Abstract
Objective: The objective of the study was to assess functional outcome of Proximal Humerus Interlocking System plating for displaced proximal humeral fractures by Constant-Murley (Subjective and Objective) score.

Material and methods: The PHILOS plate was used for internal fixation of displaced proximal humeral fractures in 25 patients 11 females, 14 males; mean age 60.64 years range(28-90yrs). Fractures were caused by low-energy trauma fall from standing height in 16 patients, and by an road traffic accident in 9 patients. Involvement was on the right in 15 cases and on the left in 10 cases. Bone graft was used in 2 cases to prevent varus malalignment. All patients received a similar physical therapy program following internal fixation with the PHILOS plate. The patients were assessed clinically and radiographically at regular intervals of 6 weeks, 12 weeks and 6 months. Functional outcome was assessed using the Constant-Murley score. Complications during the follow-up period were recorded.

Results: 9 patients had Neer’s four part fracture, 12 patients had 3 part fracture and 4 patients had 2 part fracture. After 6 months of follow up mean Constant and Murley score of 63.76 was achieved. Outcomes were excellent, good, moderate and poor in 2(8%), 3(12%), 14(56%), 6(24%) respectively. The most frequent complication seen was shoulder stiffness in 6 patients, malreduction in 4 and impingement in 4 patients.

Conclusion: Fixation of proximal humerus fractures with proximal humerus locking plates is associated with satisfactory functional outcomes in 2-part and 3-part and 4 part fracture. The incidence of complications is relatively high in 3 part and four part fractures. Advanced surgical skills and surgeon’s experience are considered to be more critical for successful operative treatment.
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1. Introduction
Fractures occurring at or proximal to surgical neck of humerus are described as proximal humerus fractures. These fractures account for almost 7% of all fractures and make up 80% of all humerus fractures. In patients above the age of 65 years proximal humeral fractures are the second most frequent upper extremity fracture, and next to proximal femur and distal end radius fractures.

Various modalities of management for proximal humeral fractures which includes conservative and operative. Depending on displacement and angulation of fracture fragments management is planned. In this study Neer’s proximal humerus fracture classification was followed.

Difficulties in surgery have been multifactorial, including osteoporotic bone, angular instability, implant impingement, bone loss, loss of reduction and backing out of screws. The indication for fixing such a fracture depends on the fracture pattern, quality of bone and the age and activity of the patient. The goal is to achieve near-anatomical reduction and stable fixation so as to achieve early mobilization.

They occur most commonly in the elderly. In younger patients, high-energy trauma is the cause and displacement is often more severe. PHILOS plate provides rigid fixation, more angular stability and early mobilisation.
2. Aims and objectives: To study the functional outcome of PHILOS plating for displaced proximal humeral fractures by evaluating pain, activities of daily living, range of motion & muscle power (Constant –Murley score).

3. Material and methods
3.1 Source of data
Patients coming to the casualty/ admitted under JSS hospital, Mysore under the Department of Orthopaedics.

3.2 Type of study
A prospective study

3.3.1 Inclusion Criteria
1. Patients age more than 18 years.
2. Failure of conservative management.

3.3.2 Exclusion Criteria:
1. Pathologic fractures from primary or metastatic tumours.
2. Patients age less than 18 years.
3. Open fractures.

4. Methods of collection of data:
4.1 Methodology
4.1.1 Preoperative: Patients admitted with displaced proximal humeral fractures were examined and x-rays of the shoulder in AP and axial views were obtained. Vascular and nerve injuries were ruled out. U slab was applied to immobilize the fracture in all cases. Fractures were classified according to Neer’s classification. Patient was advised to perform both forearm supination, pronation movements and wrist and finger movements. All patients were operated within 1 week from the time of injury depending on anesthetic fitness, Operation theater and implant availability.

4.1.2 Type of anesthesia – general anesthesia was used.

4.2 Operative procedure
4.2.1 Patient positioning
Beach chair position was used in all cases once the general anesthesia was administered.

