of cannulated screws on traction apophysis of the medial epicondyle is not clear. In this study, we did not use cannulated screws. All the children were treated with k-wires. We did not find any varus tilt of the joint surface at the final follow-up. Callus formation was seen in all patients at the three week post-operatively before removing the K-wires. The fracture united in all cases at the three week post-operatively. All patients were followed at eighth weeks, 16 weeks 24 weeks and 12 months postoperatively. 73.52% (25/34) had excellent, 17.64% (6/34) good, 5.88% (2/34) fair and 2.94% (1/34) poor results at eight weeks which was improved to 88.23% (30/34) excellent, 8.82% (3/34) good, 2.94% (1/34) fair and no poor result at the final follow-up. Postoperatively there was complete ulnar nerve contusion, two requiring anterior transposition of the nerve.
anesthesia and paralysis. Eventually there was full motor recovery and partial sensory recovery. One patient with postoperative ulnar nerve paralysis recovered fully. Intraoperative ulnar nerve injuries were not seen in this study. A potential limitation of our study was the absence of a control group treated by a different modality and limited number of cases. Thus we cannot actually determine if any other method of treatment would have led to different results. Nevertheless our results are better than those of the previous studies in which other screws or tension band wirings have been used.
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**Fig 1a:** Pre-operative anteroposterior and lateral view of displaced fracture medial epicondyle of humerus in 5 years of old boy.

**Fig 1b:** Post-operative A-P and lateral view of fracture medial epicondyle of humerus showing fracture fixation with two K wires.

**Fig 2a:** Pre-operative anteroposterior and lateral view of displaced fracture medial epicondyle of humerus in 7 years of old girl.

**Fig 2b:** Post-operative A-P and lateral view of fracture medial epicondyle of humerus showing fracture fixation with two K wires.

**Fig 3a:** Pre-operative anteroposterior and lateral view of displaced fracture medial epicondyle of humerus with elbow dislocation in 12 years of old girl.

**Fig 3b:** Post-operative A-P and lateral view of fracture medial epicondyle of humerus showing fracture fixation with two K wires.
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