4.2.2 Approach: Deltoperatol Approach
All cases in our study had undergone surgery through the deltopectoral approach which is considered the workhorse for reconstructive shoulder surgery. An incision starting over the coracoid process and advanced along the deltopectoral groove with subsequent identification and lateral reflection of the cephalic vein. A full-thickness skin flap is developed medially at the proximal extent of the incision to about 1 to 2 cm medial to the coracoid process. The cephalic vein was retracted laterally. The subdeltoid space is identified and fracture hematoma was cleared. After the identification of the long head of the biceps on the anterior aspect of the proximal shaft which will facilitate fracture identification and reduction and plate placement.

4.2.3 PHILOS plate and screw fixation
After exposing proximal humerus, tuberosities and humeral head were reduced along with rotator cuff tendons tagged with ethibond sutures to tie to final construct. Humeral shaft, tuberosities and humeral head were temporarily fixed with k wires. If dislocation was there it was reduced. PHILOS plate was put lateral to bicipital groove and fixed with locking and cannulized screws. Bone graft from iliac crest was put for two of the cases where there was bone loss leading the fracture to reduce in varus mal alignment.

The correct plate position is
1. About 5-8 mm distal to the top of the greater tuberosity.
2. Aligned properly along the axis of the humeral shaft.
3. Slightly posterior to the bicipital groove (2-4 mm)

4.2.4 Postoperative protocol
Patients were initially observed in recovery room then shifted to ward. Intravenous second generation cephalosporins continued till 2 days post operatively. Shoulder immobilizer was used after surgery till 4 weeks. Sutures were removed at 10th day post operatively. Active range of movements of
elbow and wrist were advised immediate post operatively. Patients were discharged after 10 days were advised to follow up after 6 weeks. Passive and assisted passive range of movements were advised at 4th week and active range of movements were encouraged at 6 weeks and should be able to achieve 90 degrees of forward elevation and rotation from the hand placed on the chest to neutral with the hand pointing straight forward. As the patient adapts to these exercises, they can be continued in the sitting or standing position. In addition, Codman pendulum exercises can be performed for passive range-of-motion exercises of the shoulder. Cuff strengthening exercises started 3 months after the follow up according to radiographic and clinical healing.

4.3 Follow up
Patients had been followed up at 6 weeks, 12 weeks and at 6 months for functional assessment with each follow up clinical and radiological evaluation has been done. Functional outcomes for pain, range of motion and muscle power, and function are assessed using the Constant and a Murley scoring system.

4.3.1 Functional grading used in the evaluation
4.3.1.1 Constant and murley scoring system
The European Society for Shoulder and Elbow Surgery adopted the scoring system of C Constant and A Murley. This scoring system consists of four variables that are used to assess the function of the shoulder. The right and left shoulders are assessed separately. The subjective variables are pain and ADL (sleep, work, recreation / sport) which give a total of 35 points. The objective variables are range of motion and strength which give a total of 65 points. Altogether there are a total of 100 points

4.3.1.2 Interpreting constant and murley scoring system
**Excellent**: Score between 86 and 100  
**Good**: Score between 71 and 85  
**Moderate**: Score between 56 and 70  
**Poor**: Score less than 55

A male patient after h/o of rta came with pain in right shoulder and diagnosed as neer’s two part fracture.

Pre op radiograph

Post op radiograph
5. Results
The following observations were made from the data collected during the study.

Table 1: Type of fracture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neer’s classification</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Column N %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two Part</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Part</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Part</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study Two Part fractures of 4 (16%)  
Three part fractures of 12 (48%)  
Four part fractures of 9 (36%)  

Table 2: Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Column N %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impingement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impingement, malreduction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malreduction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nill</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness, malreduction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study Complications were noted in 10 patients of which most common complication noted was stiffness of about 4 (15.4%) patients.  
Impingement was noted in 3 (11.5%) patients  
Varus malreduction was seen in 1 (3.8%) patients  
Combination of malreduction with stiffness was seen in 2 (7.7%) patients.  
Combination of impingement and malreduction was seen in 1 (3.8%) patients  

Table 3: Mean Constant and Murley score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C and M score</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Percentile 25</th>
<th>Percentile 75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6_wks</td>
<td>28.12</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12_wks</td>
<td>50.15</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>48.50</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6months</td>
<td>63.76</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>68.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeated measure anova, p<0.0001

In our study Mean Constant and Murley score at the end of 6 weeks was 28.12±6.15 SD  
Mean Constant and Murley score at the end of 12 weeks was 50.15±7.25 SD  
Mean Constant and Murley score at the end of 6 months was 63.76±10.35 SD
6. Discussion
Operative treatment of comminuted and displaced proximal humeral fractures, especially in osteoporotic bone, has been a complex and challenging problem. Different techniques have been described for fixation of comminuted and displaced proximal humeral fractures [30-33]. All these techniques have been associated with a varying rate of complications such as cut-out or back-out of the screws and plates, nonunion, avascular necrosis, and fractures distal to the plate [34, 35, 36]. Functional outcome not only depends on the quality of bone stock, but also on the stability provided by the implant. In an internal locking system like the PHILOS plate, all forces are transmitted from the bone via the locking head screws to the blade, and vice versa. Hence, the principle of fixed angle plates enables a gain in torsional stiffness and stability, and may therefore promote a superior outcome [37]. The present study was conducted to evaluate clinical outcome following PHILOS plate fixation and to assess potential complications during the follow-up. To date, early results of locking plate fixation of proximal humeral fractures have been reported [38, 41, 42].

We could achieve a mean Constant Murley score of 63.76 due to various complications encountered with plates. Various studies had reported varying results. Thyagarajan et al in their study on 30 patients showed an overall average Constant score of 57.5. The mean age in their series was 58 years (range 19-92 years) and fractures were Neer's 2-part, 3-part, and 4-part fractures. Rizwan Shahid et al in their study reported that the results of PHILOS plating were equally good in all patients but functional outcome was better in younger age group. They reported that with associated dislocation the results were deteriorated. These results were comparable to our study. In one prospective study, mean constant score was 68.31 in 19 patients [13]. Kettler et al reported a Constant-Murley score between 52 to 72 points after ORIF with the PHILOS plate [42]. Hente et al reached a mean Constant-Murley score of 55 points in these specific fracture types, which was lower than for fractures without dislocation [38]. However, the systematic review by Thanasis et al reported an overall Constant score of 74.3 and most of other studies have reported good functional outcomes and recommended the use of locking plates for proximal humerus fractures especially in elderly patients with poor bone quality. This leads us to believe that application of locking plate technology for proximal humerus fractures has a steep learning curve and appropriate surgical technique is very important for achieving good functional outcome.

In our study also the mean Constant score for 4-part fractures was 60.30 which were inferior as compared to 2-part and 3-part fractures (68 and 64.50 respectively). The results of two studies indicated an advantage in functional outcomes of fracture were visualization of fracture displacement in all patients but functional outcome was better in younger patients [32]. Based on our observations, inadequate positioning of the implant and severe comminution of fracture fragments resulted in reduced functional outcome. Hence, to improve functional results, we consider plate positioning and anatomical reduction of fracture to be of utmost importance when using PHILOS plate fixation.

The limitation of this study is lack of a control group and less follow up period.

7. Conclusion
Fixation of proximal humerus fractures with proximal humerus locking plates is associated with satisfactory (moderate) functional outcomes. Based on our observations, inadequate positioning of the implant resulted in reduced functional outcome. Hence, to improve functional results, we consider plate positioning to be of utmost importance when using PHILOS plate fixation. As the complex four part fracture dislocations are difficult to achieve anatomical reduction thorough knowledge of surgical anatomy of proximal humerus is required and might require CT scan shoulder for better visualization of fracture displacement preoperatively. Post operative rehabilitation and encouragement for physical therapy also plays role in better functional outcomes.
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